APHC010369292013

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI [3330]
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 38600/2013

Between:

1. MARUPILLA JYOTHI, W/O. LATE M. JAYAPAUL, AGED: 50 YEARS, HOUSEHOLD, R/O. D. NO. 1-49-4/1 MVP COLONY, SECTOR-1, VISAKHAPATNAM, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. GOVT OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, ENDOWMENT DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, HYDERABAD-04.
2. SRI DURGA MALLESHWARASWAMY DEVASTHANAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, INDRA KEELADRI, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT 520 001.
3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR KRISHNA DISTRICT VIJAYAWADA 520 001, -
4. THE TASHILDAR, VIJAYAWADA URBAN, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT 520 001.
5. THE SUB REGISTRAR, VIJAYAWADA URBAN, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT 520 001.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No. 2 in making a claim over the land adm. AC 02-39C in Sy. No. 96/1 situated at Vidyadhavapuram, Vijayawada Urban, Respondent No. 3 not clarifying the rival Claims, and the Respondent No. 5 in trying to create third party rights in the said property as arbitrary, illegal, unjust and violative of Article 300 A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondent No. 4 to clarify the

rival claims of the petitioner and the Respondent No. 2 Devasthanam and pass.

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WPMP 47975 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim directions directing the respondents not to interfere or create third party rights in the land adm. AC 02-39C in Sy. No. 96/1 situated at Vidyadharapuram, Vidayawada Urban, Krishna District and pass.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. C M R VELU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. K MADHAVA REDDY (SC FOR ENDOWMENTS AR)
2. GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 38600 of 2013

ORDER:

Sri P.Durga Rao, learned counsel, representing Sri C.M.R.Velu learned counsel for the petitioner, would submit that the cause in the present writ petition does not survive and the writ petition has rendered infructuous due to afflux of time and endorsed the same on the bundle.

2. In view of the said submission of the learned counsel, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

_____

JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

Date : 17.06.2026

SJ

30

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 38600 of 2013

Date : 17.06.2026

SJ