APHC010000622020

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3548]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY,THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA

WRIT PETITION NO: 663/2020

Between:

1. SYED AZEEM, S/O. S.GHOUSE AGED 25 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, RIO. H.NO.D.NO. 20-2-679 MARUTINAGAR, KORLAGUNTA, TIRUPATI NOW AT 2ND FLOOR, CHANDANA RESIDENCY, BESIDE PANCHAYAT OFFICER, M.R.PALLI, TIRUPATI.
2. SMT. SHAYRA, PREVIOUS NAME MUMMADI KOWSIK D/O. LATE RAMAKRISHNA REDDY, W/O. SYED AZEEM AGED 19 YEARS, OCC STUDENT,R/O. D.NO. 19-8-210/E, HATHIRAMJI COLONY, BALRAGIPATTEDA, TIRUPATI

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPT. OFF AT SECRETARIAT, AMARAVATHI, VIJAYAWADA.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, CHITTOOR DISTRICT, CHITTOOR, A.P.
3. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, M.R.PALLI P.S., TIRUPATI

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction, more particularly a writ in the nature of writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 3rd Respondent in interfering into the marital affair of the Petitioners and

attempting to separate both the Petitioners as wife and husband as arbitrary, illegal and in violation of the Provisions of Criminal Procedure Code, Indian Penal Code, other relevant laws, Article 14 and Article 20 of Constitution of India and Principles of Natural Justice and consequently to restrain the 3rd Respondent from interfering into the marital affair of the Petitioners in any way, in the interest of justice

IA NO: 1 OF 2020

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to pass interim direction restraining the 3rd Respondent from interfering into the marital life of the Petitioners to lead the regular married life as per law, pending the above WP

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. SHAFATH AHMED KHAN

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME (AP)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Mr.K.Asad Ahmed, learned counsel representing Mr.Shafath Ahmed Khan, learned counsel for the petitioners, reported that the prayer sought in the Writ Petition no longer survives.

2. In view of the statement made, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

3. As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA, J

Date : 17-06-2026
BMS