



CGHC010382122026



2026:CGHC:44893

Digitally signed by
RAMESH KUMAR
VATTI
Date: 2026.10.16
18:00:03 +0530

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9322 of 2026

* - Arvind Yadav S/o Late Lekhan Yadav, Aged About 28 Years R/o Village Harrapath, Chowki Sonkyari, Police Station Sanna, District Jashpur (C.G.)
... **Applicant**

Versus

* - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station - Kunkuri, District – Jashpur (C.G.)
... **Non-Applicant**

For Applicant : Mr. Manoj Kumar Yadav, Advocate

For Non-Applicant : Ms. Ritika Verma, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey **Order on Board**

16/10/2026

1. The applicant has preferred this first bail application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 as he is in jail since 22.06.2026 in connection with Crime No. 84/2026 registered in Police Station- Kunkuri, District Jashpur (CG) for commission of offence punishable under Sections 305(a), 331(3) & 3(5)) of BNS.
2. As per prosecution story, on 28.04.2026, the complainant Vinayak Mishra lodged a report at Police Station, Kunkuri to the effect that some unknown persons have entered into premises of Maa Guru Fly Ace Bricks Industries, Ginabahar and stolen submersible pump & wire situated in the said premises. The complainant has suspected that co-accused namely Hemant Yadav @ Butul is habitual to commit such

type of theft and on the basis of his memorandum, the present applicant was arrested on 22.06.2026 and crime was registered against him for the offence as stated above.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant is innocent, he has been falsely implicated in the present case and he is in jail since 22.06.2026. He further would submit that no any stolen articles have been recovered from the present applicant and only on the basis of suspicion, the applicant has been arrested, charge-sheet has already been filed and conclusion of trial is likely to take quite some time, therefore, he may be granted bail. He would further submit that the co-accused- Hemant Yadav @ Butul has already been granted bail by the High Court vide order dated 09.07.2026 in MCRC No. 4793/2026.
4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application and submits that the charge sheet has already been filed, the applicant has 02 criminal antecedents, therefore, the bail application of the applicant may be rejected.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the parties, the nature of the allegation and the fact that the applicant is in jail since 22.06.2026, charge-sheet has already been filed, trial will take considerable time to conclude, further the co-accused has already been granted bail, without commenting on the merits of the case, I am inclined to grant bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the bail application of the applicant is **allowed**.

7. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

I. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such fact to the Court;

II. He shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial;

III. He shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till the disposal of the trial; and,

IV. He shall not involve himself in any offence of a similar nature in future.

8. The observation made in the course of this order is only for considering the case of the applicant on the application for the grant of regular bail. The concerned trial Court shall not be influenced or bound by any observation made in the course of this order.

9. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge