IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

WRIT APPEAL NOs: 1600 of 2017 AND 241 of 2024

Kakulapati Poshamma and others ...Appellant(s)

Vs.

Shaik Amneena Saheb and others ...Respondent(s)

****

Advocate for Appellant: HARISH KUMAR RASINENI
P.NAGENDRA REDDY

Advocate(s) for Respondent(s): GP FOR REVENUE (AP),
MANGENA SREE RAMA RAO

CORAM : THE CHIEF JUSTICE LISA GILL
SRI JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN

DATE : 21st July 2026

COMMON JUDGMENT: (per Hon'ble Sri Justice Challa Gunaranjan)

Writ Appeal No.1600 of 2017 has been preferred by some of the unofficial respondents assailing order dated 02.06.2017 passed in WP No.954 of 2005, whereas Writ Appeal No.241 of 2024 has been preferred by third parties to the proceedings assailing the order dated 02.06.2017 passed in WP No.954 of 2005.

2. (a) Writ Petition No.954 of 2005 was filed by the respondents/writ petitioners challenging the proceedings vide L.C.C.No.1517, 1518/KVR/75, dated 22.01.2005, issued by 1st respondent, whereby the respondents 3 and 4 were directed to

take possession of land admeasuring Ac.262.83 cents from the from tenants/writ petitioners for assigning the same to third parties to be in contravention of the orders passed by Land Reforms Appellate Tribunal, as confirmed by this Court and eventually by Hon'ble Apex Court and consequently, to direct the said respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of writ petitioners who were cultivating tenants over the said extent of land.

(b) Learned Single Judge allowed the said writ petition by holding that the impugned proceedings dated 22.01.2005 issued by the District Collector to be illegal and without jurisdiction in view of the orders dated 31.05.1982 passed in L.R.A. No.111 of 1981 and 112 of 1981 by Land Reforms Appellate Tribunal, as confirmed in order dated 31.01.1985 in CRP.Nos.2313 of 1982 and batch and further as confirmed by Hon'ble Apex Court vide order dated 06.03.1990 in **G.Brahmayya and others v. Ala Venkaterao and others**[1].

3. Mr.Harish Kumar Rasineni, learned counsel appearing in Writ Appeal No.1600 for 2017 and as well as Mr.Mangena Sree Rama Rao, learned counsel appearing for unofficial respondents/writ petitioners and learned Government Pleader for

[1] (1990) 2 SCC 283

Revenue, submitted that the order of learned Single Judge already came to be upheld dismissing the State Appeals in Writ Appeal Nos.1070, 1072 and 1076 of 2017 by Division Bench of High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad for the State of Telangana and the State of Andhra Pradesh vide judgment dated 29.08.2018. In view of the same, even both these writ appeals have to be dismissed following the same. Mr.K.Sazid, learned counsel, representing Sri P.Nagendra Reddy, learned counsel for appellants in W.A. No.241 of 2024, also does not dispute the same.

4. In view of aforesaid submissions and having gone through the common judgment dated 29.08.2018 passed in Writ Appeal Nos.1070, 1072 and 1076 of 2017, it is apparent that orders under challenge in the present appeals have already been upheld.

5. Following aforesaid common judgment, both these writ appeals also stand dismissed. No costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending in these cases, if any, shall stand closed.

LISA GILL, CJ **CHALLA GUNARANJAN, J**

SS