[2026:JHHC:27133]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 8305 of 2026

Chakra Singh Purty @ Chunni, aged about 45 years, Son of Late Sadhu Charan Purty, Resident of Village-Jaldhar, P.O.- Chitimiti, P.S.-Manjhari, District-West Singhbhum, Jharkhand … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … Opp. Party

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Deepak Kumar , Adv.
For the State : Mr. Manoj Kr. Mishra , Addl. PP

02 / 08.09.2026 Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Manjhari P.S. Case No. 27 of 2025, corresponding S.T. Case No. 273 of 2025 instituted under **Section** 103 (1)**of BNS, 2023**.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner has committed murder of Jena Ram Purty. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false and only because the petitioner is the brother-in-law (Devar) of Sini Purty with whom the deceased was having illicit relationship and on earlier occasion, the petitioner chided the deceased not to have illicit relationship with Sini Purty, hence, on that ground, suspicion is raised against the petitioner that the petitioner might have committed death of the deceased. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that during the trial, four witnesses including the informant has been examined in this case and except suspicion, there is no material in the record to implicate the petitioner in this case. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent, as mentioned in

paragraph 14 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in jail custody since 13.05.2025, as mentioned in para 1 of this bail application. It is next submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of the case and undertakes not to annoy or disturb the informant or the other witness of the case in any manner during trial of the case hence, the petitioner may be admitted to bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Considering submissions of learned counsels and the facts as stated above, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Hence, the court below is directed to release the petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, West Singhbhum at Chaibasa in connection with Manjhari P.S. Case No. 27 of 2025, corresponding S.T. Case No. 273 of 2025 with the condition that the petitioner will not annoy or disturb the informant or the other witness of the case in any manner during trial of the case and will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish mobile phone number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change the mobile phone number during the trial of the case.

(**ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY, J.)**

Dated 08.09.2026
Smita/-