



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APPW) NO.260 OF 2026
IN
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.507 OF 2026

Shaheen Aijaz Hussain

Vs.

State of Maharashtra, through Police Station Officer, Through Tehsil Police
Station, Nagpur

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. D. R. Anandani, Advocate for applicant/petitioner.

Ms. S. S. Jachak, APP for State.

Ms. A. M. Raut, Advocate for intervenor.

**CORAM : URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE AND
RAJ D. WAKODE, JJ.**

DATED : 01/09/2026

1. By this application, the applicant is seeking an intervention in the petition.
2. The petitioner has challenges the action of defreezing the bank account No.50100289624836 standing in her name with HDFC Bank, Andheri West, Mumbai which was frozen by the investigating agency during the course of investigation in Crime No.429/2023 registered at Police Station Tahsil Nagpur for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 419, 406, 466, 468, 471 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. It was alleged that one Padam Roshanlal Ghai has lodged a report alleging that accused No.1 in connivance with the other co-accused cheated him and induced him to part with an amount of Rs.1,86,56,000/-. During investigation, it has been alleged that out of the amount received by the accused

No.1 a sum of Rs.72,74,235/- was transferred to the account of the present petitioner and that an amount of Rs.30,84,175/- was subsequently transferred back to the accused No.1. As per the allegation of the prosecution, the recovery of an amount of Rs.2,78,962.19 from the petitioner's account and therefore, the account was frozen. As far as the intervention application is concerned, it was filed by the complainant. This action taken by the Investigating Officer by requesting the bank. As far as the complainant is concerned, he has nothing to do with the said action taken by the investigating agency. It is between the bank and the investigating agency. As far as this petition is concerned, the complainant has no locus to intervene the application. The learned counsel for the intervenor could not point out as to the locus of the complainant. In view of that, the application is rejected.

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.507 OF 2026

At the request of learned counsel for the petitioner,
stand over to 21.09.2026.

(RAJ D. WAKODE, J)

(URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J)

Sarkate