

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1543 OF 2025
IN
INCOME TAX APPEAL (L) NO.16845 OF 2024

JYOTI
RAJESH
MANE
Digitally signed by
JYOTI RAJESH
MANE
Date: 2026.08.03
12:57:41 +0530

Principal Commissioner Of
Income Tax 5 Mumbai

...Applicant

Versus

Vodafone Idea Limited - Ay 09-10
Ita 3424/Mum/2014

...Respondents

Mr. Vikas T. Khanchandani, for Applicant.

Mr. Jitendra Singh a/w. Ms. Shivali Mhatre and Mr. Rajesh Gaikwad,
for Respondent.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
AARTI SATHE, JJ.

DATE: 31 JULY 2026

P.C.

1. We have heard Mr. Khanchandani, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. Singh, learned counsel for the respondent.

2. By this interim application, the applicant has prayed for condonation of delay of 90 days caused in filing the aforesaid appeal under the provisions of Section 260A of the Income Tax Act, 1961.

3. We have perused the averments as made in the application, which make out a sufficient case for the delay to be condoned. Also, there is no written opposition placed on record on behalf of the respondent to this application, although an oral opposition.

4. In the aforesaid circumstances, and in the interest of justice, the application deserves to be allowed. It is accordingly allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

5. Office objections, if any, be removed within a period of eight weeks from today, failing which the appeal shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Court.

(AARTI SATHE, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)