IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 7978 of 2026

Prabhusahay Soy, age about 20 years, S/o Ramay Soy, R/o Village-Tusanga, Murhu, P.O.- Murhu, P.S.-Arki, District-Khunti … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … Opp. Party

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Ms. Neha Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Arup Kr. Dey, Addl.. PP

02 / 25.08.2026 Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Karaikela P.S. Case no. 05 of 2023, corresponding to S.T. No. 344 of 2025 instituted under Section 302/201/34 of IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of the common intention with the co-accused person, committed murder of Marshal Odeya. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that four witnesses have been examined during the trial of the case and PW3- Bosen Gagrai who is the only witness who could have identified the petitioner, has not identified the petitioner in his examination –in-chief as a witness. It is next submitted that the PW2 has not supported the case of prosecution and has been declared hostile and PW1 being the police officer, has no knowledge about the occurrence and the main allegation is against Sukhram Pradhan as he has led to discovery of the dead body of the deceased as well as the weapon of offence and except the confessional statement of the co-accused, there is no other material in the record to

implicate the petitioner in this case. It is next submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent, as mentioned in paragraph 11 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in jail custody since 23.08.2025, as mentioned in para 5 of this bail application. It is next submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of the case and undertakes not to annoy or disturb the informant or the other witness of the case in any manner during trial of the case, hence, the petitioner may be admitted to bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Considering submissions of learned counsels and the facts as stated above, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Hence, the court below is directed to release the petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-l at Chakradharpur, Chaibasa in connection with Karaikela P.S. Case no. 05 of 2023, corresponding to S.T. No. 344 of 2025 with the condition that the petitioner will not annoy or disturb the informant or the other witness of the case in any manner during trial of the case and will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish mobile phone number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change the mobile phone number during the trial of the case.

(**ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY, J.)**

Dated 25.08.2026
Smita/-