



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.21806 of 2026

***Managing Committee,
Jalasarpur High School,
Jalasarpur***

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Banshidhar Satapathy

-versus-

State Of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. U.C. Jena, ASC

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

17.07.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ petition as well as documents annexed thereto.
3. The present writ petition has been filed by the Petitioner with the following prayers:

“In the facts and circumstances of the case, the humble petitioner fervently prays this Hon'ble Court to be graciously pleased to issue notice to the opp. Parties, call for relevant records and after hearing the counsel of parties, issue a writ in



the nature Mandamus or any other appropriate writ commanding Opp. Parties particularly to the Opp.party No. 1, the Commissioner-Cum-Secretary, School and Mass Education Department to anitidate GIA (Block Grant) in favour of Jalasarpur High School, Jalasarpur w.e.f., 01.01.2004 notionally modifying the earlier notifications within a stipulated period, And/or pass such other order or direction as deems fit and proper in the interest of justice.”

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner may be granted liberty to file a fresh representation ventilating his grievance before the Opposite Party No.1 which may be considered within a stipulated period of time in accordance with law.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel on the other hand submits that he has no objection if liberty is granted to the Petitioner to file a fresh representation before the Opposite Party No.1 and the same is considered and disposed of in accordance with law.

6. In view of the aforesaid limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, this Court disposes of the writ petition granting liberty to the Petitioner to file a fresh representation before the Opposite Party No.1 ventilating his grievance within a period of two weeks. If such a representation is filed within the time stipulated, the same shall be considered and disposed of by the Opposite Party No.1 within a period of eight weeks from the date of filing representation by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken on the same shall be communicated to the Petitioner within a period of ten



days from the date of taking such a decision.

7. With the aforesaid observations/directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

S.K. Rout