APHC010251212014

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI [3233]
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE 20th DAY OF JULY 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 6619/2014

Between:

1. SMT.B.A.APPALA NARASMMA D/O SIMMAIAH, E.NO.68096, OCCUPATION: CONDUCTOR R/O FAKER SHABEPETA, PURUSHOTTAMPURAM SARUBUJJILI MANDAL, ALAMAGEPETA POST SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. ANDHRA PRADESH STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION, REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE CHAIRMAN & MANAGING DIRECTOR MUSHEERABAD, HYDERABAD
2. ANDHRA PRADESH STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION, SEC REGION SRIKAKULAM REGION, SRIKAKULAM REPRESENTED BY ITS REGIONAL MANAGER
3. THE DEPOT MANAGER, A.P.S.R.T.C., TEKKALI DEPOT, TEKKALI SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue an order direction or writ particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ (i) to set aside the Office Order No.P4/876(1)/2012-RM-VZM dated 20.1.2012/24.1.2012 passed by the 2nd respondent directing petitioner to reinstate afresh without continuity of service and without back wages as illegal and arbitrary; (ii) and consequently direct the respondents to

reinstate the petitioner into service as conductor with continuity of service, back wages and other attendant benefits with all consequential benefits; (iii) and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2014(WPMP 8206 OF 2014

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 16.10.2012 for regularization of his services as conductor in the respondent-Corporation and reinstate her into service with continuity of service with all consequential benefits including regularization and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. K UDAYA SRI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. K SRINIVASA PRASAD SC For APSRTC

The Court made the following Order:

No representation for the petitioner even after the matter is posted under the caption ‘for dismissal’. On 23.06.2026 and 07.07.2026 also there was no representation for the petitioner.

2. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed for default. Interim order if any deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

_____

JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN

20.07.2026
NNN