



CGHC010309562026

2026:CGHC:38056

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCC No. 792 of 2026

Goutam Meshram S/o Thanwar Meshram Aged About 46 Years R/o Kumarda, Tehsil Chhuriya, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

... Petitioner.

Versus

1 - Tara Bai D/o Sitaram W/o Sunderlal Chandrikapure, R/o Shikari Baba Ward No. 05, Dalli, Rajhara, District Balod, Chhattisgarh. ---Through The General Power Of Attorney Holder Smt, Shanti Bai Gajbhiye Wife Of Nandkishore Gajabhiya, Aged About 63 Years R/o Station Para, Ward No. 13, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

2 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Collector Rajnandgoan District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

... Respondents.

(cause title downloaded from CIS Periphery)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Pramod Ramteke, Advocate.
For Res No.2/State	:	Mr. Vikhyat Arora, Panel Lawyer.

(Hon’ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)

Order on Board

25/08/2026

- 1. Heard on IA No.1/2026 – Application for condonation of delay.
- 2. Considering the reasons assigned in the application and short delay of 5 days, IA No.1 is **allowed**. Delay in filing the MCC is condoned.

Digitally signed
by AJAY KUMAR
DWIVEDI
DN: cn=AJAY KUMAR
DWIVEDI, ou=HIGH
COURT, o=HIGH
COURT OF
CHHATTISGARH,
st=Chhattisgarh,
c=IN
Date: 2026.08.25
17:07:00 +0530

3. Heard on application for restoration of MCC No.945/2025 (Goutam Meshram Vs. Tara Bai and another) which for non-compliance of order dated 22.06.2026, on 06.07.2026.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that due to inadvertence, he could not cure the default within the stipulated, due to which, the original MCC was dismissed, which is bona fide and not intentional.
5. Taking into consideration the submission of learned counsel for the applicant and the reasons assigned in the application, I am inclined to allow the instant MCC.
6. Accordingly, the MCC is allowed. MCC No.945/2025 (Goutam Meshram Vs. Tara Bai and another) be restored to its original number and be listed for hearing as per roster.

Sd/-

(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge

Ajay