



CGHC010222142025



2026:CGHC:40986-DB

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 4519 of 2025

Vimal Kumar Shukla S/o Shri Chandra Bhushan Shukla Aged About 56 Years Working As Engineering Assistant, R/o H. No. 37, Baradiya Vihar, Amalidih Raipur, Chhattisgarh (Earlier R/o C/o Narayan Singh Parmar, Bhavna Sugandh Bhandar, Thana Road, Dalli Rajhara, Balod, Chhattisgarh- 491228 As Mentioned In The Cause Title Of The Impugned Order)

... Petitioner

versus

1 - The Union Of India Through Its Secretary, Ministry Of Information And Broadcasting Government Of India, Room No. 655, A Wing, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi, 110001

2 - Chief Executive Officer Prasar Bharti, Broadcasting Corporation Of India, Prasar Bharti Secretariate, Prasar Bharti House Copernicus Marg, New Delhi- 110001

3 - D.G. Doordarshan Room No. 523 (Tower- A), Doordarshan Bhawan, Copernicus Marg, New Delhi 110001

4 - A.D.G. (E) West Zone, Television Maintenance Centre, 10th Floor, Doordarshan Parisar, Worli, Mumbai- 400030

5 - Shri Rama Kant Bohare The Then Assistant Engineer, Doordarshan Maintenance Centre, Raipur, And Now Assistant Director (Engineering), Doordarshan Kendra, Indore, Madhya Pradesh. 452001

... Respondents

(Cause title, as taken from CIS)

For Petitioner : Mr. A.V. Shridhar, Advcoate.
For Respondent/UOI : Mr. Ramankant Mishra, Dy. Solicitor General.

Hon'ble Mr. Krushna Ram Mohapatra, Chief Justice

Hon'ble Mr. Santosh Sharma, Judge

Order on Board

Per : Krushna Ram Mohapatra, Chief Justice

21.09.2026

1. The petitioner, in this writ petition, seeks to assail the order dated 03.04.2025 passed by learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur (for brevity, 'CAT, Jabalpur') in Original Application No. 1159 of 2019 filed by the petitioner whereby Original Application was dismissed.
2. Although learned counsel for the parties made elaborate submissions on merit of their respective cases, but learned counsel for the petitioner submits that representation submitted to the Additional Director General (E), West Zone, Television Maintenance Centre (respondent No. 4), has not yet been considered. He, however, submits that the appellate authority is Director General, Doordarshan, New Delhi (respondent No. 3).
3. Mr. Mishra, learned Deputy Solicitor General submits that if the petitioner files an appeal before respondent No. 3 within the time stipulated by the Court, the same shall be considered within 45 days thereafter following due procedure of law.
4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner does not have any objection to such submission.
5. In view of the above, this Court without dwelling into the order impugned herein, disposes of the writ petition with an observations that in the event, the petitioner files a properly constituted appeal before the Director

General, Doordarshan, New Delhi (respondent No. 3) within a period of three weeks, the same shall be considered and disposed of as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period 45 days without being influenced by any observations made by learned CAT, Jabalpur in the impugned order and order passed therein shall be communicated to the petitioner forthwith.

6. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
(Santosh Sharma)
Judge

Sd/-
(Krushna Ram Mohapatra)
Chief Justice

AMIT KUMAR DUBEY	Digitally signed by AMIT KUMAR DUBEY Date: 2025.09.22 10:41:27 +0530
------------------------	--