



CGHC010253922026



2026:CGHC:34274

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 6330 of 2026

- Uday Kumar Yadav S/o Mangal Yadav Aged About 26 Years R/o Manki, Chaucki Pinkapar, P.S. Deori, Distt. Balod, Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant.

versus

- State of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Of Police Deori, Distt. Balod, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent(s)

For applicant	:	Mr. Shikhar Sharma, Advocate.
For Respondent(s)/State.	:	Ms. Subha Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer

(Hon'ble Mr. Justice Narendra Kumar Vyas)

Order on Board

05/08/2026

1. In pursuance of the earlier direction given by this Court, victim has appeared before this Court through video conferencing and raised no objection about releasing the applicant on bail and her objection is taken on record.
2. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant, who has been arrested 31-3-2025 in connection with

Crime No. 119 of 2025 registered in Police Station Deori, District Balod (C.G.) for offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 64(2)(m) of BNSS 2023 and Sections 4, 5 (I) & 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.

3. Case of the prosecution in brief, is that on 23-8-2025 uncle of the victim has lodged a report in Police Station Deori, District Balod alleging that one unknown person has abducted his minor girl/victim. It is also alleged that on 22-8-2025 victim has left the house after taking some clothes and when her younger sister made a query where she was going, then she told her sister that she was going for cleaning the clothes to pond and she did return to his house till night. Accordingly, a missing report was lodged against unknown person. Thereafter, during investigation it was found that the applicant was involved in commission of offence.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the victim has been examined before the trial Court wherein she has not supported the case of the prosecution. He would further submit that the victim and the applicant have solemnised their marriage and from their wedlock one child was born. He would further submit that charge sheet has already been filed, applicant is in custody since 31-3-2025 and trial will take considerable time to conclude, therefore, the applicant may be released on bail.
5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposing the bail application would submit that the applicant was involved in commission of heinous offence and even after marriage solemnised with minor girl that would not be a ground for releasing the applicant on bail.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

7. Considering the statement of the victim who in her evidence before the learned trial Court has not supported the case of the prosecution and also the fact that the victim and the applicant have solemnised their marriage and from their wedlock one child was also born and further considering the fact that the applicant is in incarceration from 31-3-2025 and trial will take some time to conclude, I am inclined to grant bail to the applicant. Therefore, the application filed under Section 483 of BNSS is allowed. It is directed that in the event of executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety of the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court for his appearance before the concerned Court as and when directed by the said court, he be released on bail.

Sd/-

(Narendra Kumar Vyas)

Judge

RAVVA
SATYANARAYANA
RAJU

Digitally signed by
RAVVA
SATYANARAYANA
RAJU
Date: 2026.08.06
11:00:31 +0530

Raju