



CGHC010151072026



2026:CGHC:31460

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****MCRC No. 3792 of 2026**

Pintu Ram S/o Premshankar Agariya, Aged About 32 Years R/o Village Alka, Gadoulipara, Police Station Chalgali, District Balrampur - Ramanujganj C.G.

**... Applicant****versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Basantpur, District-Balrampur Ramanujganj C.G.

**---- Respondent****(Cause title is taken from the CIS)**

VASANT  
KUMAR  
Digitally signed  
by VASANT  
KUMAR  
Date:  
2026.07.23  
14:47:16  
+0530

---

For Applicant : Ms. Sanskriti Pawar, Advocate  
For State-Respondent : Mr. Aman Tamrakar, PL

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Radhakishan Agrawal****Order on Board****23/07/2026**

1. This is the **first** bail application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.190/2025, registered at Police Station- Basantpur, District- Balrampur-Ramanujganj (CG)

for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 87, 64(2)(m), 351(3) of BNS and Sections 5(L)/6 of POCSO Act.

2. The prosecution case is that on 12.10.2025, father of the prosecutrix has lodged the written report before the police station alleging that, the applicant has been committed continuously sexual intercourse with her daughter and promise to give her land in her name. On the basis of said complaint, matter was reported and investigation commenced. During the course of investigation, the applicant was arrested and after completion of investigation, charge-sheet has been filed against the applicant before the competent Court.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant contended that the applicant has not committed any offence and has been falsely implicated in the said case. She further contended that there is delay of 15 days in lodging the FIR without explanation for such abnormal delay. She further contended that as per cross-examination of the victim, she was living in the house of the applicant, wherein the family members of the victim and the applicant were visiting there. She also submits that it is not proved that at the time of incident, the victim was minor and the applicant is in jail since 13.10.2025, and the trial will take considerable time for its conclusion, therefore, the applicant may be released on bail.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the submission made by learned counsel for the applicant and would submit that as per prosecution case, at the time of incident, age of the victim was below 16 years and as per the statement of the victim, the applicant has committed sexual intercourse with the victim, therefore, the applicant may not be released on bail.
5. Pursuant to order dated 22.06.2026, victim appeared before this Court through virtual mode from the DLSA- Balrampur (C.G.). On being asked, she raised objection for grant of bail to the applicant. Her statement is taken on record.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and further considering the material available on record, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the applicant.
8. Accordingly, the bail application of the applicant stands rejected.
9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for information.

Sd/-

**(Radhakishan Agrawal)**  
**Judge**