



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

31 WRIT PETITION NO. 11013 OF 2026

AVINASH RAMKISAN VIDHATE AND OTHERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms. Suchita Satish Upadhyay

AGP for Respondent/State : Ms. Neha B. Kamble

...

WRIT PETITION NO. 11528 OF 2026

SUDHIR VITTHALRAO GAWADE AND OTHERS

VS.

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms. Suchita Satish Upadhyay

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. N.S. Tekale

...

WRIT PETITION NO. 11529 OF 2026

JAYVANT BHAUSAHEB GAIKWAD AND OTHERS

VS.

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms. Suchita Satish Upadhyay

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. N.D. Batule

...

WRIT PETITION NO. 11577 OF 2026

DEVIDAS JANARDHAN BODKHE AND OTHERS

VS.

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms. Suchita Satish Upadhyay

AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. S.R. Wakale

**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND
ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, JJ.**

DATE : 01.09.2026

PER COURT :

. Writ Petition Nos. 11528 of 2026, 11529 of 2026 and 11577 of 2026 are not on Board. Upon mentioning, taken on Board.

2. Undisputedly, the issue raised in these petitions is squarely covered by the decision of this Court (Coram : Ravindra V. Ghuge and Ashwin D. Bhobe, JJ.) in writ petition No.17112/2025 and connected writ petitions, dated 16.12.2025. In the said judgment this Court in paragraph No.4 has observed thus :

“4. In various judgments of this Court, it was consistently held that the Government Resolution dated 24/08/2017, would operate prospectively and would not have the effect of retrospective denial of advance increments. The State Government and various Zilla Parishads had filed Review Petitions seeking review of various orders passed by this Court. It was inter-alia sought to be contended in the said review petitions that, even though the ultimate decision for stoppage of the scheme for advance increments might have been taken on 24/08/2017, it was earlier directed by way of a Circular dated 03/07/2009, to undertake the exercise of pay fixation as per the 6th Pay Commission Pay scales, without taking into consideration the advance increments.”

3. For the reasons stated in the said decision these writ petitions are disposed of with the declaration that the G.R. dated 24th August, 2017 would apply prospectively. The concerned Authorities would carry out a verification exercise and assess as to which of the Petitioners have been held entitled for the grant of advanced increments after having been declared to have performed outstanding/excellent work, prior to

24.8.2017. Those Petitioners who are found eligible, would be granted the said benefits and all consequential benefits would be calculated by adding up the advanced increments. As all the Petitioners have superannuated, all consequential benefits post recalculation, would be paid to them within 90 days. No interest is granted on the amounts payable.

(ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, J.)

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)

vsj..