

(WPS No. 4166 of 2020)

Digitally
signed by
ADITI
DIWAN
KAIWART
Date:
2026.09.01
17:11:58
+0530



CGHC010230052020



2026:CGHC:38645

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 4166 of 2020**

1 - Mrs. Pragati Prakash, W/o Mr. Padmanabh Prakash, Aged About 31 Years By Occupation Lecturer (Panchayat) Posted At Government Higher Secondary School Kaneri, Block Gurur, District Balod Chhattisgarh

--- Petitioner(s)**versus**

1 - The State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, School Education Department Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

3 - Director, Panchayat And Rural Development, Department, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.,

4 - District Education Officer, District Balod Chhattisgarh.,

5 - Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat, District Balod Chhattisgarh

--- Respondent(s)

(Cause-title taken from the Case Information System)

For Petitioner :- Mr. Parag Kotecha, Advocate

For Respondent-State :- Mr. Pranjal Shukla, Panel Lawyer

(WPS No. 4166 of 2020)

SB - Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order On Board

01.09.2026

1. Heard.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent department has counted the previous service of the petitioner for the purpose of revised pay scale but not counting the same for the purpose of absorption in the department, for which the petitioner may be allowed to make representation before the respondent/competent authority.
3. Learned State Counsel would submit that if the petitioner makes representation with regard to counting her previous service for the purpose of absorption, it would be considered and decided within a period of six weeks.
4. Be that as it may, the petitioner is allowed to file a representation along with copy of this order and other relevant documents before the respondent department/competent authority for redressal of her grievance within three weeks from today, and, in turn, the respondent department/competent authority is directed to consider and decide the said representation of the petitioner on its own merit strictly in accordance with law

(WPS No. 4166 of 2020)

by passing a reasoned and speaking order within six weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation.

5. With the aforesaid direction and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands finally **disposed of**.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

@d!t!