IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 3489 OF 2015
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12869 OF 2023

1. Mahesh s/o. Chandrakant Bhagat
   Age: 35 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
   R/o. At Post Koral, Tal. Umerga,
   Dist. Osmanabad.

2. Laxmikant s/o. Babarao Salunke
   Age: 28 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
   R/o. In Front Umbare Kotha Devi Mandir,
   Osmanabad, Osmanabad.

3. Pradeep s/o. Shrihari Dhas
   Age: 30 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
   R/o. At Post Pimpalgao (Dola),
   Tal. Kalamb, Dist. Osmanabad.

4. Sadashiv s/o. Indrajeet Patil
   Age: 34 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
   R/o. At Baramzji (Khurd), Post Patoda,
   Tal. and Dist. Osmanabad.

5. Shivling s/o. Rajendra Thadkar
   Age: 33 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
   R/o. At Post Babhalgaon, Tal. and Dist. Latur.

6. Nagnath s/o. Vyankatrao Shinde
   Age: 49 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
   R/o. C/o M.D. Shinde, Shikshak Colony,
   Tal. and Dist. Osmanabad.

7. Ravi s/o. Ambadas Fiske
Age: 28 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. Ratnadeep Niwas Kasturba Plot,
Near Shivshakti Maidan, Barshi,
Tal. Barshi, Dist. Solapur. ….**PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

2. The Secretary Rural Developments
Ministry Mantralaya, Mumbai.

3. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development,
Ministry Mantralaya,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mumbai.

4. The Superintendent Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Aurangabad)
Dist. Aurangabad.

5. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Osmanabad)
Dist. Osmanabad.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Osmanabad.

7. The Union of India
Through its Additional Secretary for
Rural Development Department, New Delhi. …. **RESPONDENTS**

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4130 OF 2015

1. Sanjaykumar s/o. Nandkumar Bhutekar,
Age: 31 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. C/o. Amit Kirana Stores At Post Khed Tq.
& Dist. Osmanabad.

2. Anup s/o. Prakash Pimple,
Age: 36 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. C/o. Chandrakant Waghmare Limboni
Bag Shivaji Nagar Tambri Vibhag, Osmanabad,
Tq. & Dist. Osmanabad.

3. Sandip s/o. Suresh Patil,
Age: 27 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. Sonegaon,
Tq. & Dist. Osmanabad.

4. Shripad s/o. Sambhaji Mengale,
Age: 31 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. Upala (Ma), Osmanabad,
Tq. & Dist. Osmanabad.

5. Umakant s/o. Arjun Dhurve,
Age: 31 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. Shreekrishna Niwas, Ramkrishna Colony,
Umbare Kota, Osmanabad,
Tq. & Dist. Osmanabad.

6. Amol s/o. Suryakant Patil,
Age: 30 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. At Uttami (Kayapur), Post Wadgaon
(Siddheshwar),
Tq. & Dist. Osmanabad.

7. Sanwardhan s/o. Suresh Bansode,
Age: 30 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. Anjana Niwas, Beside Shingade Girni,
Bouddha Nagar, Osmanabad,
Tq. & Dist. Osmanabad. .... **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

2. The Secretary Rural Developments
Ministry Mantralaya, Mumbai.

3. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development
Ministry Mantralaya
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mumbai.

4. The Superintendent Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Aurangabad)
District Aurangabad.

5. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna,
Osmanabad) District Osmanabad.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Osmanabad.

7. The Union of India
Through Its Additional Secretary for
Rural Development Department, New Delhi. .... **RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 4131 OF 2015

1. Vidhyadhar s/o. Bhaskar Bansude
Age: 35 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. Narayan Nagar Near Mahadev Mandir,
Latur, Dist. Latur.

2. Santosh s/o. Bhujangrao Nilewad
Age: 35 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. Sukhkarta Niwas Panchavati Nagar,
Behind Hotel Shivani ST Chowk, Aausa Ring
Road Latur, Dist. Latur.

3. Ashok s/o. Kashinath Rathod
Age: 35 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. C/o. K.N. Rathod, Behind Virat Hanuman
Temple Ausa Road, Latur, Dist. Latur.

4. Vijay s/o. Anantrao Payal
Age: 34 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. Sawewadi Near Upase Classes,
Latur, Dist. Latur.

5. Netaji s/o. Eknath Dandnaik
Age: 33 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. Plot No. 22, Trimurti Niwas Datta Nagar,
Near Terna College Osmanabad, Dist. Osmanabad.

6. Amol s/o. D. Tambhale
Age: 30 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. Flat No. 402, Chandra Apartment,
Shahupuri Colony Rajiv Gandhi Chowk,
Tal. and Dist. Latur.

7. Pravin s/o. Udhavrao Sabde
Age: 31 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. Swastik Niwas Near Swagat Mangal,
Karyalaya, Khadgaon Road, Latur, Dist. Latur.

8. Ramesh s/o. Govind Rathod
Age: 35 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. 202 Krashnakunj Apartment,
Near Vidyavikas School, Shri Nagar,
Latur, Tal. and Dist. Latur.

9. Satish s/o. Subhasrao Nenekar
Age: 38 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. Behind Zulfe Niwas, Vilas Nagar,
Barshi Road, Latur, Tal. and Dist. Latur.

10. Smt. Avanti Chandrakant Mane
Age: 30 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. C/o. Sonawane T.E. Ankita Niwas,
Panchshil Chowk, Vikram Nagar,
Latur, Dist. Latur. .... **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

2. The Secretary Rural Developments
Ministry Mantralaya, Mumbai.

3. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development,
Ministry Mantralaya,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mumbai.

4. The Superintendent Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Aurangabad)
Dist. Aurangabad.

5. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Latur)
Dist. Latur.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Latur.

7. The Union of India
Through its Additional Secretary for
Rural Development Department, New Delhi. …. **RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 4137 OF 2015

1. Chandrakant s/o. Kondiba Kawle
Age: 35 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. Sallegalli Near Samsapure Decoration,
Latur, Dist. Latur.

2. Rahul s/o. Mallikarjun Bidwe
Age: 29 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. Murud Akola, Latur,
Tq. & Dist. Latur.

3. Jockey s/o. Nathrao Chavan
Age: 27 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. Prashant Nagar, Ambajogai, Tq. Ambajogai,
Dist. Beed.

4. Datta s/o. Maroti Dhotre
Age: 36 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. Sawewadi Opp. Govt. Girls Hostel,
Latur, Dist. Latur.

5. Chandrashekar s/o. Sudhakar Kulthe
Age: 30 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. Sadar Bazaar Sonar Galli, Ambajogai,
Tq. Ambajogai, Dist. Beed.

6. Pravin s/o. Janardhan Waghmare
Age: 34 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. Mahatma Phule Nagar Yashwantrao
Chavan Chowk, Ambajogai,
Tq. Ambajogai, Dist. Beed.

7. Anand s/o. Manik Waghmode
Age: 27 years, Occu: Civil Engineering Asst.,
R/o. Sant Gorobakaka Road Sanja Road,
Osmanabad, Tq. & Dist. Osmanabad. .... **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

2. The Secretary Rural Developments
Ministry Mantralaya, Mumbai.

3. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development,
Ministry Mantralaya,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mumbai.

4. The Superintendent Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Aurangabad)
Dist. Aurangabad.

5. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Latur)
Dist. Latur.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Latur.

7. The Union of India
Through its Addl. Secretary for
Rural Development Department, Delhi. **.... RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5239 OF 2015

1. Chanbas s/o. Ramling Kote,
Age: 33 years, Occu: Junior Accountant Officer,
R/o. At Post Sarola, Tq. Ausa, Dist. Latur.

2. Dnyanoba s/o. Nivrutti Alte
Age: 42 years, Occu: Senior Clerk,
R/o. Masla Post Bhise Wagholi,
Tq. & Dist. Latur. .... **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

2. The Secretary Rural Developments
Ministry Mantralaya, Mumbai.

3. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development,
Ministry Mantralaya,

(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mumbai.

4. The Superintendent Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Aurangabad)
Dist. Aurangabad.

5. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Latur)
Dist. Latur.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Latur. …. **RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5258 OF 2015

1. Ganesh s/o. Rakhamaji Devkar,
Age: 36 years, Occu: Driver,
R/o. At Post. Yeli, Tq. Ausa, Dist. Latur.

2. Yuvraj s/o. Virbhadra Watte,
Age: 33 years, Occu: Driver,
R/o. Wattewada Near Hanuman
Temple, Mahatma Gandhi Chowk,
Tq. & Dist. Latur.

3. Balaji s/o. Narsing Shinde,
Age: 37 years, Occu: Driver,
R/o. Wadwal (Na), Tq. Chakur, Dist. Latur.

4. Parmeshwar s/o. Shesherao Arjune,
Age: 29 years, Occu: Driver,
R/o. Sugaon, Tq. Chakur, Dist. Latur. …. **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

2. The Secretary Rural Developments
Ministry Mantralaya, Mumbai.

3. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development,
Ministry Mantralaya,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mumbai.

4. The Superintendent Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Aurangabad)
Dist. Aurangabad.

5. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Latur)
Dist. Latur.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Latur. **.... RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6313 OF 2018

Ketan s/o. Chatrabhuj Ghadge
Age: 32 years, Occu: Sr. Clerk,
R/o. Rajgrah Nivas, Near Miyai
Building, Kalpana Nagar, Kallamb,
Tq. Kallamb, Dist. Osmanabad. .... **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. The Union of India
Through its Secretary,
Rural Development Department,
Mantralaya, Delhi.

2. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

3. The Secretary Rural Developments
Ministry Mantralaya, Mumbai.

4. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development,
Ministry Mantralaya,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mumbai.

5. The Superintendent Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Aurangabad)
Dist. Aurangabad.

6. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Osmanabad)
Dist. Osmanabad.

7. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Osmanabad. **.... RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6315 OF 2018

1. Namdeo s/o. Baburao Mali,
Age: 39 years, Occu: Driver,
R/o. Smudrawani, Tq. Osmanabad,
Dist. Osmanabad.

2. Balaji s/o. Shrimant Patil,
Age: 24 years, Occu: Peon,
R/o. Dhekri, Tq. Tuljapur,
Dist. Osmanabad. .... **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The Union of India
Through Its Secretary,
Rural Development Department,
Mantralaya, Delhi.

2. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

3. The Secretary Rural Developments
Ministry Mantralaya, Mumbai.

4. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development
Ministry Mantralaya
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mumbai.

5. The Superintendent Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Aurangabad)
District Aurangabad.

6. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Osmanabad)
District Osmanabad.

7. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Osmanabad. **.... RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6317 OF 2018

1. Sayyed Sikandar Shabbir
Age: 34 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. Kherda Post. Borda,
Tal. Kallamb, Dist. Osmanabad.

2. Nilesh Madhukar Kumbhar
Age: 30 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. Kherda Post. Borda,
Tal. Kallamb, Dist. Osmanabad.

3. Lokare Kranti Nagnath
Age: 25 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. Ashirwad Nivas, Flat No. 13,
Bembli Road, Om Nagar, Near
Government Polytechnic College,
Osmanabad, Dist. Osmanabad. **.... PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The Union of India,
Through its Secretary,
Rural Development Department,
Mantralaya Delhi.

2. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

3. The Secretary Rural Developments
Ministry Mantralaya, Mumbai.

4. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development,
Ministry Mantralaya,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mumbai.

5. The Superintendent Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Aurangabad)
Dist. Aurangabad.

6. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Osmanbad)
Dist. Osmanabad.

7. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Osmanabad. …. **RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5270 OF 2015

Rekha Gangaram Dipake
Age: 40 years, Occu: Service,
As Assistant Junior Engineer,
I/o. PMGSY, Executive Engineer,
Nanded, R/o. C/o. BV Suryavanshi,
ND-120-11/1, Guruwar Bazaar,
Shankarnagar, C.I.D.C.O., New Nanded. **…. PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. Union of India,
Through The Secretary,
Ministry of Rural Development.

2. The State of Maharashtra
Through the Secretary
Rural Development and Irrigation
Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. …. **RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 4881 OF 2015

1. Madan s/o. Hanmantrao Kalyanpad
Age: 26 years, Occu: Service,

2. Narsing s/o. Maneji Nilewad
Age: 24 years, Occu: Service,

3. Madhav s/o. Govindrao Pallewad
Age: 23 years, Occu: Service,

All R/o. At Post. Shekapur,
Tal. Kandhar, District. Nanded.

4. Shriprasad s/o. Pandharinath Kshirsagar
Age: 28 years, Occu: Service,

5. Shaila d/o. Pandharinath Kshirsagar
Age: 25 years, Occu: Service,

Both R/o. Radhakisan Teacher Colony,
Sant Namdeo Nagar, Beed,
Tal. and District Beed. …. **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400032.

2. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Secretary
Rural Development Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400032.

3. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development Department,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400032.

4. The Superintending Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Aurangabad Division Aurangabad,
District Aurangabad.

5. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
District Nanded.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Nanded.

7. Union of India
Through Its Secretary
Rural Development Department,
Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi. .... **RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 527 OF 2025
(OLD WRIT PETITION NO. 4418 OF 2016 NAGPUR BENCH)

1. Vikas Ashokrao Dekate
Age: 33 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of Sukhkarta, New LIC Nagar
Colony, Near Anusaya Mangal Karyalaya,
At Post Nalwadi, Dist. Wardha-442001.

2. Sanjiv Rambhauji Rokade
Age: 34 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of Hari Om Nagar, Behind Anjana
Mata Mandir, House No.24, Ward No. 3,
Wardha.

3. Sandip Moreshwar Sawarkar
Age: 32 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of At Post Wasi, Tah.
Samudrapur, Dist. Wardha.

4. Deepak Bhaurao Bhosale
Age: 24 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of At Karanji (Bhoge) Post -
Kharangana Gode, Tal-Dist. Wardha.

5. Pavan Vijayrao Khodke
Age: 34 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of Punjabrao Deshmukh Colony,
Arvi Road, Wardha.

6. Ashish Babarao Ghogare
Age: 27 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of C/o. Kashiram D. Ghogare,
Ashish B. Ghogare, Godbole Plot, Behind

Shriram Tower, Dabki Road, Old City,
Dist. Akola-444002.

7. Sachin Laxman Wankhede
Age: 32 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of Gaurakshan Ward No. 33,
Near Sahare House No. 284, Wardha.

8. Swapnil Ashok Karwade
Age: 25 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of Samrat Nagar, Behind Hindi
Vishwa Vidyalaya, Ring Road, Pipri
Meghe, Wardha-442001.

9. Ganesh Kishorrao Gonde
Age: 26 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of Rashtrabhasha Road, Hind
Nagar, Ward No. 37, Wardha.

10. Kamlesh Ashok Meshram
Age: 31 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of Samata Nagar, Ward No.4,
Sawangi Meghe, Wardha.

11. Shrikant Rameshrao Dhotkar
Age: 31 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of Infront of Ayurvedic College,
Dhanwantari Nagar, Dastur Nagar Road,
Amravati-444606.

12. Sunil Bhaurao Chafle
Age: 41 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of C/o. Diliprao Satpute, Krushna
Nagar, Near Magan Sangralaya,
Wardha - 442001.

13. Swapnil Sunilrao Kulkarni
Age: 29 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of C/o. S. G. Kulkarni, Deshmukh
Plot, Civil Line, Khamgaon,
Dist. Buldhana-444303.

14. Nikesh Ramkrushna Shirbhate
Age: 25 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of At Post Pahur (Dabha),
Taluka Babhulgaon, Dist. Yeotmal-445101.

15. Rupesh Narayanrao Bharaskar
Age: 27 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of Netaji Chowk, Malipura,
Near New Post Office, Dist. Yeotmal.

16. Chetan Keshavrao Mankar
Age: 25 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of Near Ayodhya Nagar, PKV
Colony, Malkapur, Akola,
Dist. Akola - 444105.

17. Swapnil Milindkumar Lautre
Age: 28 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of Sai Nagar, Behind Ganesh
Vyas Mandir, Bodkhe Layout, Wardha.

18. Nitin Eknathrao Polade
Age: 37 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of Mahadev Ward, Gandhi
Chowk, Taluka-Arvi, Dist. Wardha.

19. Yogesh Prabhakarrao Dhurat
Age: 28 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of C/o. Awdhut V. Mungale

Primary School Road, Ward No. 1,
Gajanan Nagar, Pipri Meghe, Wardha.

20. Kishor Shriram More
Age: 23 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of Puja Prashikshan Kendra,
Saoji Layout, Udasibaba Nagar, Sutala
Khurd, Khamgaon, Dist. Buldhana-444303.

21. Suhas Muralidhar Shahare
Age: 28 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of LIG 226, Plot No. 188, Old
Mhada Colony, Post Manas Mandir,
Sindhi Meghe, Wardha-442001.

22. Jitendra Shankarroa Kambale
Age: 33 Years, Occu: Service,
Resident of At Post Shekapur (Mozari)
Taluka-Hinganghat, Dist. Wardha.

23. Deepak Manikrao Vaidya
Aged about 31 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At Dawdipar, Post Bela, Tq. & Dist.
Bhandara.

24. Ravindra Diwakar Kapgate
Aged about 31 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At Post Sendurwafa, Tq. Sakoli,
Dist. Bhandara.

25. Shailesh Madhukar Farkande
Aged about 36 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Near Police Station, Behind NG Bar,
Radhakrushna Ward, Bhandara.

26. Pratik Ramesh Tadas
Aged about 24 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Tadas Layout, Arvi Road, Pipri
(Meghe), Ward No. 2, Wardha.

27. Ku. Shalini Pandurang Raut
Aged about 27 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Ganeshward, Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara.

28. Pradipsingh Vijaysingh Rathod
Aged about 27 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. C/o. Vijaysingh K. Rathod, Pindekepar,
At Post Pathri, Tq. Goregaon, Dist. Gondia.

29. Mangesh Manikrao Vaidya
Aged about 27 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Dawdipar, At Post Bela, Dist. Bhandara.

30. Lekhraj Gajanan Mohankar
Aged about 32 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Ram Mandir Ward, Lahari Ashram
Road, Bada Bazaar, Bhandara, Tq. & Dist.
Bhandara.

31. Mahesh Hemraj Durgade
Aged about 31 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Ronga, At Post Lendezari, Tq. Tumsar,
Dist. Bhandara.

32. Kunal Kashyap Kamble
Aged about 31 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Plot No. 290, Bank Colony, Nari Road,
Nagpur.

33. Ajay Wasudeorao Gajbhiye
Aged about 33 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. 422, Samyak Colony, Greater Kailash
Nagar, Nr. Mahadeo Khori, Amravati.

34. Tarkesh Shrawan Meshram
Aged about 26 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. New Friends Colony, Khat Road,
Bhandara.

35. Ku. Viju Ratiram Nagmote
Aged about 38 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. C/o. Kartik Sukhdev Kularkar, Tilak
Ward, Tq. Mohadi, Dist. Bhandara.

36. Ku. Rajni Nanduji Dodke
Aged about 25 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Plot No. 47, Suraksha Nagar,
Adhyapak Layout, Behind Mahabharat
Kirana Store, Dattawadi, Nagpur.

37. Pranit Prakash Punse
Aged about 26 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Rahul Nagar, Camp Road,
Chaprasipura, Amravati.

38. Prabhakar S/o Shamrao Padewar
Aged about 40 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Plot No. 19/B, Vivekanand Nagar,
Wadgaon Ward, Tq. & Dist. Chandrapur.

39. Shaikh Jafar Ahmed Samad
Aged about 32 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Near Ramala Talao, Ganj Ward,
Tq. & Dist. Chandrapur.

40. Darshan Kishore Shingre
Aged about 30 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At Post Tq. Chimur, Dist. Chandrapur.

41. Mahesh Ashok Wadhai
Aged about 30 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Waghoba Chowk, Near Bank of
Maharashtra, Tukum, Tq. & Dist. Chandrapur.

42. Suraj Sudarshan Bonde
Aged about 24 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Shrikrupa Colony, Jagannath Baba
Nagar, Datala Road, Tq. & Dist. Chandrapur.

43. Shaikh Firoz Daud Shaikh
Aged about 24 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Sister Colony, Near Hanuman Mandir,
Chandrapur, Tq. & Dist. Chandrapur.

44. Prashant Nilkanth Pudke
Aged about 24 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Sister Colony, Near Hanuman Mandir,
Chandrapur, Tq. & Dist. Chandrapur.

45. Rahul Giridhar Bhoyar
Aged about 23 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. State Bank Colony, Gorakshan Ward,
FDCM Rd., Ballarpur, Dist. Chandrapur.

46. Prasanna Vyankatesh Gundawar
Aged about 25 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Kottur Rd., Guppa Ward, At Post Tehsil
Aheri, Dist. Gadchiroli.

47. Ku. Seema Hetram Katre
Aged about 22 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At Post Arjuni, Rawanwadi, Tehsil &
Dist. Gondia.

48. Ku. Madhuri Tejram Khandate
Aged about 30 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Shantinagar, Takiya Ward, Bhandara,
Dist. Bhandara.

49. Krushna Prabhu Dhere
Aged about 24 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Swaraj Nagar, Gholap Classes, Warshi
Road, Beed, Dist. Beed.

50. Pramod Chandrabhan Agarkar
Aged about 28 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At Post Bhishnur, Tq. Narkhed,
Dist. Nagpur.

51. Manoj Purushottam Modak
Aged about 35 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Mahatma Phule Nagar, New
Sumthana, Tq. Bhadravati, Dist.
Chandrapur.

52. Atul Devidas Pote
Aged about 32 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Asolkar Lay-Out, Mahatma Jyotiba
Phule, Moti Umari, Akola Dist. Akola.

53. Jagdish Ramesh Khobragade
Aged about 34 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Sarode Ward No. 4, Near Rohidas
Temple, House No. 212, Tq. &
Dist. Gadchiroli.

54. Narendra Vasantrao Meshram
Aged about 30 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. C/o. Leelabai Meshram, Near Samajik
Vanikaran Office, Ward No. 15, Mul, Tq.
Mul, Dist. Chandrapur.

55. Vijay Rangraoji Kale
Aged about 33 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At Post Anjansinghi, Tq. Dhamangaon
Rly., Dist. Amravati.

56. Hanuman Abaji Gongale
Aged about 33 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At Tarda, Post: Wadholi, Tq.
Gondpipari, Dist. Chandrapur.

57. Rupesh S/o Wanosa Dighore
Aged about 35 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Kasturba Ward, Wadsa, Tq. Desaiganj
Wadsa, Dist. Gadchiroli.

58. Nitin Babusingh Rathod
Aged about 31 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Sewadas Krupa Niwas, Ashirwad
Nagar, Chamorshi Road, Gadchiroli.

59. Ravindra Nageshwar Gagapurwar
Aged about 32 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Near Dharmarao School, At Post
Sironcha, Gadchiroli.

60. Rajesh Baburaoji Gampawar
Aged about 28 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Indira Ward No. 2, At Post Tq. Etapalli,
Dist. Gadchiroli.

61. Ku. Geetanjali Anant Nagrare
Aged about 40 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Plat No. 12, Maharashtra Land Society,
Rajashri Nagar, Beltarodi Rd., Nagpur.

62. Ku. Sangeeta Bhojraj Lanje
Aged about 27 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. C/o. Bhojrao Baburaoji Lanje, Ganesh
Ward, At Post Sakoli, Dist. Bhandara.

63. Kiran Nandkishore Nema
Aged about 32 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Sai Colony, At Post Sihora, Tq.
Tumsar, Dist. Bhandara.

64. Ravi Rameshrao Shejole
Aged about 29 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Pitruchhaya, Palana Ghar Road, Near
Jai Ambe Kirana Store, Ram Nagar,
Buldhana.

65. Rajesh Nilkanth Ganvir
Aged about 39 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Borgaon, At Post Kaulewada, Tq.
Goregaon, Dist. Gondia.

66. Nitin Madhukarrao Kamble
Aged about 30 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Jamankar Nagar, Infront of Hanuman
Mandir, Yavatmal, Tq. & Dist. Yavatmal.

67. Sudhir Manoharrao Kandikuwar
Aged about 31 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Telangpura, Ward No. 2, At Post
Chamorshi, Dist. Gadchiroli.

68. Yogesh Babanrao Soyam
Aged about 26 Years, Occu: Service,
Vaibhav Nagar, Near Shahu School
Pandharkaoda, Dist. Yavatmal.

69. Jivan Sukharaj Rathod
Aged about 28 Years, Occu: Service,
At Post Jodmoha, Taluka – Kalamb,
Dist. Yavatmal.

70. Ku. Varsha Sudhakar Margaye
Aged about 32 Years, Occu: Service,
T.B. Tolly Gondia, Taluka – Gondia,
Dist. Gondia.

71. Arvind S/o. Kishanlal Bisen
Aged about 34 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At Post, Fulchur Jamindar Wada
Tal. Dist. Gondia.

72. Pravin S/o. Mulchand Rahangdale
Aged about 33 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At Bhajepar Post, Wadegaon
Tal. Tirora Dist. Gondia.

73. Bhupesh S/o. Vashudeorao Turkar
Aged about 37 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Vivek Colony, Tilak Ward,
Balaghat Road, Dist. Gondia.

74. Rajesh S/o. Sukchand Yele
Aged about 37 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At Post. Barbaspura Tah. Tirora,
Dist. Gondia.

75. Madanlal S/o. Dulichand Patle
Aged about 32 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At Bihiriya Post. Karti Bk.
Tal. Tirora, Dist. Gondia.

76. Nikhilkumar S/o. Zanklal Ratnakar
Aged about 37 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At. Vivekanand Colony, Mama
Chwok, Civil Line, Dist. Gondia.

77. Rajeshkumar S/o. Shalikram Patle
Aged about 30 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At Bhoyartola Gongle Post, Pandhari,
Tal. Sadak Arjuni, Dist. Gondia.

78. Ajit S/o. Chandrashekhar Thaware
Aged about 27 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At. Post. Boandgaon/Devi,
Tal. Arjuni/Mor, Dist. Gondia.

79. Vinod S/o. Maniram Jagne
Aged about 26 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. C/o. Irri Post. Navergaon,
Dist. Gondia.

80. Hemantkumar S/o. Hansulal Lilhare
Aged about 30 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At Tikayatpur Post. Gangazari,
Tal. Dist. Gondia.

81. Sourabha S/o. Siddharth Lokhande
Aged about 24 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At. Wadi Takali Khadgaon Raod,
Nagpur-23.

82. Krishna S/o. Waman Chaouhan
Aged about 41 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At. Baba Bhawan, Near Mata Mandir,
Shrinagar, Dist. Gondia.

83. Manoj S/o. Shantaram Kale
Aged about 26 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At. Post. Pipla (D.B.) Tah. Saoner,
Dist. Nagpur.

84. Jitendra S/o. Manoharrao Rewatkar
Aged about 32 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At. Wadegaon (Umari) Post.
Kharsholi, Tah. Narkhed, Dist. Nagpur.

85. Purushottam S/o. Tekchand Bisen
Aged about 35 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At. Kanhartola Post. Pandharabodi,
Tal. Dist. Gondia.

86. Rajesh S/o. Chhotelal Bisen
Aged about 29 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At. Post. Rajegaon,
Tal. Dist. Gondia.

87. Chandrashekhar S/o. Shivdayal Patle
Aged about 25 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At. Khurshipar Post. Thanegaon
Tah. Tirora, Dist. Gondia.

88. Falendrakumar S/o. Hanslal Mahule
Aged about 40 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At. Post. Nagra, Tah. Dist. Gondia.

89. Kishor S/o. Bhajandas Rangari
Aged about 29 Years, Occu: Service,
R/o. At. Post Timezari, Tq. Goregaon, Dist.
Gondia. **.... PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. State of Maharashtra,
Through its Secretary,
Ministry of Rural Development,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

2. Maharashtra Rural Roads
Development Association, through its
Chairman and Chief Secretary of
State of Maharashtra,
Rural Development and Water
Conservation Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

3. Superintending Engineer,
Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna
and Maharashtra Rural Roads
Development Association, Bandham
Sankul, Civil Lines, Nagpur.

4(a). Executive Engineer,
Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna
and Maharashtra Rural Roads
Development Association
District – Wardha.

4(b). Executive Engineer,
Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna
and Maharashtra Rural Roads

Development Association
District – Bhandara.

4(c). Executive Engineer,
Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna
and Maharashtra Rural Roads
Development Association
District – Chandrapur.

4(d). Executive Engineer,
Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna
and Maharashtra Rural Roads
Development Association
District – Gadchiroli.

4(e). Executive Engineer,
Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna
and Maharashtra Rural Roads
Development Association
District – Gondia.

5(a). Zilla Parishad,
through its Chief Executive Officer,
District – Wardha.

5(b). Zilla Parishad,
through its Chief Executive Officer,
District – Bhandara.

5(c). Zilla Parishad,
through its Chief Executive Officer,
District – Chandrapur.

5(d). Zilla Parishad,
through its Chief Executive Officer,
District – Gadchiroli.

5(e). Zilla Parishad,
through its Chief Executive Officer,
District – Gondia.

6. Union of India,
Through its Secretary, Ministry of
Rural Development, Government of
India, Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi.

7. National Rural Road Development
Agency, Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi. …. **RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5026 OF 2016

1. Kamlesh Jagannath Kolapkar,
Age: 26 years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Vijaynagar, Bolhegaon Phata,
Nagapur, Tk. & Dist. Ahmednagar.

2. Manish Vijay Pawar,
Age: 25 years, Occu: & Res. C/o.
Kamlesh Kolapkar, Vijaynagar
Nagapur, Tk. & Dist. Ahmednagar.

3. Kiran Baburao Kshirsagar,
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service,
R/o. 786, Wamankunj,
Paraliwes, Sathenagar,
Ambajogai, Dist. Beed. **…. PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. Union of India,
Through The Secretary,
Ministry of Rural Development.

2. The State of Maharashtra
Through the Secretary
Rural Development and Irrigation
Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. .... **RESPONDENTS**

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4992 OF 2015

1. Nitin s/o. Prabhakarrao Veer,
Age: 31 years, Occu: Service,
(as Jr. Engineer, C/o. O/o:
Executive Engineer [PMGSY],
MRRDA, Beed), R/o. "Kirty",
Saint Xevier School Road,
Georai, Dist. Beed.

2. Ankush s/o. Ramrao Mane,
Age: 25 years, Occu: As above,
R/o. Ata Talegaon (Kh),
Post Talkhed, Tal. Majalgaon,
Dist. Beed.

3. Saudagar Ayub Bashiruddin,
Age: 48 years, Occu: As above,
R/o. Nursery Road, Shahenshah
Nagar, Beed.

4. Rahul s/o. Shivajirao Ingale,
Age: 26 years, Occu: As above,
R/o. "Pushpgiri" Building,
Oppo. Bus Stand, Parali-Vaijnath,
Dist. Beed.

5. Ajit s/o. Ashokrao Jagdale,
Age: 27 years, Occu: As above,
R/o. Nageshwar Colony,
Mahasangvi Road, Patoda,
Dist. Beed.

6. Julaha Abdul Tareq Abud Wahed,
Age: 29 years, Occu: As above,
R/o. Babu Cycle Mart,
Near Jama Masjid, Mominpura
Chowk, Beed.

7. Ritesh s/o. Rajendra Mate,
Age: 30 years, Occu: As above,
R/o. 14, Ritesh Kunj, Bindusara
Colony, Adarsh Nagar, Beed.

8. Hrishikesh s/o. Chandrakant
Bhujbal, Age: 29 years, Occu: As
above, R/o. 16, Tirupati Colony,
Pangri Road, Dist. Beed.

9. Avinash s/o. Sugriv Autade,
Age: 32 years, Occu: As above,
R/o. At Selu Amba, Post:
Saigaon, Tal. Ambajogai,
Dist. Beed. .... **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Secretary
Rural Development and
Water Conservation Department,
M.S., Mantralaya, Mumbai - 32.

2. The Chief Engineer [PMGSY]-
cum-Designated Officer,
Rural Development and
Water Conservation Department,
M.S., Mantralaya, Mumbai - 32.

3. The Superintending Engineer
[PMGSY], Maharashtra Rural
Road Development Association,
Beed Bypass Road, Aurangabad.

4. The Executive Engineer,
Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak
Yojna, Maharashtra Rural
Road Development Association,
Juberi Building, Oppo. Ghuge
Hospital, Shivajinagar, Beed.

5. Union of India
Through the Secretary Ministry of
Rural Development Department, New Delhi. …. **RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6529 OF 2015

1. Pankaj Sudhakar Vispute
Age: 36 years, Occu: Service
R/o. C/o. PMGSY,
Executive Engineer's Office
Nandurbar.

2. Saysing Rehanjya Pawara
Age: 32 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.
3. Avinash Shankarrao Patil
Age: 43 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

4. Sachin Yashawant Patil
Age: 31 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

5. Parag Dilip Patil
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

6. Sandip Shantaram Patil
Age: 34 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

7. Amol Kashinath Patil
Age: 33 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

8. Amit Rajaram Sonje
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

9. Rahul Vijay Chaudhari
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

10. Bhushan Yuvaraj Bhamare
Age: 29 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

11. Sachin Bhagwan Chautamal
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

12. Dnyaneshwar Prabhakar Kulkarni
Age: 35 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

13. Kapil Brijlal Patil
Age: 29 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

14. Valvi Surekha Robin
Age: 40 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

15. Hitesh Chagan Marathe **Deleted**
Age: 28 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

16. Devendra Chunilal Bhavsar
Age: 32 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

17. Suvarna Narendra Kulkarni
Age: 29 years, Occu: Service
R/o. C/o. PMGSY
Executive Engineer's Office
Dhule.

18. Harshavardhan Raosaheb Patil
Age: 32 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

19. Nilesh Vishwasrao Pawar
Age: 33 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

20. Deepak Suklal Fulpagare
Age: 33 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

21. Kundanrao Dinkarrao Nikam
Age: 36 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

22. Jayant Dinanath Gurav
Age: 34 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

23. Ashish Rajendra Jain
Age: 30 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

24. Arvind Krishna Patil
Age: 32 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

25. Ashish Balwant Patil
Age: 30 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

26. Dinesh Bhaurao Suryavanshi
Age: 32 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

27. Nilesh Shantaram Fulpagare
Age: 25 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

28. Surekha Jagannath Ahire
Age: 36 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

29. Ganesh Ravindra Khairnar
Age: 28 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

30. Abhijit Yuvraj Patil
Age: 27 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

31. Kunal Sham Dusane
Age: 24 years, Occ. & Res. As above.

32. Sharad Kashiram Badgujar
Age: 35 years, Occ. Service
R/o. C/o. PMGSY,
Executive Engineer's Office
Jalgaon.

33. Vivek Nilkanthrao Patil
Age: 45 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

34. Paresh Jibhau Kapadnis
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

35. Mukesh Ramesh Patil
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

36. Mahadev Kashinath Rote
Age: 33 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

37. Yogesh Premchand Patil
Age: 34 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

38. Pitamber Zamu Gaikwad
Age: 40 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

39. Manojkumar Ramesh Patil
Age: 34 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

40. Anil Laxman Mahire
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

41. Rahul Ratnakar Gaikwad
Age: 28 years, Occu: Service
R/o. C/o. PMGSY,
Executive Engineer's Office,
Ahmednagar.

42. Chandrashekhar Bhausaheb Gunjal
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

43. Amit Bhausaheb Cholke
Age: 28 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

44. Santosh Murlidhar Pawar
Age: 40 years, Occu: Service

R/o. As above.

45. Rahul Hradaynath Misal
Age: 27 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

46. Mahesh Malhari Khandagale
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

47. Hansaraj Rajendra Ranadhir
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

48. Sandeep Suryabhan Patole
Age: 40 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

49. Dattatraya Ravannath Gite
Age: 36 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

50. Maheshkumar Madhavrao Mate
Age: 35 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

51. Nilesh Raosaheb Ghumare
Age: 29 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

52. Dipak Raosaheb Aware
Age: 33 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above.

53. Somanath Eknath Khamkar
Age: 32 years, Occu: Service
R/o. As above. **.... PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. Union of India,
Through The Secretary,
Ministry of Rural Development.

2. The State of Maharashtra
Through the Secretary
Rural Development and Irrigation
Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. …. **RESPONDENTS**

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4465 OF 2015

1. Siddiqui Tarmeem Begum,
Md. Bashir Ahmed, Age: 35 years,
Occu: Service, (as Jr. Engineer),
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

2. Baliram s/o Laxmanrao Aswale,
Age: 36 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

3. Khan Meraj Taj Khan,
Age: 34 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

4. Sachin s/o Mahadev Padule,
Age: 29 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer

[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

5. Deepak s/o Yashwantrao Kulkarni,
Age: 28 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

6. Shirishkumar s/o Chintamanrao Jadhav,
Age: 29 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

7. Vinod s/o Krishnarao Kumkar,
Age: 31 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

8. Kazi Md. Abdul Meraj Md. Abdul Basit,
Age: 28 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

9. Rajeshree Sampatrao Gaikwad,
Age: 35 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

10. Vanita Apparao Tidke,
Age: 36 years, Occu: As above,

C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

11. Prakash s/o Vishnupant Shep,
Age: 26 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

12. Mahadev s/o Sudhakar Shep,
Age: 26 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

13. Rupali Deepak Kadam,
Age: 28 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

14. Kham Imran Khan Bashir Khan,
Age: 29 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

15. Rukmini Lakshaman Kendre,
Age: 37 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

16. Kantilal s/o Doulatrao Munde,
Age: 30 years, Occu: As above,

C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

17. Ankush s/o Dharma Pawar,
Age: 38 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

18. Narendra s/o Kundlikrao Tarkase,
Age: 41 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

19. Md. Mazhar s/o Md. Moosa,
Age: 29 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

20. Ravi s/o Prakash Munde,
Age: 29 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

21. Mahesh s/o Madhukar Wagh,
Age: 27 years, Occu: As above,
C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani.

22. Raju s/o Sukhdave Tupsunder,
Age: 34 years, Occu: Service,

(as Driver), C/o. O/o: Executive Engineer
[PMGSY], MRRDA, Behind DRDA
Office, Station Road, Parbhani. .... **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Secretary
Rural Development and
Water Conservation Department,
M.S., Mantralaya, Mumbai - 32.

2. The Chief Engineer [PMGSY]-
cum-Designated Officer,
Rural Development and
Water Conservation Department,
M.S., Mantralaya, Mumbai - 32.

3. The Superintending Engineer
[PMGSY], Maharashtra Rural
Road Development Association,
Beed Bypass Road, Aurangabad.

4. The Executive Engineer,
Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak
Yojna, Maharashtra Rural
Road Development Association,
Behind DRDA Office,
Station Road, Parbhani.

5. Union of India
Through the Secretary Ministry of
Rural Development Department. **.... RESPONDENTS**

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 6076 OF 2015

1. Santosh s/o. Narayan Payghan,
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Chikhali, Tq. Risod,
Dist. Washim.

2. Pradip s/o. Bhanudasrao Deshmukh,
Age: 27 years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Sawarkar Nagar, Near Post Colony,
Old Pedgaon Road, Parbhani.

3. Mahendrakumar s/o. Kanbarao Paikrao,
Age: 35 years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Akhada Balapur, Tq. Kalamnuri,
Dist. Hingoli.

4. Madhukar s/o. Tukaram Baradkar,
Age: 33 years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Barad, Tq. Mudkhed,
Dist. Nanded. .... **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

2. The Secretary
Rural Development Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

3. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development Department,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

4. The Superintending Engineer,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna,)
Aurangabad Division, Aurangabad.

5. The Nodel Executive Engineer,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Nanded.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Nanded.

7. The Union of India
Through Its Secretary,
Rural Development Department,
Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi. **.... RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 7813 OF 2015

Santosh s/o. Gundurao Kamble
Age: 26 years, Occu: Service,
R/o. ND-32, HUDCO, New Nanded,
Tq. and Dist. Nanded. .... **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

2. The Secretary
Rural Development Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

3. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development Department,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

4. The Superintending Engineer,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna,)
Aurangabad Division, Aurangabad.

5. The Nodel Executive Engineer,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Nanded.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Nanded.

7. The Union of India
Through Its Secretary,
Rural Development Department,
Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi. **.... RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 4125 OF 2015

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12870 OF 2023

1. Bhaskar s/o. Ramrao Londhe
Age: 33 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. At Ish Kunj Adarsha Nagar,
Pangari Road, Beed. Tal. and Dist. Beed.

2. Vinod s/o. Panditrao Jadhav
Age: 33 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. 263 Shivajinagar Bhokardan Naka,
Jalna, Dist. Jalna. .... **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

2. The Secretary Rural Developments
Ministry Mantralaya, Mumbai.

3. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development,
Ministry Mantralaya,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mumbai.

4. The Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Aurangabad)
Dist. Aurangabad.

5. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Beed)
Dist. Beed.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Beed.

7. The Union of India
Through its Secretary for
Rural Development Department, New Delhi. …. **RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 4591 OF 2015

1. Bhujang Kishor Babanrao
Age: 35 years, Occu: Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. Plot No. 3, Shakya Nagar,
Bhoiwada, Milcorner, Aurangabad.

2. Rajput Sandeep Pralhaddising
Age: 36 years, Occu: Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. Changle Nagar, Pachod Naka,
Tq. Ambad, Dist. Jalna 431204.

3. Dabke Mangesh Sheshrao
Age: 29 years, Occu: Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. H.No.28, Hari Om Niwas,
Padegaon, Aurangabad.

4. Khajekar Sachin Ramkisan
Age: 32 years, Occu: Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. Plot No.71, Gut No.105, Janjira
Co.Op.Hsg.So. Sangram Nagar,
Renuka Mata Mandir, Satara Parisar,
Aurangabad.

5. Suryavanshi Praveenkumar Sheshrao
Age: 36 years, Occu: Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. H.No.D-91-11, Shivajinagar,
Garkheda, Aurangabad.

6. Rathod Radhesham Baliram
Age: 33 years, Occu: Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. O/o. Executive Engineer,
PMGSY, MRRDA,
Chelipura High School, Aurangabad.

7. Sachane Shrikant Balbhim
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. A/P-Chorakhali, Tq. Kallamb,
Dist. Osmanabad 413507.

8. Kedare Satyashil Govindrao
Age: 36 years, Occu: Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. Gadana, Tq. Khultabad,
Dist. Aurangabad 431101.

9. Gondhale Sumit Sudamappa
Age: 33 years, Occu: Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. Row H.No. 08, Anandvihar,
Malkhare Builder, Opp. Dhoot Banglow,
Paithan Road, Aurangabad.

10. Sirsalkar Vaibhav Ramakant
Age: 32 years, Occu: Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. Row H.No. 06, Anandvihar,
Malkhare Builder, Opp. Dhoot Banglow,
Paithan Road, Aurangabad.

11. Bidkar Vaibhav Vijaykumar
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. X-110/1, Near Shri Swami Samarth
Mandir, Bajajnagar, Wadgaon,
Waluj, Dist. Aurangabad.

12. Gavhane Nitin Jivanrao
Age: 26 years, Occu: Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. A.P. Takalgaon, Hirapur,
Tk. Georai, Dist. Beed.

13. Rakte Kailas Bhaskarrao
Age: 38 years, Occu: Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. Pride Enigma, J-27,
Sootgirni Chowk, Garkheda, Dist. Aurangabad.

14. Ghadge Chhaya Murlidhar
Age: 37 years, Occu: Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. Flat No.1, Plot No.241,
Riddhi Siddhi Apartment,
Darga Road, Dist. Aurangabad.

15. Kulkarni Madhuri Madhukarrao
Age: 26 years, Occu: Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,

R/o. B & C Quarter No.1/3,
Behind Junior Engineer Quarters,
Near Govt. Rest House,
Opp. Police Mukhyalay, Main Road,
Osmanabad.

16. Jadhav Dilip Shamrao
Age: 35 years, Occu: Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. A.P. Phulambri, Dist. Aurangabad.

17. Shelke Walmik Bhaginath
Age: 31 years, Occu: Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. A.P. Ramwadi Manegaon,
Tk. Vaijapur, Dist. Aurangabad.

18. Puri Bhagwan Chandrapuri
Age: 27 years, Occu: Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. A.P. Ganori,
Tk. Phulambri, Dist. Aurangabad.

19. More Ganesh Vikramrao
Age: 27 years, Occu: Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. Khadgaon, Post- Keli-Gavan,
Tk. Badnapur, Dist. Jalna 431202.

20. Yadav Gorakshanath Baburao
Age: 29 years, Occu: Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. Gajanan Nagar, Nalwandi Naka,
Peth, Beed 431122.

21. More Anil Dashrath
Age: 36 years, occ. Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. 0/34, Bhimgadh Vasahat,
Dewanagari corner,
Shahanoorwadi, Aurangabad.

22. Pawar Dnyaneshwar Balasaheb
Age: 31 years, occ. Service as Senior Clerk,
R/o. Mundwadi, Tq. Kannad,
Dist. Aurangabad 431103.

23. Dhormare Shivaji Kailas
Age: 29 years, occ. Service as Senior Clerk,
R/o. Modha (Bu), Tq. Sillod, Dist. Aurangabad.

24. Shelke Umesh Shaligram
Age: 30 years, occ. Service as Senior Clerk,
R/o. Plot No.09, Gut No.18,
Mira Nagar, Padegaon, Aurangabad.

25. Nagpure Mohan Lakshmanrao
Age: 30 years, occ. Service as Accounts Officer Group C,
R/o. Kasliwal Tarangan,
Sector: F-4-11, Mitmita,
Padegaon, Aurangabad 431002.

26. Ambat Mahesh Vitthal
Age: 28 years, occ. Service as Accounts Officer Group B,
R/o. H.No.2-15-33, Polas Galli,
Kadrabad, Jalna 431203.

27. Menkudale Varsha Chandrakant
Age: 25 years, occ. Service as Stenographer Lg,
R/o. Galli No.2, Swarajya Nagar,
Behind Maruti Suzuki Showroom,
Barshi Road, Beed 431122.

28. Dharbale Baliram Raosaheb
Age: 28 years, occ. Service as Driver,
R/o. Kanaksagj, Chinchadgaon,
Tq. Vaijapur, Dist. Aurangabad.

29. Nikam Dnyaneshwar Narayan
Age: 35 years, occ. Service as Driver,
R/o. Chikatgaon, Tq. Vaijapur, Dist. Aurangabad.

30. Jadhav Anil Uttam
Age: 34 years, occ. Service as Driver,
R/o. Near Savta Mandir,
Phulambri, Tq. Fulambri, Dist. Aurangabad.

31. Pawar Balasaheb Bhimrao
Age: 27 years, occ. Service as Driver,
R/o. Daulatwadi, Kotan,
Tq. Patoda, Dist. Beed 413207.

32. Rathod Girish Ramesh
Age: 29 years, occ. service as Peon,
R/o. Subhedari Guest House,
Quarter No.29, Dilligate, Aurangabad.

33. Peherkar Jagdish Pundlik
Age: 35 years, occ. Service as Peon,
R/o. A.P. Ganori, Tq. Phulambri,
Dist. Aurangabad.

34. Patil Anirudha Dattatraya
Age: 32 years, occ. Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o A.P. Dahiphal, Tq. Kalamb,
Dist. Osmanabad.

35. Sukale Ajay Sureshrao
Age: 26 years, occ. Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. A.P. Pimpalgaon (Limbaji),
Tk. Washi, Dist. Osmanabad.

36. Gore Jaideep Bhimrao
Age: 31 years, occ. Service as Junior Engineer,

R/o. Shikshak Colony,
Near Hanuman Mandir, Sillod,
Dist. Aurangabad.

37. Joshi Onkar Digambarrao
Age: 30 years, occ. Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. "Onkar Niwas", Ambika Nagar,
Pakwan Road, Near Saraswati Vidyalay,
Beed. 431122.

38. Deshmukh Mahesh Ramesh
Age: 28 years, occ. Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. Plot. No.83, Snehwasini Hsg.so,
Near Trimurti Chowk,
Garkheda, Aurangabad.

39. Shinde Sudam Rambhau
Age: 32 years, occ. Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. Dudhanwadi, Post. Somthana,
Tq. Badnapur, Dist. Jalna.

40. Ghodke Rajendra Kamlakarrao
Age: 41 years, occ. Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. Plot No.72, Sonal Nagar, Jalna.

41. Jadhav Paras Namdeo
Age: 29 years, occ. Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
House No.13-1318, Plot No.11,
Shivprasad Niwas, Bholeshwar Colony,
Hiwarkheda, Kannad Dist. Aurangabad.

42. Jadhav Shivaji Shankar
Age: 34 years, Occ. Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. Pimplegaon Lim.
Tq. Vashi, Dist. Osmanabad.

43. Harale Rameshwar Bhagwan
Age: 30 years, Occ. Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. M. Surpinpri P Bokhand,
Tq. & Dist. Parbhani.

44. Palve Vishal Uttamrao
Age: 33 years, occ. Service Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. Nutan Colony, New Bhokardan,
Tq. Bhokardan, Dist. Jalna.

45. Khod Arjun Kerunath
Age: 29 years, Occ. Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. Mauli Nagar, Near Anand Chhatralay
Pangri Road, Dist. Beed.

46. Shaikh Samad Shaikh Mahamad
Age: 26 Years, Occ. Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. Khokarmoha, Tq. Sheeur, Dist. Beed.

47. Bhale Santosh Bhagwanrao
Age: 36 years, Occ. Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. 2-8-12, Shri Shukleshwar Mandir,
Near Collector Office, Fajalpura,
Dist. Aurangabad.

48. Fartale Raghunath Shriram
Age: 32 years, occ. Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. Talegaon, Tk. & Dist. Beed.

49. Dharapawar Rameshwar Bhausaheb
Age: 31 years, occ. Service as Senior Clerk,
R/o. Sainagar, Near Adv. Sasane,
New Jalna 431203.

50. Pathan Anis Khan Basharat Khan
Age: 30 years, Occ. Service as Driver
R/o. House No.9, Panchayat Samiti
Quarters, Vikasnagar, Jalna.

51. Mohite Amol Shrimantrao
Age: 35 years, occ. Service as Driver,
R/o. Gandhi Nagar, Opp. Shital Kirana
store, Jalna 431203.

52. Matal Baalu Baburao
Age: 30 years, occ. Servie as Senior Clerk,
R/o. Behind Vitthal Mandir,
Near Bhusare Kirana Shop
Yeshwantnagar Parbhani.

53. Nemane Ganesh Vishwanath
Age: 33 years, occ. Service as Accounts Officer Group C
R/o. Vir Hanumantwadi, Old Kava Road
Near Hanuman Mandir, Latur.

54. Dadke Yogeshkumar Shankarrao
Age: 34 years, occ. Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. Chaudhari Galli, Pathri, Dist. Parbhani.

55. Syed Meera Rashid
Age: 38 years, occ. Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. II/74, Bldg. No.7, Class-III quarters,
ZP Campus, Hingoli.

56. Ingole Keshav Bhanudas
Age: 30 years, occ. Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. O/o. Executive Engineer,
PMGSY, MRRDA, Siddharth Colony, Hingoli.

57. Deshmukh Varun Keshavrao
Age: 31 years, occ. Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. Plot No.07, Narharnagar,
Ring road, Nanded.

58. Deshmukh Pankaj Vasantrao
Age: 30 years, Occ. Service as Junior Engineer,
R/o. Near Motha Maroti Temple,
Deshmukh Galli, Dist. Parbhani.

59. Dhade Vinod Damodhar
Age: 37 years, occ. Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. Plot No.284, Anandnagar,
Akola Bypass, Hingoli.

60. Dhabe Damodhar Ganpatrao
Age: 49 years, Occ. Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. Pusegaon, Tal. Sengaon, Dist. Hingoli.

61. Dabhade Babasaheb Pundlikrao
Age: 27 years, Occ. Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. Indira Nagar, Kacheri Road,
(Near Maruti Mandir), Old Jalna.

62. Dhere Manita Prabhu
Age: 28 years, occ. Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. Swarajyanagar, Near Gholap Classes,
Barshi Road, Beed.

63. Narwade Amol Sahebrao
Age: 27 years, occ. Service as Assistant Junior Engineer,
R/o. At Maradga, Post Kuli,
Tk. Hadgaon, Dist. Nanded.

64. Dube Dinesh Vijaykumar
Age: 36 years, occ. Service as Senior Clerk,
R/o. Risala Naka, Shrinagar,
Opp. Ganesh Mandir, Hingoli.

65. Adhav Balaji Ambadas
Age: 28 years, occ. Service as Senior Clerk,
R/o. II/58, Bldg No.5, Residence of Class-III,
Zilla Parishad Campus, Hingoli.

66. Khillare Chandu Bhuru
Age: 36 years, occ. Service as Peon,
R/o. A.P. Salegaon Sandas,
Tk. Kallamnuri, Dist. Hingoli.

67. Sandip Walmikrao Jadhav
Age: Major, occ. Service,
R/o. PMGSY Office, Jalna,
Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana
Office, Jalna. **.... PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. Union of India,
Through The Secretary,
Ministry of Rural Development.

2. The State of Maharashtra
Through the Secretary
Rural Development and Irrigation
Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. **.... RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6536 OF 2015

1. Dnyaneshwar Ravindra Pawar
Age : 24 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. C/o PMGSY,
Executive Engineer's Office,
Nandurbar

2. Vasudeo Yuvraj Kuwar
Age : 30 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. as above

3. Rashmi Dhananjay Joshi
Age : 33 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. as above

4. Anil Shantaram Pagare
Age : 35 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. as above

5. Patil Sandeep Panditrao
Age : 32 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. C/o PMGSY,
Executive Engineer's Office,
Dhule

6. Shaikh Farjana Gaffar
Age : 32 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. as above

7. Suvarna Bhalchandra Lad
Age : 30 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. as above

8. Sonawane Yogesh Ramdas
Age : 34 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. as above

9. Gopal Sharad Mahale
Age : 36 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. as above

10. Vijay Vishwanath Ahire
Age : 38 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. as above

11. Sujata Sheshrao More,
Age : 33 years, Occu. & Res. as above

12. Sharad Jerma Vasave,
Age : 30 years, Occu. & Res. as above

13. Salil Dilkhush Shaikh,
Age : 27 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. C/o PMGSY,
Executive Engineer's Office,
Ahemadnagar

14. Parmeshwar Popat Berad,
Age : 30 years, Occu. & Res. as above

15. Mahendra Bhausaheb Mungase,
Age : 34 years, Occu. & Res. as above

16. Shrikant Anand Nawale,
Age : 29 years, Occu. & Res. as above

17. Ravindra Fakira Sansare,
Age : 34 years, Occu. & Res. as above

18. Ramdash Dashrath Barde,
Age : 36 years, Occu. & Res. as above

19. Kiran Sahebrao Mhaske,
Age : 28 years, Occu. & Res. as above

20. Santosh Punjaram Gunjal,
Age : 32 years, Occu. & Res. as above .. **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. Union of India
Through The Secretary,
Ministry of Rural Development

2. The State of Maharashtra
Through the Secretary,
Rural Development & Irrigation
Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. .. **RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6410 OF 2015

Vanmala Vasant Godbole,
Age : 30 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. : Village Jawla (D) at Post Dagadgao,
Tq. Loha, Dist. Nanded. .. **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. The Union of India,
Through its Additional Secretary,
Ministry of Rural Development,
New Delhi.

2. The State of Maharashtra
Through Secretary,
Rural Development and Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.

3. Maharashtra Rural Road Development
Association, Through its Empowered
Officer/Chief Engineer,
Maharashtra Rural Roads Development Association
Rural Development and Water Conservation Dept.
(Government of Maharashtra)

Bandhkam Bhavan, 7th Floor, 25 Marzban Road,
Fort Mumbai – 400001.

3-A) Chief Engineer (P.M.G.S.Y.)
Maharashtra Rural Roads Development Association
New Administrative Building
Third Floor, In front of Vidhan Bhavan
Camp-Pune.

4. Maharashtra Rural Road Development
Superintending Engineer (P.M.G.S.Y.)
Aurangabad Region, Plot No-3, Block No-107
First Floor, Above SBH Branch, Satara Area
Near Renuka Mata Mandir Gate,
Beed Bye Pass road, Aurangabad 431002.

5. Executive Engineer
[Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana]
Maharashtra Rural Development Association
Zilla Parishad Building Premises
Railway Station Road, Nanded,
Tq. And Dist. Nanded.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad,
Railway Station Road Nanded. .. **RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6408 OF 2015

1. Bolnewad Sapna Govindrao
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Near Monohar Talkies, Mukhed,
Tq. Mukhed, Dist. Nanded.

2. Nemichand s/o Premchand Rathor
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service,
R/o. Zee Planet Siddhivinayak Complex,
D.P. Road, Beed.
Tq. & Dist. Beed. **.... PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The Union of India,
Through its Additional Secretary,
Ministry for Rural Development, Delhi.
2. The State of Maharashtra
Through Secretary
Rural Development and Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

3. Maharashtra Rural Road Development
Association, Through its Empowered
Officer / Chief Engineer,
Maharashtra Rural Roads Development Association
Rural Development and Water Conservation Dept.
(Government of Maharashtra)
Bandhkam Bhavan, 7th Floor, 25 Marzban Road,
Fort Mumbai-400001.

3-A. Chief Engineer (P.M.G.S.Y.),
Maharashtra Rural Road Development Association
New Administrative Building
Third Floor, In front of Vidhan Bhavan
Camp, Pune.

4. Maharashtra Rural Road Development
Superintending Engineer (P.M.G.S.Y.)
Aurangabad Region, Plot No.3, Block No.107
First Floor, Above SBH Branch, Satara Area

Near Renuka Mata Mandir Gate,
Beed Bye Pass Road, Aurangabad-431002.

5. Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Maharashtra Rural Road Development Association
Zilla Parishad Building Premises
Railway Station Road, Nanded,
Tq. & Dist. Nanded.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad,
Railway Station Raod Nanded. **.... RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5232 OF 2015

1. Satish Dnyanoba Jondhale,
Age : 38 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. : Ambedkar Nagar, Purna,
Tq. Purna, Dist. Parbhani.

2. Salari Naveed Aqueel Ahmed,
Age : 36 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. : Near Madina Masjid,
Malikpura, Parli-vaijnath,
Tq. Parli Vaijnath, Dist. Beed.

3. Gulam Najmul Hassan Gulam Jilani,
Age : 34 years, Occu. : Service,
C/o. : Dr. Gulam Gaffar Devan Bada
Tq. Kandhar, Dist. Nanded.
At present : Beside Dr. M. S. Pathan,
Hanuman Mandir Road, Shri Nagar,
Nanded, Tq. & Dist. Nanded. **.. PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The Union of India,
Through its Additional Secretary,
Ministry of Rural Development,
New Delhi.

2. The State of Maharashtra
Through Secretary,
Rural Development and Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.

3. Maharashtra Rural Road Development
Association, Through its Empowered
Officer/Chief Engineer,
Maharashtra Rural Roads Development Association
Rural Development and Water Conservation Dept.
(Government of Maharashtra)
Bandhkam Bhavan, 7th Floor, 25 Marzban Road,
Fort Mumbai – 400001.

3-A) Chief Engineer (P.M.G.S.Y.)
Maharashtra Rural Roads Development Association
New Administrative Building
Third Floor, In front of Vidhan Bhavan
Camp-Pune.

4. Maharashtra Rural Road Development
Superintending Engineer (P.M.G.S.Y.)
Aurangabad Region, Plot No-3, Block No-107
First Floor, Above SBH Branch, Satara Area
Near Renuka Mata Mandir Gate,
Beed Bye Pass road, Aurangabad 431002.

5. Executive Engineer
[Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana]
Maharashtra Rural Development Association
Zilla Parishad Building Premises
Railway Station Road, Nanded,
Tq. And Dist. Nanded.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad,
Railway Station Road Nanded. **.. RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 4907 OF 2015

1. Shrinivas s/o Gopalrao Ghonsikar,
Age : 32 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. : Shrilaxmi Vishal Nagar, Parodwadi,
Nanded, Taluka and District Nanded.

2. Nitin s/o Ganeshrao Mundhe,
Age : 25 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. : At : Selmoha, Post : Badvani,
Taluka : Gangakhed, District Parbhani.

3. Arjun s/o Venkatrao Borgaonkar,
Age : 27 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. : ND-41-K2 1/11, Vijay Nagar,
Cidco, Nanded, Taluka and District : Nanded.

4. Ravi s/o Marotirao Raut,
Age : 32 years, Occu. : Service,
R/o. : Geeta Nagar, Near Mayur Talkies,
Nanded, Taluka and District : Beed. .. **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400032

2. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Secretary,
Rural Development Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.

3. The Chief Engineer
Rural Development Department
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400032.

4. The Superintending Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Aurangabad Division, Aurangabad
District Aurangabad.

5. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
District : Nanded.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Nanded.

7. Union of India
Through its Secretary
Rural Development Department,
Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi. **.. RESPONDENTS**

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6316 OF 2018

1. Naiknawre s/o Ranjit Mahadeo,
Age : 27 years, Occu. : Civil Engg. Assistant,
R/o. : Jamgaon, Tq. Barshi,
Dist. Solapur.

2. Gadkar Sujata Navnath,
Age : 31 years, Occu. : Civil Engg. Assistant,
R/o. : Tugaon, Tq. & Dist. Osmanabad.

3. Ravikant s/o Vithalrao Gaikwad,
Age : 27 years, Occu. : Civil Engg. Assistant,
R/o. : Shekapur, Tq. Kandhar,
Dist. Nanded. .. **PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

1. The Union of India
Through its Secretary
Rural Development Department,
Mantralaya, Delhi.

2. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

3. The Secretary, Rural Developments
Ministry, Mantralaya, Mumbai.

4. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development,
Ministry Mantralaya,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mumbai.

5. The Superintendent Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Aurangabad)
District Aurangabad.

6. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Osmanabad)
District : Osmanabad.

7. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Osmanabad. **.. RESPONDENTS**

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4145 OF 2015

Aparna d/o. Mahadev Nawale
Age: 37 years, Occu: Junior Engineer,
R/o. Flat No. 7, Pitaji Residency,
Opp. Shahu Vidyalaya, Shanu Nagar, Beed. .... **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Chief Secretary
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

2. The Secretary Rural Developments
Ministry Mantralaya, Mumbai.

3. The Chief Engineer,
Rural Development,
Ministry Mantralaya,
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna)
Mumbai.

4. The Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Aurangabad)
Dist. Aurangabad.

5. The Nodel Executive Engineer
(Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Beed)
Dist. Aurangabad.

6. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Beed.

7. The Union of India
Through its Additional Secretary for
Rural Development Department, New Delhi. **.... RESPONDENTS**

*****

Mr. D. S. Mali a/w Mr. P. A. Kulkarni a/w Mr. R. P. Adgaonkar, Advocates for Petitioners in respective W. Ps.

Mr. Mohit. R. Deshmukh, Advocate for Petitioners in respective WP.

Mr. Krushna S. Tandale, Advocate for Petitioners in respective WP.

Mr. A. N. Nagargoje, Advocate for Petitioners in respective WP.

Mr. Vishal S. Kadam, Advocate for Petitioners in W.P. respective WP.

Mr. D. B. Pokale, Advocate for Petitioner in respective W.P.

Mr. R. S. Deshmukh & Mr. Avinash Deshmukh, Advocates for Petitioners in respective W.P..

Mr. Pankaj Kumar a/w Mr. C.M. Jha a/w Mr. Sahil Raja a/w Ms. Draksha Khan a/w Mr. Jitendra Jain, Advocates for the Petitioners in respective W.P.

Mr. G. R. Syed a/w Mr. Rehan Khan, Advocate for Petitioners in respective W.P.

Mr. Arun H. Koralkar, Advocate for Petitioners in respective W.P.

Mr. D. S. Bagul, Advocate for Petitioners in respective WP.

Mr. P. A. Kulkarni, Advocate for Petitioners in respective WP.

Mr. Y. S. Choudhari, Advocate for Petitioners in respective W.P.

Mr. A. D. Aghav, Advocate for Petitioners in respective W. P.

Mr. V. D. Sapkal, Senior Advocate a/w Smt. R. P. Gour a/w Mr. Vishwambhar Bhosale, AGP for Respondent-State in respective W.Ps.

Mr. Rahul Bagul, Senior Counsel for Respondent-U.O.I. in respective W.P..

Mr. U. B. Bondar, Advocate for Respondents in respective W.P.

Mr. S. D. Kaldate, Advocate for Respondent-Zilla Parishad in respective WP.

Mr. S. B. Deshpande & Mr. D. G. Nagode, Advocate for Respondent in respective W.P.

Mr. R. H. Mewara, Advocate for Respondents in respective WP.

Mr. A. B. Dhongade, Advocate for Respondent in respective WP.

Mr. N. T. Tribhuwan, Advocate for Respondents in respective WP.

Mr. N. S. Salunke, Advocate for Respondents in respective WP.

Mr. K. S. Salunke, Advocate for Respondents in respective WP.

Mr. N. T. Bhagat, Advocate for Respondents in respective WP.

Mr. S. W. Mundhe, Advocate for Respondent in respective WP.

Mr. Rajendra S. Sanap, Advocate for Respondent in respective WP.

Mr. Akshay Kulkarni, Advocate for Respondent for in respective WP.

*****

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT & SUSHIL M. GHODESWAR, JJ.

RESERVED ON : 5th JANUARY 2026.

PRONOUNCED ON : 23rd JANUARY 2026.

JUDGMENT :- [PER KISHORE C. SANT, J.]

1. Heard the learned Advocates for the respective parties.

2. Writ Petition No. 6313 of 2018 is not on board. Upon mentioning, taken on board.

3. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith by consent of the parties, the group of writ petitions is taken up for final disposal.

4. This group of writ petitions raises common questions and arises out of almost similar facts. Writ Petition No.527/2025 (Original WP/4418/2016) is transferred from the Nagpur Bench to this Bench i.e. Aurangabad Bench, and clubbed together with other writ petitions by Administrative Order dated 06/11/2025 passed by the Hon'ble Administrative Judge. Rule was granted in WP/527/2025 by order dated 03/09/2018. Thereafter, notices were again issued to all the parties and they were served as per office report.

5. Inspite of service, none appears for the Respondent Nos. 5(a) to 5(e)- in respective Zilla Parishads in WP/527/2025.

6. The petitioners, in all these writ petitions, are the persons appointed from time to time under the *Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak*

Yojana (PMGSY, for the sake of brevity) in the year 2007 till 2012, as per the dates specifically mentioned in the respective writ petitions. The petitioners were appointed to various posts. The petitioners have now approached to this Court seeking creation of posts and for permanency benefits with the contention that since 2007 or their respective date of appointment, they have been continuously working till date, except for technical breaks given only for the purpose of denying the benefits of permanency.

7. It is the case that the scheme continued though subsequently, the name of the scheme was changed to *Mukhyamantri Gram Sadak Yojana* (MGSY). Thereafter, it was again re-named as *Pradhanmantri Gramin Sadak Yojana* (PGSY, for the sake of brevity). Though the names have been changed, the work remained the same and the staff also remained the same. All the petitioners are paid from Government funds. Initially the scheme was implemented through Zilla Parishad, and now a society i.e. Maharashtra Rural Development Agency **(MRDA)** is formed by the Government of Maharashtra, wherein the Members are the Secretary

level officers of various Departments and it is this Society -MRDA under which the petitioners are presently working.

8. In the above facts, the learned Advocate Mr. Pankaj Kumar, appearing in WP/527/2025, makes the following submissions that, the petitioners were appointed by following due process of law and by creating the posts. All these posts are substantive posts. Attention is invited to the advertisements issued by the Zilla Parishad for appointment of persons on various posts. The first advertisement was published by the Zilla Parishad, later on the advertisements were issued by the Maharashtra Rural Development Agency (MRDA) under the PMGSY. It is the State who pays the salary. Respondent No.2 - Maharashtra Rural Roads Development Association (**MRRDA**) was brought into picture by Government decision dated 08/05/2003. Though the constitution of controlling agency has changed, the work remained the same. The funding is done by the Union of India (Central Government). The scheme is now extended till 2047. The nature of work is thus permanent. The work is continuous in nature. Only to deprive the

petitioners from the benefits of permanency, artificial breaks are given, though the work continuous uninterrupted. Now, the scheme is named as *Mukhyamantri Baliraja Panand/Raste Yojana* as of 14/12/2025 with the same employees. He thus submits that, for all the purpose, the petitioners are practically permanent employees. He further submits that now, the Government has taken a decision on 04/11/2025 thereby the persons from health scheme taken only for the scheme under the Health Department are now given the permanent status. He submits that the posts are vacant in the Zilla Parishad where all the petitioners can be absorbed and no creation of posts is required for that purpose.

9. In support of his submission, he relied upon the following judgments:

(i) Vinod Kumar and Ors. Vs. Union of India and Ors.[1]*;*
(ii) Jaggo Vs. Union of India and Ors.[2]*;*
(iii) Dharam Singh and Ors. Vs. State of U.P. and Anr.[3]*;*

10. Learned Advocate Mr. Dayanand Mali, appearing for petitioners in respective Writ Petitions, adopted the arguments of learned Advocate Mr.

1 (2024) 9 SCC 327
2 2024 SCC OnLine SC 3826
3 Passed by Hon'ble Apex Court in CA/8558/2018 dated.19.08.2025.

Pankaj Kumar. He submits that when the petitioners were appointed, they were placed on pay scale of 5500-9000. He further submits that in his case also the vacancies are lying vacant with the Zilla Parishad. He invited attention to the minutes of meeting of Rural Development Department dated 10/07/2010 to substantiate his argument that for all the purposes the vacancies are permanent and the nature of work is permanent.

11. The learned Advocate Mr. Avinash Deshmukh, appearing in WP/4465/2015 and 4992/2015, submits that in the year 2010, total 1091 posts were created and those were treated at par with the regular employees. He submits that for the same work extracted through outsourcing, higher salaries are paid, whereas the petitioners who have been working for a long time, are continued on the same salary which was granted to them at the time of their appointments without any additional benefits. This amounts to discrimination and unfair treatment. He thus prays that the petition deserves to be allowed.

12. It is submitted that the Welfare Government is not expected to exploit persons like petitioners. He invited attention to the selection process that was conducted while giving appointment to the petitioners. The eligibility of any of the petitioners is not disputed. There is no dispute that they were appointed by following due process of law. He relied upon the judgment in the case of ***Sheo Narain Nagar and Ors. Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and Ors.***[4]

13. Learned Advocate Mr. Kulkarni appearing in WP/4130/2015 and WP/4125/2015, adopted the arguments of earlier Advocates. Learned Advocate Mr. D. S. Bagul appearing in WP/6536/2015 and WP/6529/2015, also adopted the arguments of other Advocates in other Petitioners. He relied upon the Government Resolution dated 31/07/2018.

14. The learned Advocate Mr. Mohit Deshmukh, appearing in WP/4591/2015 and WP/5270/2015, adopted the argument of earlier Advocates. He also submits that the posts were created with pay scales clearly showing that the petitioners were appointed like any other

4 2018 AIR (SC) 233

Government Employees. He submits that a Committee was constituted for recruitment and appointment of the petitioners and that their appointments cannot be termed as backdoor entries. They are paid Traveling Allowances (TA) and Dearness Allowances (DA) corresponding to pay scale. The technical breaks are given at regular intervals only to deprive the petitioners of permanency benefits. He relied upon the judgments in the case of ***Ajay Hasia and Ors. Vs. Khalid Mujib Sehravardi and Ors.***[5] and judgment passed in Writ Petition No.2046/2010 (Nagpur Bench). He thus submits that the petitions deserve to be allowed.

15. He relied on the judgment in the case of ***Ajay Hasia and Ors.*** (supra), while countering the argument of respondents that no writ can be issued against society. He submits that in the present case, the society is totally controlled by the Government, and therefore, is a State under Article 12 of the Constitution of India.

16. Opposing the petitions, the learned Senior Advocate Mr. V. D. Sapkal, appearing in all these writ petitions, except WP/527/2025,

5 (1981) 1 SCC 722

submits that the entire record shows that the petitioners were necessarily appointed under a scheme. When the petitioners are appointed for a particular scheme, they cannot claim permanency or regularization. Their services are only till the scheme is over. The salary is paid from the funds allotted to the scheme. He took this Court to the prayer clauses and submits that no claim of regularization with the State is made. If the petitioners are seeking appointment in the Government service, they must approach the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal.

17. On merits, he submits that in the advertisements itself, it is clearly stated that the appointments are under the PMGSY scheme on contractual basis. The petitioners having accepted the contractual appointments, cannot now turn around and seek permanency. No writ is prayed against the Zilla Parishad. The advertisement is only for one year. The work is now done under the different schemes. There is no challenge to such policy in the petitions. There is no work now available of permanent nature. He relied upon the judgment in the case of ***Secretary, State of Karnataka and Ors. Vs. Umadevi and Ors.***[6], where it is

6 2006 AIR (SC) 1806

held that no right can be created of regularization. He relies on the reply filed in WP/4130/2015, common in all the writ petitions. He submits that the establishment of MRRDA, which is a Society registered under the Societies Registration Act. The said society though is established by the Government cannot be said to be an instrumentality of the State under Article 12 of the Constitution of India. For the present, the posts are with the societies and not with the Zilla Parishad or the Government and no substantive posts are created. The scheme is only as per direction of Union of India. He thus submits that the petitions deserve to be dismissed as there is no vested right in any of the petitioners.

18. The learned AGP Ms. Gaur, while countering the argument in WP/527/2025, adopted the argument of learned Senior Advocate Mr. Sapkal. In addition, she submits that even if the benefits of salary are to be given, the petitioners would be entitled to get the benefits of arrears at the most for a period of three years preceding filing of the petition.

19. In support of the submissions of learned AGP, she relied upon the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Civil Appeal No.4134 of

2022 in the case of ***Rushibhai Jagdishchandra Pathak Vs. Bhavnagar Municipal Corporation*** dated 18.05.2022. The Hon'ble Apex Court in various other cases have held that the petitioners shall be entitled to get the arrears of salary only for three years prior to the date of filing of writ petition. This Court finds that the petitioner also entitled to get the benefits of arrears with bank interest. The Hon'ble Apex Court passed the judgment considering the judgment in the case of Union of India and Ors. Vs. Tarsem Singh. [(2008) 8 SCC 648].

20. To counter this, the learned Advocate Mr. Avinash Deshmukh, points out from WP/4465/2015 submitting that the posts are created in Zilla Parishad.

21. Learned Senior Advocate relied upon the following judgments:

(i) S. S. Rana Vs. Registrar, Co-op. Societies, and Anr.[7]*;*

(ii) M.D., U.P. Land Dev. Corpn. And Anr. Vs. Amar Singh and Ors.[8]*;*

(iii) Madhyamik Siksha Parishad, U.P. Vs. Anil Kumar Mishra and Ors. etc.[9]*;*

(iv) Delhi Development Horticulture Employees' Union Vs. Delhi

7 (2006) 11 SCC 634
8 AIR 2003 SC 2357
9 AIR 1994 SC 1638

Administration, Delhi and Ors.[10]*;*

(v) *Secretary, State of Karnataka and Ors. Vs. Umadevi and Ors.*[11]*;*

(vi) *Md. Abdul Kadir and Anr. Vs. Director General of Police, Assam and Ors.*[12]*.*

(vii) *State of Haryana and Ors. Vs. Piara Singh and Ors.*[13]*;*

(viii) *Bhagwan Dass and Ors. Vs. State of Haryana and Ors.*[14]

22. He ultimately submits that the petitioners can at the most seek only equal salary but not regularization.

23. Learned Advocate Mr. Kaldate appearing for Zilla Parishad Osmanabad, submits that the petitioners were never employees of the Zilla Parishad. The Zilla Parishad is only looking to the work under the scheme being Nodal Agency. He also relied upon the letter dated 06/11/2025 (WP/6315/2015 Page 108). Mr. U. B. Bondar, learned Advocate for Zilla Parishad, Aurangabad and Latur, adopted arguments of learned Senior Advocate Mr. Sapkal.

24. Learned Advocate Mr. Rahul Bagul, appearing for Union of India,

10 AIR 1992 SC 789
11 AIR 2006 SC 1806
12 AIR 2009 SC (supp) 1054
13 AIR 1992 SC 2130
14 AIR 1987 SC 2049

supports the stand of the State Government and submits that the scheme is introduced and funded by the Union of India only for the purpose of implementation of the scheme.

25. The facts, in these writ petitions, are not disputed. The question is only about the entitlement of the petitioners to seek permanency benefits and regularization in the service. This Court has already noted that the scheme is introduced and funded by the Central Government. The work was initially carried out by the Zilla Parishad and is now carried out by the Society - MRRDA. From the record, it is seen that the petitioners were appointed by following due process of law and by creating posts. There is material on record in the form of advertisements to show that the appointments were made by following due process. The advertisement also provided for eligibility criteria, selection process etc. and even reservation policy is followed, just like other employment. The funds are received from the Government. Initially, it was the Zilla Parishad which was assigned the work of implementation of the scheme. The name of the scheme went on changing. However, the nature of the

work remained the same. The petitioners continued to work on the same posts to which they were appointed since their appointments till date, except for technical breaks. There is material on the record wherein the directions were issued to give technical breaks with specific statement that, to avoid the liability of making the petitioners permanent, the breaks are to be given. This strengthens, the argument of the petitioners that the work is of permanent nature and that they are continuously working but for the technical breaks. It is also matter of record that the implementation of the scheme is extended till 2047. The construction of the roads, maintenance etc. is certainly the work of a permanent nature. Just by labeling it under a scheme, the Government cannot change the nature of work. This is coupled with the fact that, in the cases of Health Department, the Government has granted permanency benefits by regularizing the services of the person who were appointed by following due selection process, just like in the present cases.

26. So far as the argument of learned Senior Advocate that the scheme is looked after by the Society is concerned, this Court finds that all the

office bearers of the society are necessarily officers of the Government at the Secretary level. By no stretch can it be said that the society is a private entity not fulfilling the criteria of "State" under Article 12 of the Constitution of India. This Court needs to consider the judgments relied upon by the learned Advocates for the respective parties.

27. In the case of ***Ajay Hasia and Ors.*** (supra). It is held that when a society is funded and controlled by the Government, it takes the character of the State for the purpose of Article 12 of the Constitution of India.

28. In the case of ***Dharam Singh and Ors.*** (supra), the appellants were engaged by the U.P. Higher Education Services Selection Committee (for short "Commission") between 1989 and 1992. The appellants served as Class-IV employees, and some of the appellants worked as Class- III employees and one as a driver. They were given daily wages and later on were paid consolidated monthly salary. The Commission therein had resolved to create fourteen posts of Class – III and Class – IV. The Government had even granted sanction for creation of posts. The

appellants therein approached the High Court seeking permanency and regularization. The High Court, however, refused to grant the relief. The Hon'ble Apex Court by relying on the judgments in the case of ***Jaggo Vs. Union of India*** (supra) and ***Shripal and Anr. Vs. Nagar Nigam Ghaziabad***[15]***,*** observed that the outsourcing cannot become a convenient shield to perpetuate precariousness and to sidestep fair engagement practices where the work is inherently perennial. The Court further observed that where work recurs day after day and year after year, the establishment must reflect that reality in its sanctioned strength and engagement practices. It is observed that this is against Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India. The sensitivity to the human consequences of prolonged insecurity is not sentimentality. The constitutional discipline is required in every decision affecting those who keep public offices running. The Court also considered regularization and creation of supernumerary posts, financial consequent and arrears. It considered the cases of retired appellants, who retired during the pendency of the proceeding, the cases of deceased appellant etc.

15 2025 SCC OnLine SC 221

Ultimately, the Court observed that the work of the petitioners are perennial nature. It is also observed that it is not mere negligence but rather conscious method of denial that erodes livelihoods and dignity for these workers. The Court directed the creation of supernumerary posts and also directed regularization, subsequent financial benefits etc. and allowed the appeal.

29. In the case of ***Jaggo Vs. Union of India and Ors.*** (supra), the Hon'ble Apex Court held, in somewhat similar cases, that the service of the appellant therein was uninterrupted for periods extending well beyond ten years. It is held that such tenure cannot be brushed aside merely by labelling their initial appointments as part-time or contractual. The nature of such employment needs to be considered in the light of sustained contribution, the integral nature of the work and there was no evidence to suggest that the entries were through any illegal or surreptitious route. The Hon'ble Court in the judgment also considered the judgment in the case of ***Umadevi*** (supra) and distinguished the said judgment. It is observed that in the case of ***Umadevi*** (supra), the

appointments were made dehors any procedure and were amounting to backdoor entries, whereas in the case at hand, the appointments were made by following procedure for appointment and selection process and there was nothing to indicate that the entries were backdoor entries.

30. In the case of ***Vinod and Ors.*** (supra), the Hon'ble Apex Court considered the entitlement of the employees to regularization by reclassification from temporary to regular employees on the ground of continuous service akin to permanent employees. In the said judgment also the case of ***Umadevi*** (supra) is considered. In the said case, the appellants were appointed to ex-cadre posts of Accounts Clerks after a selection process involving written tests, viva-voce and interviews. The appellants made representation for regularization in the year 1999. Since the same were not considered, they approached the Central Administrative Tribunal. The original applications were dismissed, holding that the appointments were of a temporary nature and for specific scheme, and refused to regularize the services or to absorb them into permanent posts. The High Court upheld the order of the Tribunal

and thus the employees were before the Hon'ble Apex Court. The Hon'ble Apex Court considered the judgment of ***Umadevi*** (supra) and held that the reliance on procedural formalities cannot be used to perpetually deny substantive rights those have accrued over a considerable period through continuous service. Their promotion/appointments were based on a specific notification for vacancies and a subsequent circular, which was thereafter followed by a selection process involving written tests and interviews. This was taken to be distinguishing factor from the case of ***Umadevi*** (supra) wherein the appointments were held to be "irregular" and "illegal" whereas, in the present case the appointments were made on merits by following due process of law.

31. In the case of ***Sheo Narain Nagar and Ors.*** (supra), the Hon'ble Apex Court was dealing with a case where the appellants were initially engaged on daily wages basis in 1993. Later on in 1996, they were appointed on contractual basis. In the year 2000, the appointment orders were issued appointing the appellants as regular employees on

the minimum pay scale. In the year 2006, they were confirmed with the status of temporary employees with retrospective effect from 2002. When the employees approached the High Court, the High Court only directed that their cases be considered for regularization and dismissed the writ petition. The order passed by the learned Single Judge was confirmed by the Division Bench. The employees thus were before the Hon'ble Apex Court. In the said case, the Hon'ble Apex Court held that the appellants had rendered the services for three years, when they were offered the service on contract basis. The case could not be said to be back door entry. There was no rule in place for offering such appointment and thus the appointment could not be said to be illegal or in contravention of Rules. In that view, the appeals were allowed directing that the services of the appellant therein be regularized from 02/10/2002 with consequential benefits and arrears of pay. The payment was directed to be paid within three months from the date of order.

32. This Court thus find that, in all above cases, the major

considerations before the Hon'ble Apex Court were whether the appointments of the employees therein were backdoor appointments or were made by following due process. Secondly, as to whether the work was of perennial nature. Thirdly, whether the regularization can be granted. All these questions were answered in favour of the employees and relief were granted to the employees.

33. So far as judgments relied upon by the respondents are concerned, the first judgment is in the case of ***S. S. Rana Vs. Registrar, Co-op. Societies and Anr.*** (supra). In the said case, the writ petition was filed seeking direction to the Co-operative Societies. The Court considered that the Co-operative Society cannot be treated as "State" within the meaning of Article 12 of the Constitution of India. However, from the said judgment, it is seen that the co-operative society in that case, was neither creation of a statute nor was any violation of mandatory provisions was shown. There was no control over the society by the State and on that fact, it was held that the society is not "State" under Article 12 of the Constitution of India. This Court has already considered a

judgment in the case of ***Ajay Hasia and Ors.*** (supra). This Court find in the present case that the judgment in the case of ***Ajay Hasia and Ors.*** **(supra)** is clearly applicable.

34. In the case of ***M. D., U. P. Land Development Corporation and Anr.*** (supra), the persons were appointed for training under the scheme on fixed stipend. There were no regularly sanctioned posts available. The scheme under which the employees were appointed was also closed. The High Court, however, had allowed the writ petition and thus the Corporation had been to the Supreme Court. In the said case, the services were continued under the order of the Court. The Hon'ble Apex Court observed that no vested right is created in temporary employment and no directions could have been issued in absence of any existing vacancies. No direction to create posts could have been issued in non-existent establishment. In that view, the appeal was allowed.

35. In the present case, the appointments were made by following regular selection process. The establishment is very well available. From the documents, it is shown that the scheme will continue till 2047. In

any case, the construction and maintenance of roads cannot be said to be work of temporary nature. It is necessarily work of a regular nature.

36. In the case of ***Madhyamik Siksha Parishad, U.P.*** (supra), it was held that there were no sanctioned posts in existence to which the respondents therein could be appointed. The assignment was ad-hoc one for particular period. In the case of ***Delhi Development Horticulture Employees' Union*** (supra), it was the case under Jawaharlal Nehru Rozgar Yojna. It was held that the appointment could not have been continued after expiration of the scheme. The employment was through implementing machinery of the Jawaharlal Nehru Rozgar Yojna. The work was given purely on daily wages basis. There was nothing to indicate that the nature of the work was of perennial nature or that sanctioned posts were available.

37. In the case of ***Secretary, State of Karnataka and Ors. Vs. Umaevi an Ors.*** (supra). In the said case, the appointments were made as backdoor entries. The appointments were on daily wages basis or temporary/contract basis. The appointments were also in violation of

constitutional scheme. In the said case, direction was given to regularize the employment by treating them as permanent. This judgment has already considered in the case of ***Jaggo Vs. Union of India and Ors.*** (supra). This Court, therefore, finds that the judgments relied upon by the petitioners are perfectly applicable in the present case.

38. In the case of ***Md. Abdul Kadir and Anr.*** (supra), in the said case also the appointments were made for a particular project. It was held that merely because the scheme was in operation for some decades or that the employees continued on ad-hoc basis for one or two decades, would not entitle them to seek permanency or regularization. This judgment is already considered in the case of ***Jaggo*** (supra).

39. In the present case, these persons were not appointed on a daily wages basis but were appointed under the scheme. The said scheme is continued till now. As per the material on record, it is to be continued even till 2047. The fact remains that they were appointed like any other employees on permanent basis. The posts are also created initially.

40. In the case of ***State of Haryana and Ors. Vs. Piara Singh and Ors.*** (supra), it was held that an ad-hoc employee cannot be replaced by another ad-hoc employee. It was accepted fact that the persons were working as ad-hoc employees. In the case of ***Bhagwan Dass and Ors.*** (supra), it was held that the employees were appointed under the scheme. They were appointed for six months each time by giving technical break. The appointment was under temporary scheme and in that view, it was held that the employees would not be entitled to be absorbed as regular employees on permanent basis from the date of initial appointment. It was held that however, they would be entitled to get pay on the basis of length of service computed from the date of their appointment, disregarding the breaks given to them for limited purpose. This judgment also, in fact, held that the persons are required to be paid as per scheme only what was denied was regularization.

41. At this stage, it is the material to see that the present Society is also a "State" under Article 12 of the Constitution of India. The learned Advocate Mr. Deshmukh relied upon the judgment in the case of ***Ajay Hasia and Ors.*** (supra). It is held that when society is controlled by the

State, it can be treated as an instrumentality of the State under Article 12 of the Constitution of India. In the present case, it is clearly seen that initially, the appointments were made by the Zilla Parishad, as at the relevant time, the implementing machinery was the Zilla Parishad. Though now a society has been established, it is clearly seen that the scheme is funded by the Union of India. The society is established, funded and controlled by the State. The only purpose for which the society is established is to implement the scheme, which is extended till 2047. This Court has already held that the work is of perennial nature. The Government Resolution dated 31/07/2018 also clearly shows that the technical breaks are given only with the view to see that no right of permanency is created. Government Resolution itself shows that the work is of perennial nature and the Government has every apprehension that if no technical breaks are given benefits of permanency will have to be given as the work is of perennial nature. The welfare Government is not expected to adopt such tactics and deny the benefits of permanency to the employees. Though the petitions are to be allowed, this Court finds the submissions of learned AGP to be correct so far as the payment

of the arrears is concerned. The petitioners are entitled to get the arrears of salary for the period of three years preceding date of filing of the petition with bank interest.

42. For all these reasons, this Court finds that writ petitions deserve to be allowed with following directions.

43. Hence, following order:-

ORDER

(i) Writ Petitions stand allowed.

(ii) The Respondents-Authorities are directed to regularize the services of all the petitioners on their posts with effect from their respective initial dates of joining in service, with consequential benefits. Arrears of pay to be paid to the petitioners in all these writ petitions within a period of 6 months from today as per Rule.

(iii) Respondents-Authorities to create the posts to absorb the petitioners as either in Zilla Parishad or in the State Government or in the Society.

(iv) Petitioners shall be entitled to receive the arrears of salary for a period of three years preceding date of filing of the petition with bank interest.

(v) Rule made absolute in above terms.

(vi) In view of disposal of these writ petitions, pending Civil Applications, if any, do not survive and same also disposed off accordingly.

[SUSHIL M. GHODESWAR, J.] **[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]**

D.A.ETHAPE