



CGHC010291762026



2026:CGHC:32917

RUCHI
YADAV

Digitally
signed by
RUCHI
YADAV

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 6882 of 2026

1 - Somprakash Koyal S/o Lakhan Koyal Aged About 22 Years R/o
Baglota, P.S. Bilaigarh, Distt. Sarangarh-Bilaigarh Chhattisgarh

--- Applicant(s)

versus

1 - State of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Kasdol, Distt.
Balodabazar-Bhatapara Chhattisgarh

--- Respondent(s)

For Applicant(s)	:	Mr. Anchal Kumar Matre, Advocate
For Respondent(s)/State	:	Ms. Sameeksha Gupta, P.L.

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

30.07.2026

1. This is the **first bail application** filed under Section 483 of the
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking grant of
regular bail to the applicant, who is in judicial custody in

connection with Crime No. 210/2026 registered at Police Station Kasdol, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara (Chhattisgarh), for the offences punishable under Sections 324(4), 331(4) and 62 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. According to the prosecution case, on the night of 14.05.2026, an unknown person allegedly trespassed into the official residence of the Hon'ble Judge by jumping over the boundary wall and caused damage to the Judge's vehicle and other property within the residential premises before fleeing from the spot. On the basis of the written complaint, Crime No. 210/2026 was registered for the alleged offences. During investigation, two mobile phones were recovered from near the place of occurrence, which allegedly led the investigating agency to the present applicant. The applicant was thereafter taken into custody and on the basis of the material collected during investigation, he was arrested on 16.05.2026. After completion of the investigation, the charge-sheet has been filed before the competent Court.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case and has not committed any offence as alleged. It is submitted that the FIR was initially registered against an unknown person and the applicant has subsequently been implicated during the course of investigation, which casts serious doubt on the prosecution case. It is further submitted that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 16.05.2026, the charge-sheet has already been

filed. It is also submitted that the applicant has no criminal antecedents and the trial is likely to take considerable time. Therefore, it is prayed that the applicant be enlarged on regular bail.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that though the applicant has no criminal antecedents and the charge-sheet has already been filed, considering the material collected during investigation, the applicant is not entitled to the benefit of regular bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the material available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 16.05.2026, the investigation has been completed and the charge-sheet has already been filed, the applicant has no criminal antecedents, and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude, this Court is of the opinion that further custodial detention of the applicant is not warranted at this stage. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is inclined to allow the present bail application.
7. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**. Let the Applicant – **Somprakash Koyal** involved in Crime No.- 210/2026 registered at Police Station Kasdol, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara (Chhattisgarh), for the offences punishable under Sections

324(4), 331(4) and 62 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, be released on bail on furnishing **personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in

accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. However, this Court hopes and trusts that the trial Court shall make an earnest endeavour to conclude the trial expeditiously from the receipt of this order in accordance with law, if there is no legal impediment.
9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice