APHC010332032009

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI

THURSDAY, THE 10th DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1991 OF 2009

Between:

1. M/S. I.K.F.FINANCE LTD., rep. by its Director, Ch. V.Rama Rao, S/o Rosaiah, Vijayawada, Power of Attorney Holder of HDFC Bank Ltd., Registered office at Bank House, Senapathi Bapat Marg, Lower Parel, Mumbai-400 013 and having branch,

...Petitioner

AND

1. THE STATE OF A P ANOTHER, rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of A.P., at Hyderabad.

2. Somasekhar, S/o Sharnappa R/o H.No.16, Samudra, Chitradugra Dist., Karnataka State.

...Respondents

Revision filed under Section 397/401 of CrPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to submit this Memorandum of Crl.R.C., aggrieved by the order in C.F.No.11145 of 2009, dt. 23-10-2009 on the file of the Court of the I Addl. Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada, Krishna District.

IA NO: 1 OF 2009(CRLRCMP 2781 OF 2009

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to expedite the hearing of the Crl.R.C., pending disposal of the Crl.R.C.

Counsel for the Petitioner: V R AVULA

Counsel for the Respondents: PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following order:

SS, J
Crl.R.C.No.1991 of 2009
Dated 10.09.2026

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1991 OF 2009

The Court made the following Order:

The memo filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

2. The memo contends a letter from the petitioner, wherein, the petitioner intends to withdraw the revision case.

3. The instant Criminal Revision Case has been preferred against order dated 23-10-2009 passed in C.F.No.11145 of 2009 by learned I Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada, Krishna District, where under, the complaint filed by the petitioner against the accused under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, was returned for want of jurisdiction.

4. It appears from the memo that the matter has been settled out of the Court and for which the petitioner intends to withdraw the instant Criminal Revision Case.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. Perused the written memo and the instructions of the petitioner.

7. Considering the same, the instant Criminal Revision Case is dismissed as withdrawn.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

Dated: 10-09-2026
MSI

SS, J
Crl.R.C.No.1991 of 2009
Dated 10.09.2026

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1991 OF 2009

Dated: 10-09-2026
MSI