

V.A. Tikam

**IN THE JUDICATURE OF HIGH COURT AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 208 OF 2026

Akash Rajendra Dolas

...Applicant

VERSUS

State Of Maharashtra

....Respondent

Ms. Rupa J. Singh, for the Applicant.

Smt. R.D. Humane, APP for Respondent-State.

PSI Pankaj Sonawane, Panchvati Police Station, Nashik City present.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 12th JUNE 2026

P.C. :

1. The applicant is apprehending arrest in C.R.No. 78 of 2024 registered with Panchvati Police Station, Nashik City, for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 201, 364, 120B, 323, 404, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 4 and 25 of the Indian Arms Act and Section 135 of Maharashtra Police Act.

2. It is alleged that co-accused murdered the deceased on the ground of financial dispute. It is alleged that applicant helped the co-accused to dispose of the dead-body of the deceased.

3. It is contention of learned counsel for the applicant that investigation in this case is completed. Charge-sheet has been filed. The entire incident captured in the cctv footage. In the said footage, applicant

V.A. Tikam

is not seen. The role attributed to the applicant is to help in disposing of the dead-body applicant but there is no evidence in that regard. He submitted that the custodial interrogation of the applicant is not required and requested to allow the application.

4. It is contention of learned APP that there are call records produced on record which shows that the co-accused were in contact with the applicant. After the incident, co-accused called the applicant. Applicant came at the incident spot with his bike and dead-body was carried on the bike of the applicant and thereafter dead-body was disposed of. To recover the bike, custodial interrogation of the applicant is required and hence, requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard both learned counsel, perused the FIR and the documents produced on record. The incident is captured in cctv footage. There are specific allegations against the co-accused. The allegations against the Applicant are he helped the co-accused disposing of the dead-body Applicant is not seen in the cctv footage. To prove the role of the applicant in the crime, evidence is required. Considering these facts, custodial interrogation of the Applicant is not required and I pass following order :

O R D E R

- (i) In the even of arrest, the applicant be enlarged on bail in

V.A. Tikam

C.R.No. 78 of 2024 registered with Panchvati Police Station, Nashik City, on executing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount.

- (ii) The applicant shall attend the concerned police station as and when required.

The application is allowed in above terms and stands disposed of.

6. It is made clear that the above observations are made only for the purpose of granting bail and the Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

7. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)