



CGHC010290482021



2026:CGHC:32151

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 4386 of 2021

Food Safety Services A Proprietary Concern Having Its Office At Unit No. 18, First Floor, Padole Corner Building, Untkhana, Nagpur, Maharashtra 440 009. Through Its Proprietor Shri Radha Raman Lahoti, S/o. Kamal Kishore Ji Lohati, Aged About 31 Years, Occ. Business, Unit No. 18 First Floor, Padole Corner Building, Untkhana, Nagpur, Maharashtra 440009., District : Nagpur, Maharashtra

--- **Petitioner(s)**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh through its Department of Health & Family Welfare & Medical Education, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - The Commissioner Food Safety And Controller Food And Drugs Administration, 4th Floor, Block- I, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh. 492002, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - M/s. Aroma Shiksha Seva Samiti, Near Nirmal School, Nilambari Complex, Kosabadi, Korba Chhattisgarh, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

--- **Respondent(s)**

WPC No. 5197 of 2021

Food Safety Services, A Proprietary Concern Having Its Office At Unit No. 18,
First Floor, Padole Corner Building, Untkhana, Nagpur, Maharashtra 440009,
Through Its Proprietor Shri Radha Raman Lahoti,

---Petitioner(s)

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh through its Department of Health & Family Welfare
& Medical Education, Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - The Commissioner Food Safety And Controller Food And Drugs
Administration, 4th Floor, Block-I, Indrawati Bhavan, Naya Raipur,
Chhattisgarh 492002

3 - M/s. Sanchay Education Society, D-12 Anupam Nagar, Behind TV Tower,
Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh

--- Respondent(s)

For Petitioners	: None appears
For State	: Ms. Shailja Shukla, Govt. Advocate

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

27/07/2026

1. None appears for the petitioners even when the case is taken up
for hearing in the second round.
2. As there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners, this
Court is left with no other option but to dismiss these writ petitions
for want of prosecution.

3. Accordingly, both the writ petitions are dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Praveen