APHC010448902015

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3587]

TUESDAY,THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI

CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO: 200/2015

Between:

1. MULUGURU NAREN, VJA, KRISHNA DIST, S/O. LATE YERRI SWAMY, AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS, OCC: BUSINESS R/O. D.NO.67-8-10, PATAMATA, VIJAYAWADA.

...APPELLANT

AND

1. NADELLA ANIL KUMAR VJA KRISHNA DIST 2 OTHERS, S/O. VENKATA RATNAM, AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS, HINDU, PROPERTIES, D.NO.48-5/2-2A, TIKKIL ROAD, SHYAM NAGAR, VIJAYAWADA.
2. NADELLA MAHIJA, W/O. ANIL KUMAR, AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS, HINDU, PROPERTIES, D.NO.48-5/2-2A, TIKKIL ROAD, SHYAM NAGAR, VIJAYAWADA.
3. VALLABHANENI SATISH, S/O. LATE RANGA RAO, AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, HINDU, PROPERTIES, D.NO.32-41-47/2, REVENUE COLONY, MACHAVARAM, VIJAYAWADA.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Appeal Under Section_____against ordersAggrieved by the order of the X1 Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Krishna, Gudivada, passed in IA.No.853/2014 in OS.No.73/2014, dt.6.3.2015, the petitioner begs to prefer the above appeal

IA NO: 1 OF 2015(CMAMP 407 OF 2015

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant injunction restraining the respondents from alienating the plaint schedule property in OS.No.73/2014 on the file of the XI Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Krishna at Gudivada, pending disposal of the appeal and pass

Counsel for the Appellant:

1. O MANOHER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. SOMISETTY GANESH BABU
2. C V R RUDRA PRASAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI

CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO: 200/2015

ORDER: (*Per* Hon'ble Sri Justice D.Ramesh*)*

1. This Civil Miscellaneous Appeal is filed aggrieved by the order dated 06.03.2015 passed by the learned XI Additional District and Sessions Judge, Krishna at Gudivada in I.A. No.853 of 2014 in O.S. No.73 of 2014.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the appellant submits that the main suit itself has already been decreed and, therefore, no further orders are required to be passed in the present appeal.

3. Since the present appeal arises out of an interlocutory order passed in I.A. No.853 of 2014 and the main suit itself has subsequently been decreed, the cause for pursuing the present appeal no longer survives. Consequently, the appeal has become infructuous.

4. Accordingly, the Civil Miscellaneous Appeal is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE D.RAMESH

JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI

Date: 23.06.2026
klk

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI

53

C.M.A.No.200 of 2015

Dated: 23.06.2026

klk