APHC010395592026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE 31st DAY OF JULY 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 21350 OF 2026

Between:

1. KUNDRAPU SANNI BABU, S/o. Late Sanyasi, aged 75 years, Occ. Business R/o. D No. 3-95/1, E Chowduvada (Kannurupalem Village) Kasimkota Mandal, Anakapalli District 531 001

...Petitioner

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, rep by its Prl. Secretary, Revenue Department Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur 522 020

2. The District Collector, Anakapalli District, Anakapalli 531 001

3. The Divisional Senior Citizen Tribunal, Revenue Divisional Officer Sub Divisional Magistrate Anakapalli - 531 001

4. The Tahsildar, Kasimkota Mandal Anakapalli District 531 031

5. Smt Kundrapu Mahalakshmi, W/o. Late Sanyasi, aged 95 years, occ. housewife R/o. H no. 10-10-S2, Pent House, Sriram nagar New Gajuwaka, Visakhapatnam District 530 02

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toissue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents No. 3 and 4 in trying to cancelling the pattadar passbooks and title deeds of the petitioner vide Title Deed Unique no. 16T04P3017 vide KhataNo. 348 total an extent of Ac.2.27 cents in Sy No. 18-3 to 18-4D situated at Sundarayyapeta village, Kasimkota Mandal, Anakapalli District with the influence of the unofficial I respondents who filed a complaint before the respondent against the petitioner, without giving opportunity and without conducting any enquiry randomly issuing impugned Notice under Form D dated 21.04.2026 and 2.06.2026 of 4THrespondent is illegal, arbitrary and against the principles of natural justice and also violative of If Articles 14, 19, 21 and 3 00-A of Constitution of India by setting aside the Notice dated 23 06.2026 and 21.04.2026 and consequently direct the respondents not to change the revenue records in connection with the petitioners property without following due process of law in the interest of justice

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased Direct the Respondents particularly 4TH respondent Tahsildar not to make any corrections/ mutations in the revenue records in connection with the petitioner property i.e., total an extent of Ac.2.27 cents in Sy No. 18-3 to 18-4D situated at Sundarayyapeta village, Kasimkota Mandal, Anakapalli District without following due process of law, pending disposal of the main writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner: GANESH BHUJANGA RAO VADDURI

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following order:

ORDER:-

The present writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking following relief:

> *".. to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents No. 3 and 4 in trying to cancelling the pattadar passbooks and title deeds of the petitioner vide Title Deed Unique no. 16T04P3017 vide Khata No. 348 total an extent of Ac.2.27 cents in Sy No. 18-3 to 18-4D situated at Sundarayyapeta village, Kasimkota Mandal, Anakapalli District with the influence of the unofficial respondents who filed a complaint before the respondent against the petitioner, without giving opportunity and without conducting any enquiry randomly issuing impugned Notice under Form D dated 21.04.2026 and 24.06.2026 of 4th respondent is illegal, arbitrary and against the principles of natural justice and also violative of Articles 14, 19, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India by setting aside the Notice dated 23 06.2026 and 21.04.2026 and consequently direct the respondents not to change the revenue records in connection with the petitioners property without following due process of law..."(in verbatim)*

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for respondents.

3. The 3rd respondent issued a notice in Form-D (Rule 6(3)) under the Maintenance and Welfare of parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007, to attend before the Tribunal on 02.05.2026. Thereafter, the 4th respondent issued notice to attend before him on 24.06.2026, in pursuance to the complaint given by the 5th respondent herein.

4. The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondent authorities are not allowing the petitioner to file counter and to make contentions.

5. As the issue is in simple nature, keeping the writ petition pending, inviting counter would serve no purpose. Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of directing the 3rd respondent to allow the petitioner to file counter and to address his contentions. The 3rd respondent is directed to dispose of the matter in accordance with law. No costs.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the contempt case shall stand closed.

JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

Date: 31.07.2026
LSP

21

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 21350 OF 2026

Date: 31.07.2026
LSP