



CGHC010297142026



2026:CGHC:36199-DB

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPIL No. 46 of 2026

Gangadhar Chhatrapati S/o Bairagi Chhatrapati, Aged About 68 Years R/o House No. 32, Bamdhadih, Salhetarai, District Mahasamund (C.G.)

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur (C.G.).

2 - Collector, Collectorate, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

3 - S. D. O. (Revenue), Sub-Division Bilha, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

4 - Tehsildar, Tehsil Bilha, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

5 - Nova Iron And Steel, Through Managing Director, Village Dagori, Tehsil Bilha, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Respondents

(Cause Title taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ravikar Patel, Advocate
For Respondents/State	:	Mr. Praveen Das, Additional A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, Judge

Order on Board

VED
PRAKASH
DEWANGAN

Digitally signed
by VED
PRAKASH
DEWANGAN
Date:
2026.08.17
17:19:15 +0530

Per Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

14/08/2026

1. Heard Mr. Ravikar Patel, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. Praveen Das, learned Additional Advocate General appearing on behalf of the State/respondents.
2. The present writ petition styled as 'Public Interest Litigation' has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayers:-

“10.1 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to call for the entire record pertaining to the case.

10.2 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to set aside the allotment of land made to respondent no.5, being contrary to law.

10.3 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent state authorities to grant adequate compensation to the land oustees or legal heirs of khasra no. 860/1 of village Dagori, and/or,

10.4 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent state authorities to allot alternative land to the land oustees or their legal heirs for agricultural purposes in accordance with law;

10.5 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent state authorities to initiate an enquiry proceedings, who are lose the revenue record (Dayra Panji) of the Khasra No. 860 of the year 1975-76.

10.6 Any other relief, which this Hon'ble Court may, deems fit and proper may also be awarded to the petitioner including the cost of the petition."

3. In the present petition, the petitioner has filed I.A. No. 3 of 2026 seeking exemption from depositing the security amount. However, we are not inclined to allow the said application. Accordingly, I.A. No. 3 of 2026 is rejected. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is willing to deposit the security amount.
4. In view of the aforesaid submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition after depositing the security amount in accordance with the rules.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

ved