



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLREV No.55 of 2025
CNR No. ODHC010069492025

Sanjaya Parida @ Sanjay Ku. Petitioners
Parida & Ors.

Mr. Pratik Nayak, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha Opposite Party
Mr. A. Sethy, ASC
Mr. Basanta Kumar Das, Advocate for the informant

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAIK

ORDER
08.09.2026

Order No.
04.

1. Heard Mr. Nayak, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Sethy, learned ASC for the State besides Mr. B.K. Das, learned counsel for the informant.
2. Instant revision is filed by the petitioners assailing the impugned judgments as at Annexures-1 and 2 of the learned courts below, whereby, they have been convicted for the alleged offences and to undergo sentences in terms thereof on the grounds stated.
3. During the pendency of revision, the counsel for the respective parties informs to the Court that there has been a compromise reached at between both the sides. In support of such claim regarding a settlement arrived at between the parties, a joint affidavit is filed today. Besides the said



affidavit, the wife of the informant, namely, Alok Samantray has filed a separate one. Both the affidavits are taken on record and on perusal of the same, it is made to understand that the parties at the intervention of the local gentries settled the differences and the matter stands compromised. Mr. Das, learned counsel for the informant refers to the separate affidavit filed to claim that the parties reached at a compromise and presently having cordial relationship with each other.

4. Mr. Nayak, learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that all the offences are compoundable in nature except the one under Section 324 I.P.C. Since there is a compromise between the parties, the further submission is that the order of conviction and sentence directed by the learned J.M.F.C., Bhapur in G.R. Case No.237 of 2021 confirmed in Criminal Appeal No.15/50 of 2023 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Nayagarh should be set aside in the interest of justice. Similar is the submission of Mr. Das, learned counsel for the informant.

5. Recorded the response of the State.

6. In course of hearing, Mr. Nayak, learned counsel for the petitioners produced a copy of the order dated 23rd October, 2024 of a Co-ordinate Bench in CRLREV No.120 of 2001 to submit that the compounding of the offences should be allowed with an order of acquittal followed. Considering the affidavits filed by the parties jointly and separately and having regard to



the fact that the offences are compoundable in nature except the one under Section 324 I.P.C., this Court, in view of the order in CRLREV No.120 of 2001 is inclined to accept the same and direct the order of conviction and sentence to be set aside as against the petitioners and accordingly, it is ordered.

7. Consequently, the revision stands disposed of.
8. Issue urgent copy of this order as per rules.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

Balaram

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: BALARAM BEHERA
Designation: Personal Assistant
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC, CUTTACK
Date: 10-Sep-2026 13:13:12

