



ANURADHA
TIWARI
Digitally
signed by
ANURADHA
TIWARI
Date:
2026.06.18
10:18:13
+0530

2026:CGHC:24203-DB

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WA No. 485 of 2026

- 1 - Narendra Kumar Sahu S/o Radhe Shyam Sahu Aged About 54 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Higher Secondary School Dhansir, Block Bilaigarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**
- 2 - Arun Kumar Benarjee S/o Tilak Ram Benarjee Aged About 54 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Patewa, Block And District Mahasamund (C.G.)**
- 3 - Ku. Champa Jangde W/o Kariya Ram Jangde Aged About 54 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Gatadih, Block Bilaigarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**
- 4 - Panch Ram Kaiwart W/o Dayaram Kaiwart Aged About 55 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Bilaigarh, Block Bilaigarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**
- 5 - Bhuwneshwar Prasad Jangde S/o Deo Prasad Jangde Aged About 55 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Bilaigarh, Block Bilaigarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**
- 6 - Jitendra Kumar Sahu S/o Chhedu Ram Sahu Aged About 58 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Paoni, Block Bilaigarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)**

7 - Bhagirathi Miri S/o Mayaram Miri Aged About 50 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Paoni, Block Bilaigarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

8 - Ram Kumar Sahu S/o Bishram Prasad Sahu Aged About 56 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Lahod, Block Balodabazar, District Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

9 - Rameshwar Prasad Sahu S/o Sukharu Ram Sahu Aged About 56 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Pendrawan, Block Bilaigarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

10 - Krishna Kumar Sahu S/o Budh Ram Sahu Aged About 52 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Girls Higher Secondary School, Sarsiwa, Block Bilaigarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

11 - Lomash Kumar Pandey S/o Gayatri Kumar Pandey Aged About 51 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Girls Higher Secondary School, Sarsiwa, Block Bilaigarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

12 - Somwati Sahu W/o Kamta Prasad Sahu Aged About 52 Years Presently Working As Teacher (Lb) At Govt. Middle School, Jamgahan, Block Bilaigarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

... Appellants

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Naya Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Secretary Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Naya Raipur, District Raipur

(C.G.)

3 - Director Directorate Of Public Instruction, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar Naya Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

4 - Commissioner Cum Director Directorate Of Panchayat Atal Nagar Naya Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

5 - District Education Officer District Mahasamund (C.G.)

6 - District Education Officer District Balodabazar- Bhatapara (C.G.)

7 - District Education Officer District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

8 - Sushil Kumar Gupta S/o Kailash Nath Gupta Aged About 49 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Beltikari, Block Bilaigarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

9 - Hemlata Thakur W/o Chetan Kumar Thakur Aged About 51 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Belsonda, Block And District Mahasamund (C.G.)

10 - Raj Kumari Patel W/o Aniruddha Kumar Patel Aged About 52 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Belsonda, Block And District Mahasamund (C.G.)

11 - Lakhan Lal Ratde S/o Duje Ram Ratde Aged About 58 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Lb) At Govt. Higher Secondary School, Beltikari, Block Bilaigarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh (C.G.)

... Respondents

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

For Appellants	:	Mr. Rupesh Sahu, Advocate
For Respondents-State	:	Mr. Prasun Kumar Bhaduri, Deputy Advocate General

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, Judge
Judgment on Board

Per Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

17.06.2026

1. Heard on I.A. No.01, which is an application for condonation of delay of 70 days in filing the instant appeal.
2. After hearing the learned counsel for the appellants and considering the reasons mentioned in the application, we are of the considered opinion that sufficient cause has been shown in the application and accordingly, I.A. No.01 is **allowed** and delay of 70 days in filing the instant appeal is hereby condoned.
3. The appellants have filed this writ appeal against the order dated 24.11.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge in WPS No.8145 of 2025 and other connected matters, by which the learned Single Judge has dismissed the writ petition filed by the writ petitioners / appellants herein.
4. Learned counsel appearing for the parties submit that the issue involved in this writ appeal has already been considered and decided by this Court vide judgment dated **13.03.2026** passed in **WA No.193 of 2026 (*Pushpalata Manikpuri & Others vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Others*)**, wherein this Court held as under:-

“33. Upon perusing the impugned order, we notice that the same has been rendered by the

learned Single Judge with cogent and justifiable reasons. In an intra-court appeal, no interference is usually warranted unless palpable infirmities are noticed. Learned Single Judge while dismissing the writ petition by the impugned order has adverted to all the facts of the case. We do not find any fault in the impugned order.

34. In view of the above, the writ appellants/petitioners have failed to demonstrate that they are similarly situated to the petitioner in Writ Appeal No. 261/2023 (Smt. Sona Sahu v. State of Chhattisgarh and Others). Their claim under the Circular dated 10.03.2017 is, therefore, misconceived, and the learned Single Judge has rightly dismissed the writ petition. Accordingly, the present writ appeal is devoid of merit and is hereby dismissed.”

They further submitted that since the facts and issue involved in the present case is identical to that of WA No. 193 of 2026, this appeal may also be dismissed in the same terms.

5. Having considered the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the materials on record, it is evident that the facts and issue involved in this appeal is identical to **WA No. 193 of 2026**, this Court deems it appropriate not to take a view other than what has been taken in **WA No. 193 of 2026**.

6. Accordingly, the present appeal is **dismissed** in terms of the order dated **13.03.2026** passed in **WA No. 193 of 2026**.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Anu