



CGHC010262352026



2026:CGHC:29674

NAFR

VAIBHAV
SINGHDigitally signed
by VAIBHAV
SINGH
Date: 2026.07.14
16:15:20 +0530**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 6275 of 2026**

Rajesh @ Laxman S/o Ramavtar Maravi Aged About 35 Years Ward No. 05
Near Bus Stand Bilha, District- Bilaspur (C.G.) **...Applicant**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station
Balodabazar District- Balodabazar- Bhatapara (C.G.). **... Non-applicant**

For Applicant	: Mr. Ravi Kumar kashyap, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	: Mr. Sangarsh Pandey, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****14.07.2026**

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 151/2026, registered at Police Station - Balodabazar District- Balodabazar - Bhatapara (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 392, 506, 120-B and 34 of the IPC.

- 2.** The prosecution case, in brief, is that the complainant lodged a report alleging that after her daughter-in-law left the matrimonial home along with her grandchildren, her son died due to the shock and distress caused by the incident. It is alleged that, upon learning of the complainant's grief, the accused persons induced her to believe that they could bring back her grandchildren in consideration of money. Acting on their assurance, the complainant allegedly paid a sum of Rs. 3,00,000/- to the accused persons on 27.04.2024. However, after receiving the said amount, the accused persons allegedly failed to bring back the complainant's grandchildren and refused to return the money. During the course of investigation, it was alleged that the present applicant had introduced the complainant to the main accused, whereafter the alleged monetary transaction took place between the complainant and the main accused, leading to the registration of the present case against the applicant and the other accused persons.
- 3.** Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that the only allegation against the applicant is that he had accompanied the co-accused and introduced the complainant to them, who allegedly assured her that they would bring back her grandchildren. Thereafter, the entire transaction, including the alleged payment of Rs. 3,00,000/-, took place between the complainant and the co-accused, and the applicant neither received any part of the amount nor was he present at the time the money was allegedly paid. Learned counsel further submits that even the complainant, in her

statement, has stated that the applicant had merely introduced her to the other accused and was not present at the time of the alleged incident. It is also submitted that there is an unexplained delay in lodging the FIR, as the alleged incident occurred on 27.04.2024 whereas the FIR was registered only on 20.06.2026. It is contended that the essential ingredients of the alleged offences are not made out against the applicant, who has no criminal antecedents and the applicant is in jail since 27.03.2026. Therefore, it is prayed that the applicant be enlarged on regular bail.

4. On the other hand learned State counsel opposes the bail application of the present applicant and submits that the charge-sheet has been filed in the present case.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case-diary.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations levelled against the present applicant, the fact that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court, that the applicant has no criminal antecedents, and that he has been in jail since 27.03.2026, and further considering that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail..
7. Let applicant, **Rajesh @ Laxman**, involved in Crime No.151/2026, registered at Police Station - Balodabazar District- Balodabazar - Bhatapara (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 392, 506,

120-B and 34 of the IPC., be released on bail on furnishing **personal bond** with **two local sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to provide a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice