



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.14596 of 2026

Pramod Kumar Biswal

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Anup Udaya Senapati

-versus-

1) state of odisha

.....

Opposite Parties

2) director, secondary education,
odisha, bhubaneswar
3) district education officer, deogarh

Represented by Adv. –

Mr. D.K. Sahoo, AGA

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

22.06.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Government Advocate for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ petition as well as documents annexed thereto.
3. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayers:

“Under the above circumstances, it is humbly prayed that the writ petition may be allowed;

And

(a) a writ of mandamus or an appropriate writ may be issued commanding the opposite parties to allow the petitioner to avail the leave benefits under the Orissa Education (Leave of Teachers and other Members of the Staff of Aided Educational Institutions) Rules, 1977, Retiral and Pensionary benefit under the Orissa Aided Educational Institutions' Employees Retirement Benefit Rules,



1981 and G.P.F. benefit under the Orissa Aided Educational Institutions Employees' General Provident Funds Rules, 1983, as the petitioner is an employee of an aided educational institution:

And

(b) any other order/orders or direction/ directions may be issued so as to give complete relief to the petitioner;

And for this act of kindness, the petitioner shall as in duty bound remain ever pray,"

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner may be granted liberty to file a fresh representation ventilating his grievance before the Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Bhubaneswar, Opposite Party No.1 which may be considered within a stipulated period of time in accordance with law.

5. Learned Additional Government Advocate on the other hand submits that he has no objection if liberty is granted to the Petitioner to file a fresh representation before the Opposite Party No.1 and the same is considered and disposed of in accordance with law.

6. In view of the aforesaid limited nature of grievance of the petitioner, this Court disposes of the writ petition granting liberty to the Petitioner to file a fresh representation before the Opposite Party No.1 ventilating his grievance within a period of two weeks. If such representation is filed within the time stipulated, the same shall be considered and disposed of by the Opposite Party No.1 within a period of two months from the date of filing representation by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The



decision so taken shall be communicated to the Petitioner within a week from the date of taking such a decision.

7. With the aforesaid observations/ directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Sisir

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: SISIR KUMAR SETHI
Designation: PERSONAL ASSISTANT
Reason: Authentication
Location: ORISSA HIGH COURT
Date: 23-Jun-2026 18:03:38

