APHC010290792026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3520]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA

WRIT PETITION NO: 15321/2026

Between:

1. CHEEMAKURTHY SUBRAMANYAM, S/O CHENCHAIAH, AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS, ADVOCATE, SENIOR CITIZEN, RIO FIRST FLOOR, SRINIVASA NILAYAM, 3RD LEFT CROSS ROAD, NEAR OXFORD SCHOOL, PIDUGURALLA,PALNADU DISTRICT, A.P.-522413.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT SECRETARIAT, NELAPADU AMARAVATHI-522503
2. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH-522503
3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, PALNADU DISTRICT. ANDHRA PRADESH-522601
4. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, GURAZALA, PALNADU DISTRICT. ANDHRA PRADESH-522415
5. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, PIDUGURALLA POLICE STATION, PALNADU DISTRICT-522413.
6. SRIRAM VENKATARAO, S/O NOT KNOWN, STATION HOUSE OFFICER, PIDUGURALLA P.S PALNADU DISTRICT-522413
7. YERREMALA PITCHI REDDY, S/O NOT KNOWN POLICE

CONSTABLE, PIDUGURALLA P.S PALNADU DISTRICT-522413.

8. UPPALA RAMAKRISHNA, S/O NOT KNOWN, HOME GUARD, PIDUGURALLA P.S, PALNADU DISTRICT-522413
9. SRI CHEEMAKURTHY KIRAN, S/O SUBRAMANYAM AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS, RIO DILSHUKNAGAR HYDERABAD TELANGANA-500060
10. SMT PRAVALLIKA, W/O KIRAN AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS, R/O DILSHUKNAGAR HYDERABAD TELANGANA-500060

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toPleased to issue a Writ , Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of Respondent Nos. 5 to 8 in unlawfully and repeatedly calling the petitioner to the Police Station, at the instigation of Respondent NOs. 9 to 10 for th purpose of steeling a civil dispute relating to the shares of the amount under the Family Settlement Document dated 27.05.2024 in respect of Pravallika Minerals, and threatening the petitioner with implication in false cases, as illegal, arbitraty, violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India, and consequently direct Respondent Nos. 5 to 8 not to interfere in the said civil dispute, and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct Respondent Nos. 5 to 8 not to interfere in the civil dispute between the petitioner and Respondent Nos. 9 and 10, except in accordance with law, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. PONNEKANTI MALLIKARJUNA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA

WRIT PETITION No.15321 of 2026

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed seeking an order, direction, or Writ, particularly one in the nature of Mandamus, to direct the respondent No.5 not to interfere with the civil dispute, if any, pending between the petitioner and respondent Nos.9 and 10, and not to call the petitioner to police station at their instance.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home takes notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 5. In view of the proposed disposal of the Writ Petition, issuance of notice to un-official respondents is dispensed with.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a practicing Advocate, respondent No.9 is his son, and respondent No.10 is the wife of respondent No.9. He submits that respondent Nos.9 and 10 approached the police on the pretext that the business accounts between the parties are not settled. It is also contended that another son of the petitioner has contributed certain amounts towards the business but not Respondent No.9. In any event, the dispute is purely civil in nature, interference by the police is unwarranted.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions, submits that respondent Nos.9 and 10 lodged a report alleging that they were threatened by the petitioner. Pursuant to the said report, the petitioner was called to the police station for enquiry. It is further submitted

that during the enquiry, both parties informed the police that they would amicably resolve the dispute before the elders. The police are not interfering with the civil disputes between the parties.

5. In view of the written instructions placed on record and the submissions made by the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home that the respondent-police are not interfering in the civil disputes between the parties, and recording the same, this Court finds that no further orders are required in the matter.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. However, respondent-police are directed not to interfere in the civil disputes between the parties and act strictly in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA, J

Date: 11.06.2026
ARB

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA

WRIT PETITION NO: 15321 OF 2026

Date: 11.06.2026

ARB