Neutral Citation:_____

Date of reserved for orders : --
Date of pronouncement : 25.06.2026
Date of uploading : 30.06.2026

APHC010425172015 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

AT AMARAVATI [3330]

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE 25th DAY OF JUNE 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 8745/2015

Between:

1. LANKE VIJAYALAKSHMI W/O, VENKATESWARA RAO,, AGED 44 YEARS, D.N0.14-118,(OLD 13-86)
2. VELAGAPUDI VISWANATH S/O NAGESWARA RAO, , AGED 29 YEARS, D.NO 14-111,
3. VELAGAPUDI HARIBABU S/O, NAGESWARA RAO, AGED 45 YEARS,, D.NO 14-110,
4. DANAPANENI CHINNAMMA W/O BALAKOTI, AGED 40 YEARS,, D.NO 13-85/1,(NEW 14-117),
5. .NADITOKA NAGAMALLESWARI, W/O, UMAMAHESWARARAO ,, AGED 47 YEARS,D.NO 13-89 (NEW 14-121),
6. NADITOKA VENKATESWARARAO, S/O CHANDRAYYA, , AGED 50 YEARS, D.NO 13-89 (NEW 14-119),
7. NADITOKA PRASAD S/O CHANDRAYYA, AGED 45 YEARS,, D.NO 14-119/A
8. NADITOKA KOTESWARARAO, S/O CHANDRAYYA, AGED 44 YEARS,, D.NO 4-160(14-119/B
9. NADITOKA RAMARAO S/O, CHANDRAYYA, AGED 36 YEARS,, D,NO 14-120,
10. PALAKURTHI KRISHNA S/O SURYANARAYANA, AGED 35YEARS,, D.NO 13-90/1,(NEW 14-123) (ALL ARE RESIDENTS OF PEDA PULIPAKA ROAD YANAMALAKUDURU VILLAGE, PENAMALURU MANDAL, KRISHNA DISTRICT)

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH REP BY ITS, PRINCIPLE

SECRETARY, ENDOWMENT DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, HYDERABAD
2. THE COMMISSIONER OF ENDOWMENT DEPARTMENT, BOGGULAKUNTA, TILAK ROAD, ABIDS, HYDERABAD
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF ENDOWMENT VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT
4. SRI RAMALINGESWARA SWAMY TEMPLE YENAMALAKUDURU, VILLAGE, PENAMALURU MANDAL, KRISHNA DISTRICT REP BY ITS EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
5. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR KRISHNA DISTRICT AT MACHILIPATNAM, -

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue writ or order or orders more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus in declaring the action of the respondents in partly demolishing the houses of the Petitioner situated in pedapulipaka road, Yenamalakuduru Village, Penamaluru Mandal, Krishna District without following law or without giving any notice whatsoever as illegal, arbitrary and violation of Art 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondnet not to demolish furhter and not to evict the petititoners from thier respective houses in pedapulika road, Yenamalakuduru Village, Penamaluru Mandal, Krishna District and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2015(WVMP 2370 OF 2015

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to vacate the interim order dt:01.04.2015 made in WP.No.8745 / 2015

IA NO: 2 OF 2015(WPMP 11540 OF 2015

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased direct the respondent not to demolish furhter and not to evict the petititoners from thier respective houses in pedapulipaka road, Yenamalakuduru Village, Penamaluru Mandal, Krishna District pending disposal of the above writ petition and pass such other order or orders may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

IA NO: 3 OF 2015(WPMP 44456 OF 2015

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to receive these documents as additional papers in WP No. 8745 of 2015 and to pass

IA NO: 6 OF 2015(WPMP 53375 OF 2015

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. SURESH KUMAR POTTURI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR ENDOWMENTS (AP)
2. ELEVATED AS JUDGE

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION No.8745 of 2015

ORDER:

Despite the writ petition being listed under the caption 'for dismissal', there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners. Hence, it indicates that the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the writ petition.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

Date: 25.06.2026
KBN
Whether the order is:

Speaking Yes/No / Recorded Yes/No
Reportable Yes/No / Non-Reportable Yes/No

17

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION No. 8745 of 2015

Date: 25.06.2026

KBN