



CGHC010298542026

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 7122 of 2026

Majharul Kadari S/o Shri Sahebjan Ansari Aged About 40 Years R/o Village Hilsar,
51 Tola, Thana Bhagwanpurhat, District Sivan, Bihar

... Applicant

versus

State of Chhattisgarh Through, Police Station Balod, District- Balod, C.G.

... Respondent

For applicant	:	Shri B.P. Singh, Advocate
For Respondent	:	Shri Anand Gupta, Dy. GA

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Sachin Singh Rajput)

Order on Board dated 08.09.2026

This **first** application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed by the applicant who is in custody in connection with Crime No. 281/2019 registered at PS Balod, CG, for the offence punishable under Sections 420/34 BNS and sections 3, 4 and 5 of Prize Chits and Money Circulation Schemes (Banning) Act.

2. Case of the prosecution in short is that complainant Jitendra Kumar Hirwani lodged a report to the effect that he deposited money in Indus Wear Industry Limited with its Head Office in Delhi and Branch Office at Balod, which was opened in between 2013 and 2015 and the accused persons had taken money from several persons stating that it would be doubled after some time, but thereafter they ran

away after shutting down the office. The complainant is stated to have deposited Rs. 10,000/- and its maturity date was in the month of November, 2018 but the company has not returned the said amount. Therefore, the applicant along with other accused persons has committed the said offence.

3. Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. He submits that the applicant was arrested on 23.05.2026. According to him, the other accused persons who were arrested and tried for the aforesaid offences have already been acquitted after a full-fledged trial by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Balod vide judgment dated 20.08.2025 in Criminal Case No. 666/2024. He submits that the allegations made against the applicant are also similar in nature. He submits that no notice was ever served on the applicant in connection with the said offence and that he had voluntarily surrendered. It is submitted that the offence alleged against the applicant is triable by Magistrate First Class. He further submits that the applicant is ready and willing to abide by all the terms and conditions as may be imposed by this Court and that as the trial may take some more time, bail may be granted to him.

4. State counsel however opposes the application for bail and submits that looking to the allegations made, the applicant is not entitled to be released on bail. He submits that name of the applicant found place in the FIR, that he was absconding and tried to evade the arrest, that the police tried its best to arrest him, that there are criminal antecedents as two cases were registered against him in Police Station Chetganj, District Varanasi (UP) and one case was registered in police station Garwahanagar, District Garhawa, Jharkhand. He submits that the applicant is resident of Bihar and therefore there is all likelihood of his absconding in case the bail is granted to him.

5. Considering the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, the facts and circumstances of the case, the seriousness of the allegations made, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the application is **rejected**. However, the applicant can renew the prayer for bail after four months from today in

case the trial is not concluded by then. Meanwhile, the trial Court is expected to conclude the trial expeditiously.

Sd/-
(Sachin Singh Rajput)
Judge

Jyotishi

Digitally
signed by
AVANISH
JYOTISHI
Date:
2026.09.09
14:18:43
+0530