IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.6619 of 2026

Deep Narayan Prasad @ Deep Narayan Saw @ Deepu Saw, Son of Late Mahadew Saw, aged about- 57 years, Resident of Khudra Patti, Hatiya Road, P.O.- Jhumri Telaiya, P.S.- Telaiya, District- Koderma.

….. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Secretary, Department of Registration, Revenue and land Reforms, Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O., P.S.- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi.
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Koderma, P.O., P.S. and District- Koderma.
4. The Circle Officer, Koderma, P.O.- Jhumritelaiya, P.S.- Telaiya, District-Koderma.
5. The Executive Officer, Jhumri Telaiya Municipal Council, P.O.- Jhumritelaiya, P.S.- Telaiya, District- Koderma.

….. Respondents

CORAM: SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Diwakar Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Vineet Prakash AC to SC-V
For R-5	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Mahto, Advocate
		Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate

2/27.08.2026 Heard the learned counsel representing the parties.

2. By the instant writ application, the petitioner prays for following reliefs: -

i. For issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, particularly in the nature of Certiorari, for quashing and setting aside:

a. Notice contained in Letter No. 2041 dated 13.07.2026 issued by the Executive Officer, Jhumri Telaiya Municipal Council (Annexure-6);

b. Notice contained in Letter No. 5812 dated 24.07.2026 issued by the Executive Officer, Jhumri Telaiya Municipal Council (Annexure-7); and

c. The consequential communication/action of the Executive Officer whereby police force has been requisitioned from the Sub-Divisional Officer, Koderma for demolition/removal of the alleged encroachment from the petitioner's residential house.

ii. For issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, particularly in the nature of Mandamus, commanding the respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession of the petitioner over the land comprised in Khata No. 1343, Plot Nos. 7203 and 7204, Mauja-Telaiya, Thana No. 244, District-Koderma, and not to demolish or remove the petitioner's residential house except in accordance with law and after following the due process prescribed under the applicable statutes.

iii. For issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction declaring that the respondents cannot ignore the order dated 29.04.1970 passed in Suit No. 553 of 1967, the mutation order, the continuous rent receipts, the municipal holding tax receipts and the official enquiry report dated 11.06.2016, while taking any decision concerning the petitioner's land and residential house.

iv. For issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction commanding the respondents to consider the petitioner's representations dated 27.07.2026 and 01.08.2026 by passing a reasoned and speaking order after affording the petitioner an effective opportunity of personal hearing and after considering all relevant title documents, revenue records and earlier official reports.

v. For issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction commanding the respondents that, if they dispute the identity or boundaries of the petitioner's land, they shall first undertake a joint measurement and demarcation of Plot Nos. 7203, 7204, 7205 and 7206 through the competent Revenue Authorities in the presence of the petitioner and all interested parties and only thereafter proceed in accordance with law.

vi. Pending final disposal of the present writ petition, stay the operation and implementation of the impugned notices dated 13.07.2026 and 24.07.2026, and restrain the respondents, their agents and subordinates from demolishing, dispossessing, sealing or otherwise interfering with the petitioner's residential house or from taking any coercive action pursuant to the impugned notices.

3. Petitioner is challenging the notices whereby the petitioner has been directed to appear before the concerned authorities along with a copy of the issued map and other documents.

4. Considering the fact that the petitioner has only been noticed to show cause, I am not inclined to interfere with the same. The petitioner is directed to put forth all his defence along with all the documents before the authority concerned. Once the same is filed, appropriate order would be passed by the authority.

5. Without giving opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, no final order would be passed and no coercive step would be taken against the petitioner. Only after completion of the proceeding and passing a

reasoned order, appropriate step would be taken against the petitioner.

6. This writ petition stands disposed of.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

27.08.2026
R.Kumar
Uploaded on 01.09.2026