



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

924 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1083 OF 2022

ROHINI W/O. PRANJAL KHADSE - KHEWALKAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Adv. Manoj Shinde h/f Adv. M. K. Goyanka, Advocate for the
Petitioner
Adv. A. S. Shinde, APP for Respondents-State
...

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE AND
VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, JJ.**

DATED : 09/09/2026

Per Court:

. Heard.

2. By way of this writ petition the petitioner is seeking proper legal action to be taken against the accused-person in FIR No. 415 of 2021 registered at Muktainagar Police Station for the cognizable offence under Sections 307, 341, 427, 141, 143, 147, 148, 149 of I.P.C., Section 37 (1)(c), 135 of the Maharashtra Police Act, 1951, and under Section 25(3) and 25(4) of Arms Act.

3. The learned APP has filed affidavit-in-reply, mentioning that the investigation of the aforesaid FIR was transferred to Nashik

Crime Branch and as per the information from the Nashik Crime Branch, investigation in respect of the aforesaid FIR is also completed and it is placed before the higher authority for filing final report.

4. The main grievance of the petitioner is that the concerned Police Station despite allegations of cognizable offence under Section 307 of I.P.C. did not arrest the accused-persons. However, at this juncture, the investigation is completed and charge sheet is only awaited.

5. In view of the same, we do not find any substance in the petition at this juncture and therefore, it stands disposed of.

(VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, J.) (SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)