



CGHC010078282026



2026:CGHC:30980

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2294 of 2026

Ranjit @ Sandeep Suryavanshi S/o Lt. Shri Shivkumar Aged About 29 Years
R/o Bhedimuda Khaiyapara Ward No.09, Ratanpur Police Station And Tehsil
Ratanpur District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

State of Chhattisgarh Through - Station House Officer Police Station- Ratanpur
District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Non-applicant

For Applicant : Mr. Sumit Singh Rathore, Advocate.

For Non-Applicant/State : Mr. Sourabh Sahu, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

21.07.2025

1. This is the First bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 658/2025 registered at Police Station – Ratanpur, District - Bilaspur (C.G.), for the offences punishable under Section 74 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
2. As per the prosecution case, the complainant lodged a written complaint against the applicant alleging that the applicant, who is her neighbour, used to write obscene words on pieces of paper and leave them at her house. It is further alleged that he sometimes placed a blouse inside her

house and asked her to meet him at 1:00 a.m. Based on the said complaint, the police registered the First Information Report against the applicant and arrested him.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present applicant has been falsely implicated in the case. He submits that in fact, the applicant is the neighbour of the complainant and resides with his elderly grandfather, who is about 80 years of age. Owing to his advanced age, the applicant's grandfather used to bathe and attend to his daily routine, including using the toilet located in the porch of the house. Consequently, wastewater and other household waste used to flow through the drainage system passing in front of the complainant's house. On account of the same, the complainant and her friend (the other complainant) frequently quarrelled with the applicant. When the applicant objected to their conduct, the complainant and her friend falsely lodged a complaint alleging molestation against him. So far as the alleged criminal antecedents of the applicant are concerned, it is respectfully submitted that all the above-mentioned criminal cases were registered against the applicant by the police authorities on the very same day. He further submits that there are only 06 prosecution witnesses in the case, all of whom have already been examined. The applicant has been in judicial custody since 11.10.2025, and as the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, he prays that the applicant be enlarged on regular bail.
4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the applicant is the neighbour of the complainant and had been continuously harassing her by leaving obscene handwritten notes and other objectionable articles at her house, thereby outraging her modesty. He further submits that all the prosecution witnesses have already been examined, however, considering the nature and gravity of

the allegations and the criminal antecedents of the applicant, he is not entitled to be released on regular bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and further the fact that there are only 06 prosecution witnesses in the case, all of whom have already been examined, it appears that the trial is at the verge of its conclusion. Therefore, I am of the considered opinion that the applicant is not entitled to the grant of bail.
7. Accordingly, the first bail application of the applicant – **Ranjit @ Sandeep Suryavanshi**, involved in Crime No. 658/2025 registered at Police Station – Ratanpur, District - Bilaspur (C.G.), for the offences punishable under Section 74 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, is **rejected**.
8. Needless to say that the trial Court concerned is at liberty to proceed and conclude the trial expeditiously.
9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice