



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No.25609 of 2026
CNR No. ODH010612272026

Md. Rajaullah Ansari

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Mr. Lalatendu
Samantaray, Senior
Advocate along with
Mr. Mithun Das,
Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. –
Mr. C.M. Singh, ASC

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
08.09.2026

Order No.

- 01.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
 2. Heard Mr. Lalatendu Samantaray, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Petitioner as well as the learned counsel for the State. Perused the writ petition as well as the documents annexed thereto.
 3. By filing the present writ petition, the Petitioner has sought for the following reliefs:-

“Under the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, it is therefore, prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to:

(i) direct/order the Opp. Party No.2 to settle the theft claim of the Petitioner's vehicle bearing Regn. OD-09V-1785, Tata LPT 3718 (Truck) vide Claim



No.7213334483 under Policy No.6302696556, as the Petitioner is the absolute owner of the vehicle, as the Registration Certificate, Permit and Insurance Policy have been continuing in name of the Petitioner for;

(ii) pass such other order(s) or issue direction(s) as may be deemed fit and proper in the bona fide interest of justice.”

4. Mr. Lalatendu Samantaray, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Petitioner, at the outset, referred to the letter of the Opposite Party No.2 dated 8th June, 2026 wherein the said Opposite Party No.2 has invited objection from the Petitioner within fifteen days from the receipt of that letter, failing which, they have stated that the claim of the Petitioner shall be treated to have been repudiated on the grounds mentioned in that letter. He further contended that pursuant to the aforesaid letter, he has already filed his clarification through his counsel vide letter dated 24.06.2026, at Annexure-6 to the writ petition. However, no decision has been taken as has been assured by the Opposite Party No.2 in his letter dated 8th June, 2026 at Annexure-5. Being aggrieved by the inaction of the Opposite Party No.2, the Petitioner has approached this Court by filing the present writ petition.

5. Taking into consideration the submission made by the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Petitioner and on a careful examination of the materials on record, further considering the limited nature of the grievance, this Court deems it proper to dispose of the writ petition at the stage of admission by directing the Opposite Party No.2 to consider the case of the Petitioner keeping in view the reply given on 24.06.2026, at Annexure-6, and to take a final decision within a period of four weeks. The final decision so



taken by the Opposite Party No.2 be communicated to the Petitioner within ten days from the date of taking such decision.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

Debasis

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: DEBASIS AECH
Designation: SECRETARY
Reason: Authentication
Location: ORISSA HIGH COURT
Date: 11-Sep-2026 18:40:50

