



CGHC010008782009

2026:CGHC:44906

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SA No. 451 of 2009

Ram Kishore Agrawal S/o Madho Prasad Agrawal, Aged About 45 Years
R/o Village Nirtu, Tahsil And District Bilaspur
Chhattisgarh.....Plaintiff, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

... Appellant

Versus

SAIFAN KHAN
Digitally signed by
SAIFAN KHAN

1 - (A) Smt Raj Kumari Wd/o Makhan Lal, Aged About 56 Years R/o
Village Mahuda, Tahsil And District Bilaspur
Chhattisgarh.....Defendent, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

1.1 - (B) Ramesh Kumar, S/o Makhan Lal, Aged About 26 Years R/o
Village Mahuda, Tahsil And District Bilaspur
Chhattisgarh.....Defendent, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

1.2 - (C) Krishna Kumar, S/o Makhan Lal, Aged About 32 Years R/o
Village Mahuda, Tahsil And District Bilaspur
Chhattisgarh.....Defendent, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

1.3 - (D) Smt. Vimla Bai W/o Mahesh Chandra, Aged About 55 Years
R/o Village Jhalmala, Tahsil Bemetara, District Durg
Chhattisgarh.....Defendent, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

1.4 - (E) Smt. Shashi Kiran, W/o Harish Chandra Sharma, Aged About
36 Years R/o Sarkanda, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.....Defendent,
District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

1.5 - (F) Ku. Sharda, D/o Makhan Lal, Aged About 26 Years R/o Village
Mahuda, Tahsil And District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur,
Chhattisgarh

2 - Vyas Narayan (Died) Through Legal Heirs-

2.1 - (A) Arvind Kumar Shukla, S/o Late Vyas Narayan, Aged About 52
Years R/o Petrol Pump, Village Bachhoud, Tehsil Baloda, District Janjgir
Champa Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

2.2 - (B) Ramadhar Shukla (Died) Through Lrs. As Per Honble Court
Order Dated 22-02-2021.

2.2.1 - (B)(1). Nishikant Shukla S/o Late Ramadhar Shukla Aged About
55 Years R/o Vidya Nagar, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.,
District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

2.3 - (C) Shiv Kumar Shukla, S/o Late Vyas Narayan, Aged About 48 Years R/o Village Mahuda, Tahsil And District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

3 - Deleted (A) Smt. Lagarhin Bai As Per Honble Court Order Dated 28-10-2020

3.1 - (B) Damodar Prasad, S/o Gayaram Shukla, R/o Village Farhada, Tahsil Masturi, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

3.2 - (C) Radheshyam, S/o Gayaram Shukla, R/o Nehru Nagar, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

3.3 - Deleted (D) Smt. Ambika Bai As Per Honble Court Order Dated 28-10-2020

3.4 - (E) Sharda, D/o Sushil Chand, Aged About 23 Years R/o Village Farhada, Tahsil Masturi, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

3.5 - (F) Ku. Sapna, D/o Sushil Chand, Aged About 32 Years R/o Village Farhada, Tahsil Masturi, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

3.6 - (G) Tarun, S/o Sushil Chand, Aged About 20 Years R/o Village Gatora, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

3.7 - (H) Arun, S/o Sushil Chand, Aged About 34 Years R/o Village Farhada, Tahsil Masturi, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

3.8 - Deleted (I) Smt. Chandra Bhama Bai As Per Honble Court Order Dated 28-10-2020

3.9 - (J) Mahendra Kumar, S/o Daulat Ram, Aged About 47 Years R/o Village Mahuda, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

3.10 - (K) Umesh Kumar, S/o Daulat Ram, Aged About 44 Years R/o New Sarkanda, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

3.11 - Deleted (L) Smt. Rahi Bai As Per Honble Court Order Dated 28-10-2020

4 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Collector, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

... **Respondents**

Cause-title taken from Case Information System (CIS)

For Appellant	:	None in two rounds.
For Respondents	:	Mr. BP Gupta, Advocate and Ms. Shubha Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Sushma Sawant

Order of Board**16.10.2026**

1. This second appeal has been preferred by the appellant/plaintiff- Ram Kishore Agrawal under Section 100 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (for short the "CPC") feeling aggrieved by judgment and decree dated 07.07.2009, passed by the first appellate Court in CA No.10-A/2018 (Ram Kishore v. Smt. Raj Kumari and others), by which his first appeal challenging the judgment and decree dated 24.12.2007, passed by the learned trial Court in CS No.34-A/2007 (Ram Kishore v. Smt. Raj Kumari and others), has been dismissed.
2. It transpires from the record of the case that on the last date of listing of this matter i.e. on 15.09.2026, fixed date SPC was issued to the appellant for making arrangement for his representation in the matter. However, as per office report dt. 13.10.2026, the said SPC issued on 17.09.2026 returned unserved with an endorsement that the house mentioned in the address of the appellant is vacated and he has moved somewhere else. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant even in the passover round.
3. Under such circumstances, the Court is left with no other option but to dismiss this appeal for want of prosecution. It is ordered accordingly.

sd/-
(Sushma Sawant)
JUDGE