

Tikam

IN THE JUDICATURE OF HIGH COURT AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1846 OF 2026

Rohidas Keshav Aher
Versus
The State of Maharashtra

Mr. Akshay Bankapur, Advocate for Applicant.
Smt. R.D. Humane, APP for Respondent-State.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.
DATE : 27th JULY, 2026.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned APP for the State.
2. The Applicant is apprehending arrest in C.R. No.116/2026 registered with Wadhivare Police Station, Dist. Nashik, for the offence punishable under Sections 109(1), 115(2), 351(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023(BNS).
3. It is prosecution's case that on 30/04/2026, Applicant and co-accused assaulted the first informant with sickle with intention to kill him.
4. It is contention of learned counsel for the Applicant that injuries suffered by the first informant are simple in nature. Considering this fact, custodial interrogation of the applicant is not required and

Tikam

requested to allow the application.

5. It is contention of learned APP that the Applicant has three antecedents. Applicant and co-accused assaulted the first informant with intention to kill him. Yet sickle used in crime, is to be recovered. Considering these facts, custodial interrogation of the Applicant is required and requested to reject the application.

6. I have heard both learned counsel. Perused the FIR and documents produced on record. The injuries suffered by the first informant are simple in nature. Considering this fact, custodial interrogation of the applicant is not required and I pass following order.

ORDER

(i) In the even of arrest, the applicant be enlarged on bail in C.R. No.116/2026 registered with Wadhivare Police Station, Dist. Nashik, on executing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount.

(ii) The applicant shall attend the concerned police station as and when required.

The application is allowed in above terms and stands disposed of.

7. It is made clear that the above observations are made only for the purpose of granting bail and the Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits in accordance with law

Tikam

and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

8. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)