Date of reserved for orders :
Date of pronouncement :02.07.2026
Date of uploading :

APHC010601882017

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI [3329]
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE 2nd DAY OF JULY 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 3897/2017

Between:

1. CHENNURI KOTESWARA RAO, S/O. CHENNURI SATYANARAYANA OCC: BUSINESS R/O. FLAT NO. 203, TEJA GRAND, VIJAYAPURI 4TH LANE, JKC COLLEGE ROAD, GUNTUR.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. CHENNURI SATYANARAYANA ANOTHER, S/O. VEERAIAH OCC: BUSINESS R/O. D.NO. 9-84, PIDUGURALLA TOWN, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. PACHIPULUSU RAMANADHAM, S/O. PAPAIAH R/O. D.NO. 3-85, PIDUGURALLA TOWN, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India,praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein,the High Court may be pleased toaggrieved by the order dated 26-7-2017 in EA No. 110/2017 in EA No. 151/2014 in EP No. 54/2009 in OS No. 49/2006 on the file of the Court of the Senior Civil Judge, Gurazala.

IA NO: 1 OF 2017(CRPMP 5129 OF 2017

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner to deposit the balance of the decreetal amount which was

due from the first respondent in EP No. 54/2009 in OS No. 49/2006 on the file of the Court of the Senior Civil Judge, Gurazala pending the Civil Revision petition before this Hon'ble Court and pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2017(CRPMP 5995 OF 2017

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased implead Shaik Anja Saheb, S/o.Nagar Saheb, Aged About 57 Years, Occ: Tailor, R/o.D.No.10-12B, Piduguralla Town and Mandal, Guntur District as Respondent No.3 in the above CRP No.3897/2017 as well as CRPMP No.5129/2017

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. K GOVIND

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. BHUVANAGIRI SUBRAMANYA KUMAR
2. ALLAM RAMESH

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 3897 of 2017

ORDER:

When the matter is taken up for hearing, the docket order reveals that there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner on the last occasion i.e., on 24.06.2026. Therefore, on 24.06.2026, the matter is directed to be listed on 02.07.2026 under the caption 'for dismissal'. Even though the matter is posted under the caption 'for dismissal', today also there is no representation for the petitioner. This shows that the petitioner is not evincing any interest in prosecuting the case.

2. Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this Revision Petition shall stand closed.

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

02.07.2026
BSP/TPS
Whether the order is :

Speaking Yes/No / Reasoned Yes/No

Reportable Yes/No / Non-Reportable Yes/No

07

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO:3897/2017

02.07.2026
BSP/TPS