



CGHC010395332026

2026:CGHC:43600

NAFR

JYOTI
SHARMA

Digitally signed by
JYOTI SHARMA
Date: 2026.10.08
17:21:01 +0530

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 7355 of 2026

Rajkumar Tamboli S/o Shri Bhagwat Prasad Tamboli, Aged About 58 Years Posted As Additional Collector, Janjgir-Champa, R/o Gram Chhatauna, Ward No. 02, Post - Hirri Mines , District - Bilaspur (C.G.)
... **Petitioner(s)**

versus

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, General Administration Department Mahanadi Bhawan Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, District Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Deputy Secretary, General Administration Department, Mahanadi Bhawan Nava Raipur Atal Nagar , District Raipur(C.G.)
3. The Commissioner Bilaspur, Bilaspur Division , District Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. The Collector Janjgir -Champa District Janjgir-Champa Chhattisgarh.
5. Shri Pramod Kumar Gupta Additional Collector, Balrampur-Ramanujganj, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	: Mr. Siddharth Pandey, Advocate
For Respondents/ State	: Mr. Y.S. Thakur, Addl a.G. along with Ms. Apurva Nigam, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Bibhu Datta Guru, Judge

Order on Board

08/10/2026

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has assailed the impugned transfer order dated 29.09.2026, whereby he has been transferred from the post of Additional Collector, District Janjgir-Champa, to District

Narayanpur on administrative grounds. He submits that the petitioner had undergone kidney transplantation in the year 2021 and requires regular medical treatment at Apollo Hospital, Bilaspur. His transfer to Narayanpur would cause serious hardship in continuing the said treatment and is also contrary to Clause 1.10 of the Transfer Policy dated 05.06.2025. He further submits that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 29.09.2026 before the respondent No. 1 seeking modification of his place of posting on medical grounds. He, therefore, prays that the said representation may be directed to be considered and decided in accordance with law. He further prays that, till a decision is taken on the said representation, the petitioner may be permitted to continue at District Janjgir-Champa.

2. Per contra, learned State counsel opposes the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner and submits that, pursuant to the impugned transfer order, respondent No.5 has already joined at the place of posting of the petitioner. He submits that, if the representation of the petitioner is pending consideration, the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with law within a stipulated period. However, at this stage, no interim protection may be granted in favour of the petitioner.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.
4. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the

case, respondent No.1 is directed to consider and decide the pending representation dated 29.09.2026 submitted by the petitioner by passing a reasoned and speaking order, strictly in accordance with law and the applicable Transfer Policy, preferably within a period of 25 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With the aforesaid direction, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

SD/-
(Bibhu Datta Guru)

JUDGE

Jyoti