APHC010282582026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3521]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 4508/2026

Between:

DASARI UMADEVI, AGED 39 YEARS,W/O. DASARI BALAJANEYULU,R/O. D.NO.6-3-885, SANGAMITRA NAGARANANTHAPURAMU TOWN - 515001

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, ,Through SHO, Ananthapuramu I Town Police Station,Represented by its Public Prosecutor,High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amaravati

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

MUNNANGI THANMAI KRISHNA

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant:

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 4508/2026

The Court made the following Order::

The Criminal Petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity 'the BNSS') by the Petitioner/Accused No.3 for granting of pre-arrest bail in connection with Cr.No.329 of 2025 on the file of Ananthapuramu I Town Police Station, registered for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 338, 336(3), 340(2), 318(4) and 61(2) read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity, 'the BNS').

2. Sri Munnangi Thanmai Krishna, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is innocent of the alleged offence and she has been falsely implicated by the police. It is further submitted that the petitioner is the sole earning members of the family and, therefore, her incarceration would cause undue hardship to his dependents. The petitioner undertakes to strictly adhere to any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. In light of the foregoing submissions, learned counsel prays that the present petition be allowed in the interest of justice.

3. *Per contra*, Mr.K.Sandeep, the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner, submitting that the investigation is still underway and several material witnesses remain to be examined. It is contended that if the petitioner is released on pre- arrest bail at this stage, there is a strong likelihood that he may abscond, thereby

hampering the ongoing investigation and evading the process of law. In view of the foregoing submissions, it is urged that the petition be dismissed.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the Petitioner/Accused No.3 and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor. Perused the record.

5. As seen from the record, the petitioner is a female aged about 39 years, a bonafide subsequent purchaser who parted an amount of Rs.24,00,000/- towards sale consideration to accused No.2 vide a registered sale deed dated 18.06.2025. The petitioner believed that accused No.2 has got a title to the plot admeasuring 5.00 cents vide a sale deed dated 23.01.2025 entered in between accused No.1, 2 and 6. Initially, an agreement of sale was entered in between accused No.2 and the petitioner for a sale consideration of Rs.20,00,000/-. As the petitioner failed to adhere to the conditions stipulated in the agreement of sale, accused No.2 failed to come for registration of sale deed in favour of accused No.3 and demanded additional amount of Rs.4,00,000/- that is how the accused No.3 was constrained to entered into a sale deed with accused No.2. The allegations leveled against the accused No.1 and 6 as they have forged a Family Member Certificate and Death Certificate of the complainant, even though the complainant was alive and the certificate was created that she died. Accused Nos.1 and 5 are absconding. Accused No.2 was arrested and he was also enlarged on bail. Accused Nos.4 and 5 are Village Revenue Officer and Sub-Registrar respectively. Based on the confession of accused No.2, accused Nos.6 and 7 were also arraigned as accused, but they were later released on bail. So far four (04) witnesses are

examined. Material portion of the investigation with regard to the alleged role played by the petitioner is completed. There are no similar adverse antecedents reported against the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the gravity and nature of the allegations leveled against the Petitioner/Accused No.3, this Court is inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the Petitioner/Accused No.3, with some stringent conditions.

7. In the result, the Criminal Petition is allowed with the following stringent conditions:

i. In the event of her arrest, the Petitioner/Accused No.3 shall be enlarged on bail subject to her executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for the like sum each to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer concerned.

ii. The Petitioner/Accused No.3 shall appear before the Station House Officer concerned, on every Saturday in between 10:00 am and 05:00 pm, till filing of the charge sheet.

iii. The Petitioner/Accused No.3 shall not leave the limits of the State of Andhra Pradesh without prior permission from the Station House Officer concerned.

iv. The Petitioner/Accused No.3 shall not commit or indulge in commission of any offence in future.

v. The Petitioner/Accused No.3 shall cooperate with the investigating officer in further investigation of the case and shall make herself available for interrogation by the investigating officer as and when required.

vi. The Petitioner/Accused No.3 shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 23.06.2026
Ksj

58

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 4508 of 2026

Date:23.06.2026
Ksj