




CGHC010378832026



2026:CGHC:43958

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCC No. 952 of 2026**

Dineshwar Das S/o Shri Chhedi Das Aged About 35 Years R/o Village
And Post Kudurmal, Tahsil And District Korba, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Higher Education
Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur
Chhattisgarh

2 - Commissioner Higher Education, Block- 3, Second And Third
Floor, Indrawati Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur,
Chhattisgarh

3 - Guru Ghasidas University Bilaspur Through Its Registrar, Koni
Bilaspur District Bilaspur (C.G.)

4 - Bharat Lal Roll No. 17012054 C/o Commissioner, Higher
Education, Block- 3, Second And Third Floor, Indrawati Bhawan,
Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar District Raipur, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

(Cause title taken from CIS)

For Petitioner(s)	: Shri Aniket Verma, Advocate
For Respondent(s)	: Shri Ghanshyam Kashyap, Dy. Govt. Advocate
For Respondent no. 3	: Shri Neeraj Choubey, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Bibhu Datta Guru, Judge

Order on Board

12.10.2026

1. This MCC has been filed seeking restoration of W.P.(S) No. 11445/2025, which came to be dismissed on account of non-compliance with the procedural requirements.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant had filed the aforesaid writ petition challenging the select list issued by the respondent authorities, whereby the applicant, despite securing Rank 104 with 67.473 marks for the post of Laboratory Technician, was declared ineligible for recruitment.
3. It is further submitted that, vide order dated 15.07.2026, this Court directed issuance of notice to newly added respondent No. 4 by ordinary as well as registered post within a period of 10 days. However, due to inadvertence,

the applicant could not deposit the requisite process fee within the stipulated period. Subsequently, vide order dated 06.08.2026, further time of 10 days was granted to cure the defects, failing which the writ petition was directed to stand dismissed without further reference to the Court.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant thereafter deposited the requisite process fee through speed post as well as ordinary post on 07.08.2026. However, the Registry treated the defect as not having been duly cured due to the non-filing of an application for condonation of delay, pursuant to which a memo for dismissal of the writ petition was issued on 09.09.2026. It is submitted that the procedural lapse was neither intentional nor deliberate and that the applicant has been diligently pursuing the matter. Accordingly, learned counsel prays for restoration of the writ petition to its original number.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant and perused the material available on record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the explanation furnished by the applicant, this Court is of the view that the applicant deserves an opportunity to

pursue the writ petition on its merits. The procedural lapse appears to have occurred due to inadvertence, and the interest of justice would be served by restoring the writ petition to its original number.

7. Accordingly, the MCC is allowed. The order dismissing W.P. (S) No. 11445/2025 shall stand recalled, and the aforesaid writ petition is restored to its original number.
8. The applicant/petitioner is directed to cure the defects, if any, remaining in the writ petition within a period of three days from today.
9. The MCC stands disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-

**(Bibhu Datta Guru)
JUDGE**

Shoaib