



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

Writ Petition No. 303 of 2025

[Shri Rajesh s/o Panjabrao Deshkar **vs.** The State of Maharashtra, through the General Administrative Department and ors.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda
of Coram, Appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. S. M. Bhangde with Mr. S. S. Shinde, Advocates for the petitioner
Mr. A. V. Palshikar, AGP for the State/respondent nos. 1 and 2
Mr. D. R. Bhoyar, Advocates for respondent nos. 3 and 4

CORAM : **ANIL L. PANSARE AND**
NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.

DATE : **19-08-2026.**

Heard.

2. Learned counsel for respondent no. 4 – Chief Executive Officer, on instructions, seeks permission to withdraw the statement made in the affidavit dated 3-8-2026 which indicates that the respondents will be bound by the Government Resolutions and not by the law laid down by the High Court.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader and learned counsel for respondent nos. 3 and 4 jointly make a statement that petitioner's candidature along with notional appointment for the purpose of seniority will be considered in terms of law laid down by this Court in the cases of (1) *Bhavya Nain Vs. High Court (AIR ONLINE 220 DEL 671)*, (2) *The State of*

2

Maharashtra Vs. Vishal Ashok Dhole (W.P. No. 689/2025) and (3) Arpit Kumar Bhana Vs. Union Bank of India and others (W.P. No. 22228/2023). The statement is accepted.

4. Necessary steps shall be taken within three weeks from today.

5. The petitioner is satisfied with this development as purpose of filing petition has been served. The petition is accordingly disposed in terms of above.

(JUDGE)

(JUDGE)

wasnik