



CGHC010260772026



2026:CGHC:29666

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1098 of 2026

Poshan Das Sahu S/o Tej Lal Jethumal Aged About 46 Years R/o Ward No. 04, Gram Mujgahan Tahsil Marri Bangala Deori, P.S. Deori, District Balod Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Deori, District Balod Chhattisgarh

... Non-Applicant

For Applicant	:	Dr. Sudeep Agrawal, Advocate.
For Non-Applicant	:	Shri Jitendra Shrivastava, GA.
For Objector	:	Shri Anukul Biswas, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice
Order on Board

14/07/2026

1. This **first anticipatory bail** application under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed by the applicant, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.72/2026 registered at Police Station – Deori, District – Balod (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 420/34

Digitally signed by KRISHNA KUMAR BARVE Date: 2026.07.15 19:30:14 +0530

IPC.

2. The prosecution story, in brief, is that the complainant has lodged a report with the concerned Police Station stating that on the basis of forged will dated 15.3.2003, the present applicant mutated land bearing Khasra No.937, ad measuring 1.09 hectare situated at village Mujgahan, District Balod in connivance with Halka Patwari and the land was mutated in the name of the applicant. On the basis of such allegation, FIR was registered. Hence, the bail application.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that essential ingredients of offence under Section 420 of the IPC are missing and the FIR has been lodged belatedly. The complainant has neither challenged the will dated 15.3.2003 before the competent Court nor till date has raised any grievance. Therefore the applicant be released on bail.
4. On the other hand, learned State counsel and learned counsel for the Objector vehemently oppose the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant. However, State counsel submits that the applicant does not have any criminal antecedent.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused all of the documents taken on record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and the fact that the dispute involved in the case appears to be of civil in

nature, as such, this Court is inclined to extend the benefit of anticipatory bail to the applicant.

7. Accordingly, the instant MCRCA is **allowed** and it is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant – **Poshan Das Sahu**, on executing **a personal bond** with **one surety** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(a) He should not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court.

(b) He should not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial.

(c) he should appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

(d) The Applicant and the sureties shall submit a copy of their adhaar card alongwith a colored postcard full size photo having printed the adhaar number on it, which shall be verified by the trial Court.

(e) He should not involve himself in any offence of similar nature in future.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE