



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**952 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8446 OF 2026
IN CP/75/2026**

The Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Jalgaon
Versus
Dipak Savai Sarode

Mr. N. B. Patil for Applicant - State
Mr. V. S. Panpatte for the Respondent

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 75 OF 2026
IN WP/13368/2025**

Dipak Savai Sarode
Versus
Shri Ranjit Singh Deol And Others

Mr. V. S. Panpatte for the Petitioner
Mr. N. B. Patil for State

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE &
VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : 06.08.2026

PER COURT :

- 1] Heard.
- 2] Although theailable warrant issued against Respondent No. 3 – the Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Jalgaon, in the main Contempt Petition is shown as awaited, Respondent No. 3 is present before the Court.

3] The learned AGP has pointed out that, in compliance with the directions issued by this Court, the petitioner's proposal has already been decided. The communication dated 27.07.2026 addressed to the concerned Principal has also been placed on record along with the affidavit-in-reply, and a copy thereof has been communicated to the petitioner. Thus, it appears that the directions issued by this Court have been complied with.

4] The petitioner is at liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings for challenging the rejection of his proposal for grant of approval, if so advised. In view thereof, nothing survives in the main Contempt Petition. Consequently, the pending Civil Application seeking recall of the order issuing the bailable warrant against Respondent No. 3 is allowed and the said order stands recalled.

5] However, considering the conduct of Respondent No. 3 and the delay in deciding the petitioner's proposal, we deem it appropriate to impose costs upon Respondent No. 3, as the proposal came to be decided only on the very day the bailable warrant was issued against her.

6] Accordingly, Respondent No. 3 shall pay costs of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) to the Chairman, Library Committee, Advocates' Association of Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad, within two weeks from today.

7] Accordingly, the Civil Application as well as the main Contempt Petition stand **disposed of**.

(VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV)
JUDGE

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE