



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 10261 OF 2024

Bharti Yogiraj Sonwane And Others

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through District Collector And
Others

...

Mr. R. K. Ingole, AGP for Respondent-State

Mr. R. B. Bagul, Advocate for respondent no.2

Mr. N. L. Chaudhari, Advocate for Respondent no.3

Mr. Pranav Dhakne h/f Mr. A. N. Sabnis, Advocate for
Applicant in CA

...

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2368 OF 2025
IN WP/10261/2024

.....

CORAM : AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.

DATED : 04TH SEPTEMBER, 2026

P.C. :-

. The orders dated 21.07.2026 and 03.08.2026 show that consistently the petitioner has failed to prosecute the writ petition. In the first order, the matter was placed for dismissal on 03.08.2026. On 03.08.2026, in the interest of justice, a last chance was granted to the petitioner to prosecute the writ petition and matter was adjourned to 13.08.2026. Thereafter the matter was listed today. Today also there is nobody for petitioner to prosecute the writ petition.

2. Mr. R. B. Bagul, learned advocate for respondent no.2 and also Mr. N. L. Chaudhari, learned advocate for respondent no.3 appearing through video conferencing submit that only due to pendency of this writ petition, respondent number 3 is deprived of his legitimate claim.

3. In view of the above, it appears that the petitioner has lost his interest to prosecute the writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed. Any interim order, if was passed, stands vacated.

4. Further request for restoration of the petition shall be subject to costs of rupees 25,000. In view of disposal of the writ petition, civil application also stands disposed of.

(AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.)