



CGHC010217562026



2026:CGHC:29733

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 5060 of 2026

Laxmi Prasad Yadav @ Navdha Yadav S/o Kushwa Yadav, Aged About 33 Years R/o Village Devarha Siddhbab Chowk, Police Station Janjgir, District- Janjgir - Champa (C.G.)

--- Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Statoin House Officer, Police Station Bhatapara (Gramin), District- Balodabazar- Bhatapara (C.G.)

--- Non-applicant

For Applicant	:	Mr. Amit Singh Chauhan, Advocate.
For Non-Applicant/State	:	Ms. Ritika Verma, Panel Lawyer.

Along with

MCRC No. 5747 of 2026

Ramnarayan Vastrakar S/o Sadhram Aged About 42 Years R/o Sivni P.S. Naila District Janjgir Champa C.G.

---Applicant

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Police Station Bhatapara (Gramin), District – Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara, C.G.

---- Non-applicant

For Applicant	:	Mr. Vijay Shankar Mishra, Advocate.
For Non-Applicant/State	:	Ms. Ritika Verma, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

14.07.2026

- The applicants have preferred these First Bail Applications under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, in connection with Crime No.147/2026, registered at Police Station-

RAJSHEKHAR
SONI

Digitally signed
by
RAJSHEKHAR
SONI

Bhatapara (Gramin), District – Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara (C.G.) for the offences punishable under Sections 21(C) of the NDPS Act.

2. The prosecution story in brief, is that the Police of Police Station – Bhatapara (Gramin), District – Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara (C.G.) has received a secret information through the informant and on the basis of the said information, the Police authority has seized a total of 5 liters of codeine cough syrup from the possession of the co-accused person. Thereafter, the co-accused was arrested and the names of the applicants have come into light from the memorandum statement of the co-accused person. Hence, this application.
3. It has been argued by the learned counsel for the applicants that the applicants are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed in this case, and no such seizure has been made from the possession of the applicants, and the names of applicants are not named in the FIR but merely on the basis of memorandum statement of co-accused, the applicants has been roped in the instant case. The applicants are in jail since 08.05.2026 and 17.03.2026. The conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, therefore, he prays for grant of bail.
4. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application and submits that a total of 5 liters of codeine cough syrup has been seized, which is much above the commercial quantity. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed in this case and the names of the applicants have come into light from the memorandum statement of the co-accused person, which goes to show that it appears to be an organized crime. Hence, this bail

application is liable to be dismissed.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the fact that though nothing is said to be seized from the possession of the applicants and they are not named in the FIR, but also considering the fact that the quantity of contraband article seized from the possession of the co-accused i.e. 5 liters of codeine cough syrup, is much above the commercial quantity and the charge-sheet has been filed in this case and the names of the applicants have come into light from the memorandum statement of the co-accused persons, which goes to show that it appears to be an organized crime, thus, this Court is of the opinion that it is not a fit case to enlarge the applicants on regular bail.
7. Accordingly, the bail application of applicants – **Laxmi Prasad Yadav @ Navdha Yadav** and **Ramnarayan Vastrakar**, involved in Crime No.147/2026, registered at Police Station- Bhatapara (Gramin), District – Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara (C.G.) for the offences punishable under Sections 21(C) of the NDPS Act, is **rejected** at this stage.
8. Needless to say that the learned trial Court is at liberty to proceed with the trial and conclude the same, expeditiously.
9. The Office is directed to provide a certified copy of this order to the trial Court for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice