IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 8038 of 2026

Vijay Singh @ Bijay Singh, aged about 35 years, son of Om Prakash @ Om Prakash Singh, resident of village – Bhojpur Naryanpur, P.O.- Kakrala, P.S.- Kadar Chowk, Dist.- Budaun, State- Uttar Pradesh

… Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … Opp. Party

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Raja Ravi Shekhar Singh, Adv.
For the State : Ms. Amrita Kumari, Addl. PP

02 / 28.08.2026 Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Nimiaghat P.S. Case No. 111 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 305(a), 331(4).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner looted the gold and silver jewellery worth Rs. 15 lakhs from the shop of the informant. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is further submitted that the petitioner has only been implicated in this case on the basis of his confessional statement made in connection with Suti P.S. Jangipur (Murshidabad) (W.B) Case no. 1376 of 2025. It is also submitted that the petitioner has been implicated in this case on the basis of suspicion and no recovery has been made. It is then submitted that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R., and without putting the petitioner on T.I. Parade, charge-sheet has been submitted. It is also submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 27.02.2026 as

mentioned in para 01 of the bail application. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner undertakes to co-operate with the trial of the case and also undertakes not to annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial. It is next submitted that the co-accused with similar allegations has already been admitted to bail by this court vide order dated 12.08.2026 passed in B.A. No. 7553 of 2026 hence, the petitioner may be admitted to bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Considering submissions of learned counsels and the facts as stated above, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Hence, the court below is directed to release the petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned JMFC, Giridih in connection with Nimiaghat P.S. Case No. 111 of 2025 with the condition that the petitioner will not annoy or disturb the informant or the other witness of the case in any manner during trial of the case and will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish mobile phone number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change the mobile phone number during the trial of the case.

(**ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY, J.)**

Dated 28.08.2026
Smita/-