(2026:JHHC:27621)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.8516 of 2026

Shakti Singh @ Virat Singh, aged about 23 years, son of Sujit Singh @ Sujit Rawani, resident of Village-Bhuda Near Kali Mandir Barmasiya, P.O. & P.S.-Dhansar, District-Dhanbad, Jharkhand.

.... …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. A.K. Kashyap, Sr. Advocate
: Mr. Anurag Kashyap, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Manoj Kr. Mishra, Addl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated- 14-09-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Dhansar P.S. Case No. 79 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 103(1)/238/3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner along with the co-accused persons has committed murder of Jitesh Kumar. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false. It is next submitted that the petitioner was last seen with the deceased and the co-accused persons going on a motorcycle. It is then submitted that though it is alleged that as per the prosecution case, the petitioner, deceased and the Dipak Kumar Ram took liquor together but the post-mortem report does not mention any smell of alcohol upon dissection of the stomach of the dead body. It is also submitted that the genesis of the occurrence is a petty quarrel between Dipak Kumar Ram and deceased in an inebriated condition. It is then submitted that there is no allegation against the petitioner of having any intention to kill the deceased. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 16.05.2026, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 15 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the

witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the abovenamed petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M., Dhanbad, in connection with Dhansar P.S. Case No. 79 of 2026 **with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and a copy of his Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case**.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

14/09/2026
Abhiraj/