Date of reserved for orders :
Date of pronouncement : 08.07.2026
Date of uploading :

APHC010082492013

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI [3457]
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 8th DAY OF JULY 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NOs: 29771, 29772, 29793, 29808, 29823, 29824, 29994, 30448, 30449, 30458 and 30462/2013

WRIT PETITION NO: 29771/2013

Between:

1. KOLLA ANURADHA, W/O K.HANUMANTHA RAO AGED 38 YEARS R/O 4-5-26/14, 4/1, VIDYANAGAR, GUNTUR

...PETITIONER

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AT SECRETARIAT HYDERABAD
2. VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, VISAKHAPATNAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or Writs, Order or Direction, declaring and setting aside the Notice dt 23.9.2013 in Rc.No. 11693/07/I-1/ANN-II/26 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, as illegal, arbitrary, void and without jurisdiction and to consequently direct the

Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner over the Plots bearing Nos. 4 & 5 admeasuring 600 sq yds each in Layout LP No. 36 of 2009 at VUDA Layout in Sy Nos. 34/1 and 11 and 35/1 of Rushikonda Village, Visakhapatnam District; Award costs

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 36971 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim stay of all further proceedings pursuant to Notice dt 23.9.2013 in Rc.No. 11693/07/1-1 /ANN-II/26 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, pending disposal of W.P.No. of 2013

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. T SUJAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. SOMISETTY GANESH BABU SC For VUDA and MUDA
2. GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV
3. P JAGADISH CHANDRA PRASAD(SC FOR VUDA)

WRIT PETITION NO: 29772/2013

Between:

1. KOILA HANUMANTHA RAO, S/O VENKATESWARLU, AGED 46 YEARS R/O D.NO. 4-5-26/14, 4/1, VIDYANAGAR, GUNTUR
2. MADDIREDDY SUJATHA, W/O M.V.S. REDDY, AGED 36 YEARS R/O D.NO. 59-60-31/54, PATAMATA, ASHOKNAGAR VIJAYAWADA

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION ET URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AT SECRETARIAT HYDERABAD
2. VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY,

VISAKHAPATNAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or Writs, Order or Direction, declaring and setting aside the Notice dt: 23.9.2013 in Rc.No. 11693/07/I-1/ANN-II/27 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, as illegal, arbitrary, void and without jurisdiction and to consequently direct the Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioners over their respective plots i.e. Plot bearing Nos. 55 Et 3 admeasuring 600 sq yds each in Layout LP No. 36 of 2009 at V U D A Layout in Sy Nos. 34 (P) and 35 (P) of Rushikonda Village, Visakhapatnam District; Award costs and pass.

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 36972 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings pursuant to Notice dt 23-9-2013 in RC.No. 11693/07/I-1/ANN-11127 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, pending disposal of WP No. of 2013.

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. T SUJAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV
2. P JAGADISH CHANDRA PRASAD(SC FOR VUDA)

WRIT PETITION NO: 29793/2013

Between:

1. KOLLA SRINIVASA RAO S/O VENKATESWARLU AGED 42 YEARS, R/O D.NO. 5-87/70/3, 1/3 CHANDRAMOUTINAGAR, GUNTUR
2. MADDIREDDY SUJATHA W/O MVS REDDY AGED 39 YEARS, R/O D.NO. 59-60-31/5-4, PATAMATA, ASHOKNAGAR, VIJAYAWADA
3. KOLLA HANUMANTHA RAO S/O VENKATESWARLU AGED 46,

YEARS R/O D.NO. 4-5-26/14, 4/1 VIDYANAGAR, GUNTUR

4. VEMULAPALLI SRI RAM PRASAD SIO RAJA RAO,, AGED 39 YEARS R/O D.NO. 3-38-46 BRUNDAVAN GARDENS, GUNTUR

5. C.VENKATA KRISHNA RAO S/O LATE SAIDAIAH, AGED 45 YEARS RIO D.NO. 3-30-11/4 A YAGANTI PRINCE APARTMENT, BRUNDAVAN GARDENS, GUNTUR

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL, SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION IT URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AT SECRETARIAT HYDERABAD

2. VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, VISAKHAPATNAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or Writs, Order or Direction, declaring and setting aside the Notice dt 23.9.2013 in Rc.No. 11693/07/1-1/ANN-1/9 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, as illegal, arbitrary, void and without jurisdiction and to consequently direct the Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioners over their respective plots i.e. Plot bearing Nos. 48, 30, 39, 56, 57 and 47 respectively in Layout LP No. 36 of 2009 at V U D A Layout in 5y Nos. 34 (P) and 35 (P) of Rushikonda Village, Visakhapatnam District; Award costs and pass such other or further orders as are deemed fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 36999 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim stay of all further proceedings pursuant to Notice dated 23.9.2013 in Rd.No.11693/07/I-1/ANN-I/9 on the file of the 2nd respondent

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. T SUJAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV
2. A VEERABHADRA RAO Addl.SC FOR VMRDA
3. P JAGADISH CHANDRA PRASAD(SC FOR VUDA)

WRIT PETITION NO: 29808/2013

Between:

1. SMT KARRI SOWJANYA PRIIYA W/O K.PAVAN,, R/O D.NO. 9-348/3, VISALAKSHI NAGAR POLICE QUARTERS ROAD, VISAKHAPATNAM
2. C VENKATA KRISHNA RAO S/O LATE SAIDAIAH,, R/O 3-30-11/4/A PLOT NO.204, YAGANTI PRINCE, 5TH LANE, BRUNDAVAN GARDEN, GUNTUR
3. S.SRINIVASA RAO S/O LATE VENKAIAH, R/O D.NO.4-19-76, VIDYANAGAR, GUNTUR

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH REPRESENTED BY, ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION A URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AT SECRETARIAT HYDERABAD
2. VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AUTHORITY, VISAKHAPATNAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or Writs, Order or Direction, declaring and setting aside the Notice dt 23.9.2013 in Rc.No. 11693/2007/1-1/ANN-1/20 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, as illegal, arbitrary, void and without jurisdiction and to consequently direct the

Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioners over their respective plots i.e. Plot bearing Nos. 183, 195 and 213 in Layout LP No. 36 of 2009 at V U D A Layout in Sy Nos. 34 (P) and 35 (P) of Rushikonda Village, Visakhapatnam District; Award costs

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 37015 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings pursuant to Notice dt 23-9-2013 in RC.No. 11693/07/1-1/ANN-I/20 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, pending disposal of WP No. of 2013.

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. T SUJAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV
2. A VEERABHADRA RAO Addl.SC FOR VMRDA
3. P JAGADISH CHANDRA PRASAD(SC FOR VUDA)

WRIT PETITION NO: 29823/2013

Between:

1. SMT KARRI PUSHPA ALIAS MAMATHA, W/O K.V.S.PRASAD, AGED 38 YEARS R/O D.NO. 9-348/3, POLICE QUARTERS ROAD, VISALAKSHI NAGAR, VISAKHAPATNAM
2. C VENKATA KRISHNA RAO, S/O LATE SAIDAIAH, AGED 46 YEARS R/O 3-30-11/4/A PLOT NO.204, YAGANTI PRINCE, 5TH LANE, BRUNDAVAN GARDEN, GUNTUR
3. SMT. V. USHA RANI, W/O V.SRIRAM PRASAD AGED 40 YEARS R/O D.NO. 1-27-14, SVSN COLONY, GUNTUR

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL

SECRETARY, TO GOVERNMENT:, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AT SECRETARIAT HYDERABAD

2. VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, VISAKHAPATNAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or Writs, Order or Direction, declaring and setting aside the Notice dt 23.9.2013 in Rc.No. 11693/07/1-1/ANN-1/2 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, as illegal, arbitrary, void and without jurisdiction and to consequently direct the Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioners over their respective plots i.e. Plot bearing Nos. 264, 148 and 262 in Layout LP No. 36 of 2009 at V U D A Layout in Sy Nos. 34 (P) and 35 (P) of Rushikonda Village, Visakhapatnam District; Award costs

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 37029 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedigns pursuant to Notice dated 23.09.2013 in Rc.No.11693/07/1-1/ANN-1/2 on the file of the 2nd respondent, pending disposal of the writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. T SUJAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV
2. A VEERABHADRA RAO Addl.SC FOR VMRDA
3. P JAGADISH CHANDRA PRASAD(SC FOR VUDA)

WRIT PETITION NO: 29824/2013

Between:

1. M.V.SATYANARAYANA REDDY S/O M.SUBBA REDDY,, R/O D.NO.

59-6-31 S4, PATAMATA, ASHOKNAGAR, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DIST.

2. VEMULAPALLI USHA RANI W/O V.SRI RAM PRASAD,, R/O D.NO. 1-27-14 SVN COLONY, GUNTUR

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH REPRESENTED BY, ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AT SECRETARIAT HYDERABAD

2. VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AUTHORITY, VISAKHAPATNAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or Writs, Order or Direction, declaring and setting aside the Notice dt 23.9.2013 in Rc.No. 11693/2007/1-1 /ANN-I/19 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, as illegal, arbitrary, void and without jurisdiction and to consequently direct the Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioners over their respective plots i.e. Plot bearing Nos. 224, 225 & 226 in Layout LP No. 36 of 2009 at V U D A Layout in Sy Nos. 34 (P) and 35 (P) of Rushikonda Village, Visakhapatnam District; Award costs

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 37030 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim stay of all further proceedings pursuant to Notice dt.23.9.2013 in Rc.NO. 11693/07/I-1/ANN-I/19 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, pending disposal of W.P. No. of 2013.

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. T SUJAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV
2. A VEERABHADRA RAO Addl.SC FOR VMRDA
3. P JAGADISH CHANDRA PRASAD(SC FOR VUDA)

WRIT PETITION NO: 29994/2013

Between:

1. SMT.IPPAKA NARASAYYAMMA, W/O.CHANDRA RAO, R/O.D.NO.10-55-37, BLOCK NO.21/1, RAMNAGAR,VISAKHAPATNAM
2. IPPAKA SIVA SANKAR PRADEEP KUMAR, S/O.CHANDRA RAO, R/O.D.NO.44-34-12/5 RAILWAY QUARTERS, VISAKHAPATNAM
3. IPPAKA SRINIVAS, S/O.CHANDRA RAO, R/O.D.NO.10-55-37, BLOCK NO.21/1 RAMNAGAR,VISAKHAPATNAM
4. PILLI PAPAYYAMMA, W/O.P.APPALANARASAYYA, R/O.H.NO.15-50-92, NEAR NOOKALAMMA TEMPLE, WARD NO.23, BHEEMILI,VISAKHAPATNAM
5. PILLI HEMA VARAHA LAKSHMI NARASIMHA KISHIORE, S/O.P.APPALANARASAYYA, R/O.H.NO.15-50-92, NEAR NOOKALAMMA TEMPLE, WARD NO.23, BHEEMILI, VISAKHAPATNAM
6. BORA MARIYA,W/O.B.SRIRAMA MURTHY,, R/O.D.NO.30-96-37, NEAR KANITHI HIGH SCHOOL, KURMANNAPALEM,, VISAKHAPATNAM.
7. BORA HARI PRASAD BEEHAMRAO, S/O.SRI RAMA MURTHY, R/O.D.NO.30-96-37, NEAR KANITHI HIGH SCHOOL, KURMANNAPALEM, VISAKHAPATNAM
8. PILLI VENKATA LAKSHMI, W./O.LATE PILLI YERRAYYA, R/O.H.NO.15-50-92, NEAR NOOKALAMMA TEMPLE, WARD NO.23, BHEEMILI, VISAKHAPATNAM

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH REPRESENTED BY ITS, PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT,AT SECRETARIAT,HYDERABAD
2. VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, VISAKHAPATNAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or writs order or direction declaring and setting aside the Notice dated 23.9.20213 in Rc.No.11693/2007/I-1/ANN-1/14 on the file of the 2nd respondent ,as illegal, arbitrary void and without jurisdiction and to consequently direct the respondents not ot interfere with the possession of the petitioners over thesir plots i.e. plot bearing Nos.6,7,8,9,& 10 in Sy.No.370/2P of Madhurawada village, Visakhapatnam Rural Mandal, Visakhapatnam District award costs and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 37229 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings pursuant to Notice dated 23.9.2013 in Rc.No.11693/2007/I-1/ANN-1/14 on the file of the 2nd respondent pending disposal of the WP.No. of 2013

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. T SUJAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV
2. A VEERABHADRA RAO Addl.SC FOR VMRDA
3. P JAGADISH CHANDRA PRASAD(SC FOR VUDA)

WRIT PETITION NO: 30448/2013

Between:

1. SINGAMPALLI YERRI BABU, S/O LATE SINGAMPALLI RAMA MURTHY, AGED 59 YEARS R/O D.NO. 14-37-24/18, AMERICAN HOSPITAL AREA, VISAKHAPATNAM
2. SMT M VARA LAKSHMI, W/O M.VENKATESWARA RAO, AGED 57 YEARS R/O H.NO. 7-159, VEPAGUNDA, PRAHLADAPURAM, VISAKHAPATNAM

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, TO GOVERNMENT, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AT SECRETARIAT HYDERABAD
2. VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, VISAKHAPATNAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toIssue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or Writs, Order or Direction, declaring and setting aside the Notice dt 23.9.2013 in Rc.No. 11693/D/2007/I-1/ANN-1/16 on the file 2nd Respondent, as illegal, arbitrary, void and without jurisdiction and to consequently direct the Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioners over the property bearing Plot Nos. 165, 166, 173, 219, 228, 231 a 236 of Rushikonda Layout in LP No. 36/2009 of Sy Nos. 34 & 35 of Rushikonda Layout and Plot Nos. 16, 74, 77 and 96 of Yendada in Visakhapatnam District; Award costs and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 37800 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim stay of all further proceedings pursuant to Notice Dt. 23.9.2013 in Rc.No. 11693/D/2007/I-1/ANN-1/16 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, pending disposalof the WP.No. of 2013 and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. T SUJAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.

2. GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV

3. A VEERABHADRA RAO Addl.SC FOR VMRDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 30449/2013

Between:

1. SMT KARRI PUSHPA ALIAS MAMATHA, W/O K.V.S.PRASAD, AGED 38 YEARS R/O D.NO. 9-348/3, POLICE QUARTERS ROAD, VISALAKSHI NAGAR, VISAKHAPATNAM

...PETITIONER

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL, SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AT SECRETARIAT HYDERABAD

2. VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, VISAKHAPATNAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or Writs, Order or Direction, declaring and setting aside the Notice dt 21.9.2013 in Rc.No. 11693/C/2007/1-1/ANN-I/22 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, as illegal, arbitrary, void and without jurisdiction and to consequently direct the Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner over her property bearing Plot No. 263 admeasuring 433.34 sq yds, in Layout LP No.

36 of 2009 at V U D A Layout in Sy Nos. 34 (P) and 35 (P) of Rushikonda Village, Visakhapatnam District; Award costs

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 37801 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings pursuant to Notice dt.21-9-2013 in RC.No.11693/C/2007/1-1/ANN-1/22 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, pending disposal of W.P.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. T SUJAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV
2. A VEERABHADRA RAO Addl.SC FOR VMRDA
3. P JAGADISH CHANDRA PRASAD(SC FOR VUDA)

WRIT PETITION NO: 30458/2013

Between:

1. SMT NOLLU LAKSHMI KUMARI W/O LATE RAMA RAO,, R/O D.NO. 16-11-1, KOTHA JALARIPETA, VISAKHAPATNAM
2. N.JALAJAKSHI D/O LATE RAMA RAO,, R/O D.NO. 16-11-1, KOTHA JALARIPETA, VISAKHAPATNAM
3. N.KALYANI D/O LATE RAMA RAO,, R/O D.NO. 16-11-1, KOTHA JALARIPETA, VISAKHAPATNAM
4. N.SARATH KUMAR S/O LATE RAMA RAO,, R/O D.NO. 16-11-1, KOTHA JALARIPETA, VISAKHAPATNAM
5. N.SATTI RAJU S/O APPALA RAJU,, R/O D.NO. 16-11-1, KOTHA JALARIPETA, VISAKHAPATNAM
6. N.RAVI S/O SATTI RAJU,, R/O D.NO. 16-11-1, KOTHA JALARIPETA, VISAKHAPATNAM

7. N.MAHESH S/O SATTI RAJU, R/O D.NO. 16-11-1, KOTHA JALARIPETA, VISAKHAPATNAM

8. T.VENKATA LAKSHMI W/O GURUMURTHY, R/O D.NO. 20-24-18 PAINDORAPETA, VISAKHAPATNAM

9. CH VIJAYA LAKSHMI W/O RAMACHANDRA RAO, R/O D.NO. 16-14-25 KOTA JALARIPETA, VISAKHAPATNAM

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH REPRESENTED BY, ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION ET URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AT SECRETARIAT HYDERABAD

2. VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, VISAKHAPATNAM, REPTD. BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or Writs, Order or Direction, declaring and setting aside the Notice dt 23.9.2013 in Rc.No. 11693/07/I-1/ANN-1/1 on the file of the rd Respondent, as illegal, arbitrary, void and without jurisdiction and to consequently direct the Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioners over the property bearing Plot Nos. 203, 204 of Rushikonda Layout in S.No. 34 & 35, Rushikonda Village, in Plot No. 10 A, 24, 91 of Madhurawada in Sy No. 370/371, Visakhapatnam District; Award costs

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 37810 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings pursuant to Notice dt 23-9-2013 in RC.No.11693/2007/1-1/ANN-I/1 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, pending disposal of WP No. of 2013.

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. T SUJAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV
2. A VEERABHADRA RAO Addl.SC FOR VMRDA
3. P JAGADISH CHANDRA PRASAD(SC FOR VUDA)

WRIT PETITION NO: 30462/2013

Between:

1. SHAIK MUSA, S/O SHAIK HUSSAIN, AGED 32 YEARS R/O D.NO. 26-4-5, PERIKI STREET, VELAMPETA, VISAKHAPATNAM
2. MEERABI SHAIK, W/O SHAIK HUSSAIN, AGED 55 YEARS R/O D.NO. 26-4-5, PERIKI STREET, VELAMPETA, VISAKHAPATNAM

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION ET URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AT SECRETARIAT HYDERABAD
2. VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, VISAKHAPATNAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or Writs, Order or Direction, declaring and setting aside the Notice dt: 23.09.2013 in RC No. 11693/2007/I-1/ANN-I/11 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, as illegal, arbitrary, void and without jurisdiction and to consequently direct direct the Respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioners over the property bearing Plot Nos. 161, 283 and 291 in Rushikonda and Plot No. 11 a 23 in S.No. 370 and 371 of Madhurawada Village, Visakhapatnam District; Award costs and pass.

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 37818 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings pursuant to Notice dated 23-09-2013 in RC No. 11693/2007/1-1/ANN-I/11 on the file of the 2nd Respondent, pending disposal of WP No. of 2013.

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. T SUJAN KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV
2. A VEERABHADRA RAO Addl.SC FOR VMRDA
3. P JAGADISH CHANDRA PRASAD(SC FOR VUDA)

The Court made the following:

Common Order:-

In all this batch of writ petitions, the petitioners have challenged the notice dated 23.09.2013 issued by the 2nd respondent, whereby the allotment of developed plots to the petitioners was found to be contrary to the established law, rules and regulations governing the authority.

2. The 2nd respondent has stated that the allotments were irregular and that the plots allotted to the petitioners were cancelled. A show cause notice in that regard was issued to the petitioners calling upon them to submit their explanation as to why the allotment of the plots ought not to be cancelled and as to why criminal action ought not to be initiated against them.

3. The learned Standing Counsel appearing for the 2nd respondent had accepted the request for extension of time for filing a reply to the show cause notice dated 23.09.2013 till 31.10.2013.

4. A counter has been filed by the 2nd respondent stating that the petitioners have colluded with the authorities and have not arrayed the necessary parties as respondents, as the subject land was handed over to the 2nd respondent on 13.02.2007 by one Smt. Omni Pydamma. It is also stated that the 2nd respondent has identified several irregularities in the allotment of alternate plots and, as such, the respondent authority found it appropriate to cancel the allotments.

5. Sri S. Ganesh Babu, learned Standing Counsel, submits that the respondent authority has also filed a suit for cancellation of the sale deeds

executed in favour of the petitioners and that subsequent orders shall be passed.

6. Recording the submissions, these writ petitions are disposed of, leaving it open for the petitioners to file afresh if they are aggrieved by the subsequent orders. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

08.07.2026
PNS

Whether the order is:

Speaking		Reasoned	☑
Reportable		Non-reportable	☑