IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.5957 of 2018

Sangeeta Kumari Bhagat, Wife of Jai Bharat Singh, presently residing at Bela Bagan, near Durgabari, P.O. Bela Bagan, P.S. Deoghar, District Deoghar, Jharkhand. **... ... Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand, through Chief Secretary, Government of Jharkhand, Project Building at Dhurwa, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
2. The Deputy Commissioner, Deoghar, P.O. & P.S. Deoghar, District Deoghar, Jharkhand.
3. The Additional Collector, Deoghar, P.O. & P.S. Deoghar, District Deoghar, Jharkhand.
4. The Special Land Acquisition Officer-cum-District Land Acquisition Officer, Deoghar, P.O. & P.S. Deoghar, District Deoghar, Jharkhand.

... ... Respondents

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ranjan Prasad Sinha, Advocate
Mr. Sanjeev Thakur, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Rahul Saboo, GP-II
Mr. Kunal Chandra Suman, AC to GP-II

23/ 19.08.2026

By filing this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:-

> *"for issuance of appropriate writ(s), order(s), direction(s) in the nature of Mandamus for directing the Respondents to rehabilitation of the Petitioner by way of allocation of house in rehabilitated Area also paid rehabilitation packages amount for acquisition of land by the authorities for extension of Deoghar Airport, by above said acquisition land and house of the Petitioner has been duly acquired and compensation thereupon was paid to the Petitioner in connection with Khata No.-05, Plot No.-50 of Mauza Babupur, ignoring the*

fact, that other similar situated persons (like Mukesh Manjhi, Nityanand Singh & Ors.) have been duly rehabilitated in the specified Area and also paid rehabilitation packages amount i.e. transportation etc. for rehabilitation by the authority concerned in lieu of above said land acquisition."

2. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioner and learned counsel representing the respondents.

3. The petitioner claims benefits under the Rehabilitation Scheme of the State Government in lieu of acquisition of land.

4. It is the case of the petitioner that the compensation has been paid, but since the land was acquired, the petitioner is also entitled for other benefits, which are yet to be released by the respondents in favour of the petitioner. It is also her case that there are other similarly situated persons, who have been granted the benefit of the Scheme, which is prevalent in the State of Jharkhand.

5. Learned counsel representing the respondent – State, submits that the petitioner has been granted the compensation for the structure over the land and not for the land, as the petitioner was not the *raiyat* of the land. He submits that since the petitioner is not the *raiyat*, she is not entitled to get any other benefits.

6. Considering the submission of the parties and specially the contention of the petitioner that similarly situated persons have been granted the benefits of Rehabilitation, I direct the petitioner to approach respondent No.2 - Deputy Commissioner, Deoghar, within four weeks from today. Along with the representation, the petitioner will annex all the documents to support her contention that similarly

situated persons have been granted the benefit of Rehabilitation Schemes. She will also produce specific instances to the Deputy Commissioner, Deoghar.

6.1. If such representation is filed, the Deputy Commissioner, Deoghar, will consider the same and if it is found that the case of this petitioner is covered by any Scheme and any of the similarly situated persons / displaced persons, have been granted the benefit of any Schemes for Rehabilitation, the same should also be extended to the petitioner.

6.2. The entire process should be concluded within sixteen weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, along with the representation.

7. With the aforesaid directions, this Writ Petition stands **disposed of**.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

19th August, 2026
Prashant. Cp-2

Uploaded on 25.08.2026